

SUPPLEMENT TO PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT

\$101,000,000*
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
2014 SERIES D TEETER OBLIGATION
NOTES (TAX-EXEMPT)

\$1,000,000*
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
2014 SERIES E TEETER OBLIGATION NOTES
(TAXABLE)

This Supplement is provided to update information contained in the Preliminary Official Statement dated September 22, 2014 (the "Preliminary Official Statement") for the County of Riverside 2014 Series D Teeter Obligation Notes (Tax-Exempt) and the County of Riverside 2014 Series E Teeter Obligation Notes (Taxable) (collectively, the "Notes"). This Supplement must be read together with such Preliminary Official Statement.

The cover of the Preliminary Official Statement incorrectly states that the maturity date of the Notes is October 15, 2014. The correct maturity date of the Notes is October 15, 2015. The maturity date of the Notes set forth on the cover of the Preliminary Official Statement is hereby amended and restated to be October 15, 2015.

Dated: September 23, 2014.

(THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT DATED SEPTEMBER 22, 2014

NEW ISSUE — BOOK-ENTRY ONLY

RATINGS: Moody's: "MIG 1"

Fitch: "F1+"

See "RATINGS" herein.

In the opinion of Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, Bond Counsel to the County, based upon an analysis of existing laws, regulations, rulings and court decisions and assuming, among other matters, the accuracy of certain representations and compliance with certain covenants, interest on the 2014 Series D Notes is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code") and interest on the 2014 Series D Notes and the 2014 Series E Notes is exempt from State of California personal income taxes. The amount treated as interest on the 2014 Series D Notes and excluded from gross income may depend on the taxpayer's election under Internal Revenue Service Notice 94-84. In the further opinion of Bond Counsel, interest on the 2014 Series D Notes is not a specific preference item for purposes of the federal individual or corporate alternative minimum taxes, although Bond Counsel observes that such interest is included in adjusted current earnings when calculating corporate alternative minimum taxable income. Bond Counsel further observes that interest on the 2014 Series E Notes is not excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Code. Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding any other tax consequences related to the ownership or disposition of, or the accrual or receipt of interest on, the Notes. See "TAX MATTERS" herein.

\$101,000,000*

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

**2014 SERIES D TEETER OBLIGATION
NOTES (TAX-EXEMPT)**

\$1,000,000*

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

**2014 SERIES E TEETER OBLIGATION NOTES
(TAXABLE)**

Dated: Date of Delivery

Due: October 15, 2014

The County of Riverside 2014 Series D Teeter Obligation Notes (Tax Exempt) (the "2014 Series D Notes") and the County of Riverside 2014 Series E Teeter Obligation Notes (Taxable) (the "2014 Series E Notes," and, together with the 2014 Series D Notes, the "Notes") are being issued to (i) refund the outstanding County of Riverside 2013 Teeter Obligation Notes, Series D (the "2013 Series D Notes") and the outstanding County of Riverside 2013 Teeter Obligation Notes, Series E (the "2013 Series E Notes," and together with the 2013 Series D Notes, the "2013 Notes"), (ii) fund an advance of unpaid property taxes for agencies participating in the County of Riverside's Teeter Plan (the "Teeter Plan"), and (iii) to pay the costs of issuance related to the Notes. See "THE COUNTY — The Teeter Plan." The Notes will be issued bearing interest at a fixed rate as set forth below.

The Notes will be issued in denominations of \$5,000 or any integral multiple thereof, will be dated the date of their delivery and will bear interest at the interest rate shown below. The principal of and interest on the Notes will be due on the maturity date thereof. Principal of and interest on the Notes will be payable in lawful money of the United States of America by The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as Fiscal Agent.

The Notes will not be subject to redemption prior to maturity.

The Notes will be issued in fully registered form. When delivered, the Notes will be registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee for The Depository Trust Company ("DTC"), New York, New York, which will act as securities depository for the Notes. Purchasers will not receive Notes representing their ownership interest in the Notes purchased. Principal and interest on the Notes will be payable when due through the facilities of DTC, as described in "THE NOTES — Book-Entry Only System."

The principal of and interest on the Notes will be payable solely from Series B Taxes (as defined herein) and from lawfully available moneys in the County's General Fund. **For a description of the Series B Taxes, see "THE NOTES — Security for the Notes."** For a description of the County and its finances, see APPENDIX A — "INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE."

See the section of this Official Statement entitled "SPECIAL RISK FACTORS" for a discussion of certain factors which should be considered, in addition to other matters set forth herein, in evaluating an investment in the Notes.

MATURITY SCHEDULES

2014 SERIES D TEETER OBLIGATION NOTES (TAX-EXEMPT)

<i>Principal Amount</i>	<i>Interest Rate</i>	<i>Yield</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>CUSIP No.[†]</i>
-------------------------	----------------------	--------------	--------------	------------------------------

2014 SERIES E TEETER OBLIGATION NOTES (TAXABLE)

<i>Principal Amount</i>	<i>Interest Rate</i>	<i>Yield</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>CUSIP No.[†]</i>
-------------------------	----------------------	--------------	--------------	------------------------------

This cover page contains information for reference only. It is not a summary of this issue. Investors must read the entire Official Statement in considering the investment quality of the Notes.

The Notes are offered when, as and if issued and received by the Underwriters, subject to the approval of Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, San Francisco, California, Bond Counsel to the County, and to certain other conditions. Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation, is serving as Disclosure Counsel to the County with respect to the Notes. Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the County by County Counsel, and for the Underwriters by their counsel, Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP. It is anticipated that the Notes will be available for delivery to The Depository Trust Company or its agent on or about October 15, 2014.

Stifel

Wells Fargo Securities

Dated: October __, 2014

* Preliminary, subject to change.

† Copyright 2014, American Bankers Association. CUSIP® is a registered trademark of the American Bankers Association. CUSIP data herein is provided by the CUSIP Service Bureau, operated by Standard & Poor's, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. This data is not intended to create a database and does not serve in anyway as a substitute for the CUSIP Services Bureau. CUSIP numbers have assigned by an independent company not affiliated with the County and are included solely for the convenience of the registered owners of the Notes. Neither the County nor the Underwriters take any responsibility for the accuracy of such numbers.

This Preliminary Official Statement and the information contained herein are subject to completion or amendment. These securities may not be sold, nor may offers to buy them be accepted, prior to the time the Official Statement is delivered in final form. Under no circumstances shall this Preliminary Official Statement constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy, nor shall there be any sale of, these securities in any jurisdiction in which such offer, solicitation or sale would be unlawful.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

County Executive Office
4th Floor
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, California 92501

Board of Supervisors

Jeff Stone, Third District, Chairman,
Marion Ashley, Fifth District, Vice Chairman
John Benoit, Fourth District
Kevin Jeffries, First District
John Tavaglione, Second District

County Officials

Jay Orr, County Executive Officer
Don Kent, Treasurer-Tax Collector
Paul Angulo, Auditor-Controller
Larry Ward, Assessor-County Clerk-Recorder
Gregory P. Priamos, County Counsel
Ed Corser, Finance Director

SPECIAL SERVICES

Bond Counsel

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
San Francisco, California

Disclosure Counsel

Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation
Newport Beach, California

Financial Advisor

C.M. de Crinis & Co., Inc.
Glendale, California

Fiscal Agent

The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A.
Los Angeles, California

No dealer, broker, salesperson or other person has been authorized by the County or the Underwriters to give any information or to make any representations in connection with the offer or sale of the Notes other than those contained herein and, if given or made, such other information or representations must not be relied upon as having been authorized by the County or the Underwriters. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy nor shall there be any sale of the Notes by a person in any jurisdiction in which it is unlawful for such person to make such an offer, solicitation or sale.

This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract with the purchasers or owners of the Notes. Statements contained in this Official Statement which involve estimates, forecasts or matters of opinion, whether or not expressly so described herein, are intended solely as such and are not to be construed as representations of fact.

The information set forth herein has been obtained from the County and other sources which the County believes to be reliable. The information and expression of opinion herein are subject to change without notice and neither delivery of the Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the County or any other parties described herein since the date hereof. All summaries of the Resolution or other documents are made subject to the provisions of such documents and do not purport to be complete statements of any or all of such provisions. Reference is hereby made to such documents on file with the County for further information in connection therewith.

The Underwriters have provided the following sentence for inclusion in this Official Statement: The Underwriters have reviewed the information in this Official Statement in accordance with, and as a part of, their responsibilities to investors under the federal securities laws as applied to the facts and circumstances of this transaction, but the Underwriters do not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information.

Wells Fargo Securities is the trade name for certain securities-related capital markets and investment banking services of Wells Fargo & Company and its subsidiaries, including Wells Fargo Bank, National Association.

In connection with the offering of the Notes, the Underwriters may overallocate or effect transactions which stabilize or maintain the market price of such notes at a level above that which might otherwise prevail in the open market. Such stabilizing, if commenced, may be discontinued at any time. The Underwriters may offer and sell the Notes to certain dealers and dealer banks and banks acting as agents at prices lower than the public offering prices stated on the cover page hereof and said public offering prices may be changed from time to time by the Underwriters.

The Notes have not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, in reliance upon an exemption contained in such act. The Notes have not been registered or qualified under the securities laws of any state.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS IN THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT

Certain statements included or incorporated by reference in this Official Statement constitute “forward-looking statements.” Such statements are generally identifiable by the terminology used such as “plan,” “expect,” “estimate,” “budget” or other similar words. Such forward-looking statements include but are not limited to certain statements contained in the information under the caption “THE COUNTY” and in APPENDIX A — “INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE” in this Official Statement. The achievement of certain results or other expectations contained in such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results, performance or achievements described to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The County does not plan to issue any updates or revisions to those forward-looking statements if or when its expectations or events, conditions or circumstances on which such statements are based occur.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
INTRODUCTION	1
THE NOTES	2
Authority for Issuance	2
Purpose of Issue	2
Description of the Notes	3
Redemption	3
Security for the Notes	3
Fiscal Agent	4
Defeasance of the Notes	4
Book-Entry Only System	6
ESTIMATED SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS	9
THE COUNTY	9
The Teeter Plan	10
Tax Collections	12
The General Fund	14
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY LIMITATIONS ON TAXES, REVENUES AND APPROPRIATIONS	19
Article XIII A of the State Constitution	19
Article XIII B of the State Constitution	19
Right to Vote on Taxes Initiative Proposition 218	20
Proposition 62	23
Proposition 1A	24
Assessment Appeals and Assessor Reductions	25
Future Initiatives	26
STATE OF CALIFORNIA BUDGET INFORMATION	26
SPECIAL RISK FACTORS	30
Limitations on Remedies; Bankruptcy	30
Federal Income Tax Consequences	31
Loss of Tax Exemption	31
Economy of the County and the State	31
VALIDATION	31
LITIGATION	32
TAX MATTERS	32
RATINGS	34
FINANCIAL ADVISOR	34
UNDERWRITING	35

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	36
CONTINUING DISCLOSURE	36
CERTAIN LEGAL MATTERS.....	37
MISCELLANEOUS	38
APPENDIX A INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE	A-1
APPENDIX B COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013	B-1
APPENDIX C FORM OF BOND COUNSEL OPINION.....	C-1
APPENDIX D FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE.....	D-1

\$101,000,000*
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
2014 SERIES D TEETER OBLIGATION
NOTES (TAX-EXEMPT)

\$1,000,000*
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
2014 SERIES E TEETER OBLIGATION
NOTES (TAXABLE)

INTRODUCTION

This Official Statement, including the cover page, the table of contents and appendices, has been prepared in connection with the issuance by the County of Riverside, California (the “County”) of its 2014 Series D Teeter Obligation Notes (Tax Exempt) (the “2014 Series D Notes”) and its 2014 Series E Teeter Obligation Notes (Taxable) (the “2014 Series E Notes,” and, together with the 2014 Series D Notes, the “Notes”), and contains certain information relating to the Notes and the County.

With respect to collection of property taxes, the County adopted its Teeter Plan in 1993 (the “Teeter Plan”), which is an alternate procedure authorized in Chapter 3, Part 8, Division 1 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California (comprising Sections 4701 through 4717, inclusive) (the “Law”), commonly referred to as the “Teeter Plan,” for distribution of certain property tax and assessment levies on the secured roll. See “THE COUNTY — The Teeter Plan.”

The Notes are being issued to (i) refund a portion of the County’s 2013 Teeter Obligation Notes, Series D, issued in the aggregate principal amount of \$118,135,000 (the “2013 Series D Notes”) and the County’s 2013 Teeter Obligation Notes, Series E, issued in the aggregate principal amount of \$1,635,000 (the “2013 Series E Notes,” and together with the 2013 Series D Notes, the “2013 Notes”), (ii) fund an advance of unpaid property taxes for agencies participating in the County’s Teeter Plan, and (iii) to pay the costs of issuance related to the Notes. See “THE COUNTY — The Teeter Plan.”

The Notes will be issued in denominations of \$5,000 or any integral multiple thereof, will be dated the date of their delivery and will bear interest at the interest rate shown on the cover page. The principal of and interest on the Notes will be due on the maturity date thereof.

The Notes will be issued in fully registered form. When delivered, the Notes will be registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee for The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”), New York, New York, which will act as securities depository for the Notes. Purchasers will not receive Notes representing their ownership interest in the Notes purchased. Principal and interest on the Notes will be payable when due through the facilities of DTC, as described in “THE NOTES — Book-Entry Only System.”

The Notes will not be subject to redemption prior to maturity.

The County first adopted its Teeter Plan in 1993. From 1993 to 1997, the County Treasurer’s Pooled Investment Fund purchased notes backed by a pledge of the outstanding delinquent taxes, assessments, penalties and interest from taxing entities within the County that participate in the Teeter Plan (the “Revenue Districts”). The County first issued taxable and tax exempt commercial paper to finance the annual cash requirements of its Teeter Plan in 1997.

* Preliminary, subject to change.

Since 1997, the County has issued taxable and tax exempt notes from time to time to finance the County's obligations to make distributions to the Revenue Districts, and to refund certain obligations of the County related to such obligations.

The Notes are being issued pursuant to a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County on July 29, 1997 (the "Master Teeter Resolution"), as supplemented from time to time.

On July 31, 1997, the County, acting pursuant to the provisions of Sections 860 *et seq.* of the California Code of Civil Procedure, filed a complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Riverside (Case No. 299847) seeking judicial validation of the transactions relating to the Resolution (as originally adopted) and certain other matters. On September 12, 1997, the court entered a default judgment to the effect that, among other things, the Resolution (as defined below) and the Obligations (as defined below) issued pursuant to the Resolution, including the Notes, represent valid and binding obligations of the County. The appeal period for the default judgment expired October 14, 1997. See "VALIDATION" herein.

Each year since 1997 the existing notes issued pursuant to the Master Teeter Resolution are paid down from collected delinquent taxes from the prior fiscal year, and subsequent additional notes are issued pursuant to the Master Teeter Resolution to finance that year's obligation to make advances under the Teeter Plan. See "THE COUNTY — The Teeter Plan."

The County has agreed, in a Continuing Disclosure Certificate executed by the County in connection with the issuance of the Notes (the "Disclosure Certificate"), to report the occurrence of specified "Listed Events" to the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (the "MSRB") through its Electronic Municipal Market Access System ("EMMA"). See "CONTINUING DISCLOSURE."

All quotations from, and summaries and explanations of, provisions of the laws of the State of California (the "State") and acts and proceedings of the County contained herein, do not purport to be complete and are qualified in their entirety by reference to the official compilations thereof, and all references to the Notes and the proceedings of the County relating thereto are qualified in their entirety by reference to the Notes and such proceedings.

THE NOTES

Authority for Issuance

The Notes are authorized to be issued pursuant to the Master Teeter Resolution, as amended and supplemented thereafter, including as supplemented on September 9, 2014 (collectively, the "Resolution"), and a Fiscal Agent Agreement, dated as of November 1, 1997, as amended, between The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (formerly known as The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A.), as successor to U.S. Trust Company of California, N.A. (the "Fiscal Agent"), and the County (the "Fiscal Agent Agreement").

Purpose of Issue

Pursuant to the Resolution, the proceeds of the sale of the Notes will be applied to refund the outstanding 2013 Notes, to fund an advance of unpaid property taxes for agencies participating in the County of Riverside's Teeter Plan, and to pay the costs of issuance related to the Notes. See "THE COUNTY — The Teeter Plan."

Description of the Notes

The Notes will be registered in the name of Cede & Company, as nominee of The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York. DTC will act as securities depository of the Notes. Individual purchases of the Notes will be made in book-entry form only, in denominations of \$5,000. Beneficial Owners (as defined below) of the Notes will not receive physical Notes representing the Notes purchased. The principal of, and interest on, the Notes will be paid on the maturity date of the Notes. The principal of and interest on the Notes will be paid by the County Treasurer-Tax Collector to the Fiscal Agent which will in turn remit such principal and interest to DTC, which will in turn remit such principal and interest to its participants for subsequent disbursement to the Beneficial Owners of the Notes. See “— Book-Entry Only System” below.

The Notes will be dated, will mature, and will bear interest at the rate per annum as shown on the cover page hereof computed on the basis of a 360-day year composed of twelve 30 day months for the 2014 Series D Notes and actual days/360-day year for the 2014 Series E Notes. Interest on the Notes will be paid on the maturity date of the Notes set forth on the cover page hereof. The Notes may be sold in Authorized Denominations of \$5,000 or any multiple thereof.

Redemption

The Notes are not subject to redemption prior to their maturity date.

Security for the Notes

The County has pledged the Series B Taxes (as hereinafter defined) to the payment of the Notes. The payment of the Notes is also secured by the County’s General Fund.

The Notes may be paid with lawfully available moneys in the County’s General Fund from available revenues generated in prior, the current or any subsequent fiscal year. For a description of the County and its finances, see APPENDIX A — “INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE.”

The principal of and interest on the Notes will be payable from Series B Taxes and from lawfully available moneys in the County’s General Fund. The County has pledged the Series B Taxes to the payment of the Notes. “Series B Taxes” are defined as (i) the right to collect any uncollected property taxes due to the County and the other Revenue Districts for the fiscal years ended June 30, 1994 through and including June 30, 2014 and such other fiscal years, if any, as may be specified in a supplemental resolution, for which the County actually provides funding pursuant to the Law, (ii) all amounts received by the County upon the sale of property to recover such property taxes or assessments, and (iii) all amounts received by the County upon the redemption of properties for sale or previously sold to recover such property taxes or assessments, in each case to which the County is entitled as a consequence of electing to be governed by the Law, and in each case following an allocation by the County of the receipts of property taxes and assessments between the Revenue Districts and those public districts within the County that are not participating in the Teeter Plan; provided, however, that Series B Taxes shall not include (i) the right to collect delinquencies in property taxes due to an independent district for all fiscal years prior to the fiscal year in which the respective independent district agreed with the County that the Law shall apply to such independent district, (ii) default penalties, (iii) interest or redemption penalties, (iv) certain costs and fees paid

pursuant to the Law and (v) the right to receive installment payments made pursuant to Section 4217 et seq. of the California Revenue and Taxation Code.

The office of the County Treasurer-Tax Collector serves as billing and collection agent for the basic 1% ad valorem property tax, voter approved ad valorem taxes and most additional special assessments and charges. The County Treasurer-Tax Collector bills property owners bi-annually, and payments not made by December 10 and April 10 are subject to a 10% delinquency penalty. Unpaid taxes begin accruing a 1.5% per month additional charge if unpaid at the end of the fiscal year (each June 30). Property owners are subject to foreclosure if delinquent taxes and penalties are not paid within five years.

Upon the collection of taxes and penalties the funds are deposited in a secured prior year trust account within the County's General Fund. Throughout the year the Auditor-Controller separates collected Teeter and non-Teeter taxes and penalties. Collected non-Teeter taxes are distributed to the non-Teeter taxing entities. The Teeter portion is separated between taxes and penalties and interest.

Receipts of the Series B Taxes are deposited into a Teeter Tax Account within the County's General Fund for repayment of the Notes. Penalties and interest are deposited into a Tax Losses Reserve Fund maintained by the County. In the event of a property sale at foreclosure which results in a loss, amounts to offset those losses are transferred from the Tax Losses Reserve Fund to the Teeter Tax Account. At the election of the County, the Tax Losses Reserve Fund is maintained at an amount equal to one of two methods: (1) 1% of the total amount of taxes and assessments levied on the secured roll for a particular year for taxing entities participating in the Teeter Plan, or (2) 25% of the total delinquent secured taxes and assessments calculated as of the end of the fiscal year for taxing entities participating in the Teeter Plan. Any excess over the required balance in the Tax Losses Reserve Fund is transferred to the County's General Fund. The County has always elected to maintain the Tax Losses Reserve Fund at an amount equal to 1% of the total amount of taxes and assessments levied on the secured roll for a particular year for taxing entities participating in the Teeter Plan. See "THE COUNTY — The Teeter Plan."

Fiscal Agent

The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. is appointed as Fiscal Agent for the Notes. The County directs and authorizes the payment by the Fiscal Agent of the interest on and principal of the Notes when such become due and payable. Under the Resolution, the County has covenanted to transfer from the General Fund to the Fiscal Agent sufficient moneys to pay the principal of and interest on the Notes when due.

Defeasance of the Notes

If the County shall pay or cause to be paid or there shall otherwise be paid to the Holders of all outstanding Notes the interest and principal thereof at the times and in the manner stipulated under the Resolution and described below in this Official Statement, then all agreements and covenants of the County to such Holders under the Resolution shall thereupon cease, terminate and become void and shall be discharged and satisfied.

Any Outstanding Notes shall, prior to the maturity date thereof, be deemed to have been paid within the meaning and with the effect expressed in the Resolution if there shall have been deposited with the Fiscal Agent in trust either moneys in an amount which shall be sufficient, or Defeasance

Securities which are not callable or subject to prepayment prior to their respective maturity dates, the principal of and the interest on which when due, and without any reinvestment thereof, will provide moneys which, together with the moneys, if any, deposited with or held by the Fiscal Agent at the same time, shall be sufficient (as verified by a report of an independent certified public accountant or other independent financial consultant), to pay when due the principal of and the interest to become due on said Notes on the maturity date thereof. Neither the securities nor moneys deposited with the Fiscal Agent pursuant to the Resolution nor principal or interest payments on any such securities shall be withdrawn or used for any purpose other than, and shall be held in trust for, the payment of the principal of and interest on said Notes. The Fiscal Agent shall have no right, title or interest in, or lien on, any moneys or securities deposited as described in this paragraph.

After the payment or deemed payment of all the interest and principal of all Outstanding Notes as provided in the Resolution and payment of any amounts then owed to the Fiscal Agent, the Fiscal Agent shall pay to or deliver to the County all moneys or securities held by it pursuant to the Resolution which are not required for the payment of the interest and principal represented by such Notes. Owners of Notes shall thereafter be entitled to payments due under the Notes only from amounts deposited with the Fiscal Agent as described under this heading "Defeasance of the Notes" and from no other source.

For the purposes described above, "Defeasance Securities" means any of the following:

- (i) Cash;
- (ii) United States Treasury Bonds, Notes and Certificates (including State and Local Government Series - "SLGS");
- (iii) Direct obligations of the United States Treasury which have been stripped, including by the Treasury itself, CATS, TIGRS and similar securities;
- (iv) The interest component of Resolution Funding Corp strips which have been stripped by request to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, in book entry form;
- (v) Pre-refunded municipal bonds rated "AAA" by Moody's and "AAA" by S&P;
- (vi) Obligations issued or fully guaranteed by the following agencies which are backed by the full faith and credit of the United States of America:
 - (a) U.S. Export-Import Bank
Direct obligations or fully guaranteed certificates of beneficial ownership
 - (b) Farmers Home Administration
Certificates of beneficial ownership
 - (c) Federal Financing Bank
 - (d) General Services Administration
Participation certificates
 - (e) United States Maritime Administration
Guaranteed Title XI financing

- (f) United States Department of Housing and Urban Development
 - Project notes
 - Local Authority Certificates
 - New Communities Pool Notes - United States government guaranteed debentures
 - United States Public Housing Notes and Certificates - United States government guaranteed public housing notes and bonds.

Book-Entry Only System

The information herein describes how ownership of the Notes is to be transferred and how the principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Notes are to be paid to and accredited by DTC while the Notes are registered in its nominee name. The information herein concerning DTC and the Book-Entry-Only System has been provided by DTC for use in disclosure documents such as this Official Statement. The County believes the source of such information to be reliable, but takes no responsibility for the accuracy or completeness thereof.

The County cannot and does not give any assurance that (1) DTC will distribute payments of debt service on the Notes, or notices, to DTC Participants, (2) DTC Participants or others will distribute debt service payments paid to DTC or its nominee (as the registered owner of the Notes), or notices, to the Beneficial Owners, or that they will do so on a timely basis, or (3) DTC will serve and act in the manner described in this Official Statement. The current rules applicable to DTC are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and the current procedures of DTC to be followed in dealing with DTC Participants are on file with DTC.

DTC will act as securities depository for the Notes. The Notes will be issued as fully-registered securities registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC's partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully-registered Note will be issued for each maturity of the Notes, each in the aggregate principal amount of such maturity, and will be deposited with DTC.

DTC, the world's largest securities depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a "banking organization" within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a "clearing corporation" within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a "clearing agency" registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC's participants ("Direct Participants") deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized book-entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants' accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation ("DTCC"). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a

Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly (“Indirect Participants”). DTC has a Standard & Poor’s rating of “AA+.” The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at www.dtcc.com.

Purchases of Notes under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive credit for the Notes on DTC’s records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each Note (a “Beneficial Owner”) is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants’ records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Notes are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in Notes, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the Notes is discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Notes deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC’s partnership nominee, Cede & Co, or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of Notes with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other DTC nominee do not affect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Notes; DTC’s records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Notes are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Beneficial Owners of Notes may wish to take certain steps to augment the transmission to them of notices of significant events with respect to the Notes, such as tenders, defaults, and proposed amendments to the Note documents. For example, Beneficial Owners of Notes may wish to ascertain that the nominee holding the Notes for their benefit has agreed to obtain and transmit notices to Beneficial Owners. In the alternative, Beneficial Owners may wish to provide their names and addresses to the registrar and request that copies of notices be provided directly to them.

Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor any other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to Notes unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC’s procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the County as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.’s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts Notes are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

Principal and interest payments on the Notes will be made to Cede & Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC’s practice is to credit Direct Participants’ accounts upon DTC’s receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from the County or Fiscal Agent, on the payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC’s records. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers

in bearer form or registered in “street name,” and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC or its nominee, the Fiscal Agent, or the County, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment of principal and interest payments to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) is the responsibility of the County or the Fiscal Agent, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

DTC may discontinue providing its services as securities depository with respect to the Notes at any time by giving reasonable notice to the County or the Fiscal Agent. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor securities depository is not obtained, Notes are required to be printed and delivered.

The County may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry transfers through DTC (or a successor securities depository). In that event, physical Note certificates will be printed and delivered.

The County and the Fiscal Agent cannot and do not give any assurances that DTC, DTC Participants or others will distribute payments of principal or interest with respect to the Notes paid to DTC or its nominee as the registered owner, or any notices, to the Beneficial Owners, or that they will do so on a timely basis or will serve and act in the manner described in this Official Statement. The County and the Fiscal Agent are not responsible or liable for the failure of DTC or any DTC Participant to make any payment or give any notice to a Beneficial Owner with respect to the Notes or any error or delay relating thereto.

The foregoing description of the procedures and record-keeping with respect to beneficial ownership interests in the Notes, payment of principal, interest and other payments on the Notes to DTC Participants or Beneficial Owners, confirmation and transfer of beneficial ownership interest in such Note and other related transactions by and between DTC, the DTC Participants and the Beneficial Owners is based solely on information provided by DTC. Accordingly, no representations can be made concerning these matters; and neither the DTC Participants nor the Beneficial Owners should rely on the foregoing information with respect to such matters, but should instead confirm the same with DTC or the DTC Participants, as the case may be.

ESTIMATED SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS

The proceeds to be received from the sale of the Notes are anticipated to be applied as follows:

Sources:

Principal Amount of Notes	\$
Original Issue Premium	
Total Sources	<u>\$</u>

Uses:

Payment of 2013 Series D Notes ⁽¹⁾	\$
Payment of 2013 Series E Notes ⁽²⁾	
Teeter Advance	
Costs of Issuance	
Underwriters' Discount	
Total Uses	<u>\$</u>

⁽¹⁾ Note proceeds, together with other moneys of the County, will be used to pay in full the 2013 Series D Notes when due.

⁽²⁾ Note proceeds, together with other moneys of the County, will be used to pay in full the 2013 Series E Notes when due.

THE COUNTY

The County was organized in 1893 from territory in San Bernardino and San Diego Counties and encompasses 7,177 square miles. The County is bordered on the north by San Bernardino County, on the east by the State of Arizona, on the south by San Diego and Imperial Counties and on the west by Orange and San Bernardino Counties. The County is the fourth largest county (by area) in the state and stretches 185 miles from the Arizona border to within 20 miles of the Pacific Ocean. There are 28 incorporated cities in the County. According to the State Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, the County's population was estimated at 2,279,967 as of January 1, 2014, reflecting a 1.1% increase over January 1, 2013.

The County is a general law county divided into five supervisorial districts on the basis of registered voters and population. The County is governed by a five member Board of Supervisors (the "Board"), elected by district to serve staggered four year terms. The Chair of the Board is elected annually by the Board members. The County administration includes appointed and elected officials, boards, commissions and committees which assist the Board of Supervisors.

The County provides a wide range of services to residents, including police and fire protection, medical and health services, education, library services, judicial institutions and public assistance programs. Some municipal services are provided by the County on a contract basis to incorporated cities within its boundaries. These services are designed to allow cities to contract for municipal services such as police and fire protection without incurring the cost of creating city departments and facilities. Services are provided to the cities at cost by the County.

Three distinct geographical areas characterize the County: the western valley area, the higher elevations of the mountains and the desert areas. The western portion of the County, which includes the San Jacinto Mountains and the Cleveland National Forest, experiences the mild climate typical of Southern California. The eastern desert areas experience warmer and dryer weather conditions.

See APPENDIX A — “INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE” for a more detailed description of the County.

The Teeter Plan

With respect to collection of property taxes, the County adopted in 1993 the Teeter Plan, which is an alternate procedure authorized in Chapter 3, Part 8, Division 1 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California (comprising Sections 4701 through 4717, inclusive), commonly referred to as the “Teeter Plan” for distribution of certain property tax and assessment levies on the secured roll.

Generally, the Teeter Plan provides for a tax distribution procedure by which secured roll taxes are distributed to taxing agencies within the County included in the Teeter Plan on the basis of the tax levy, rather than on the basis of actual tax collections. The County then receives all future delinquent tax payments, penalties and interest. In connection with its adoption of the Teeter Plan, the County advanced to the participating taxing agencies an amount equal to 95% of the total then-prior years’ delinquent secured property taxes and 100% of the then-current year’s secured roll levy. Supplemental taxes are currently excluded from the Teeter Plan.

To implement a Teeter Plan, the board of supervisors of a county generally must elect to do so by July 15 of the fiscal year in which it is to apply. As a separate election, a county may elect to have the Teeter Plan procedures also apply to assessments on the secured roll. Once adopted, a county’s Teeter Plan will remain in effect in perpetuity unless the board of supervisors orders its discontinuance or unless prior to the commencement of a fiscal year a petition for discontinuance is received and joined in by resolutions of the governing bodies of not less than two thirds of the participating districts in the county. An electing county may, however, determine to discontinue the Teeter Plan with respect to any levying agency in the county if the board of supervisors, by action taken not later than July 15 of a fiscal year, elects to discontinue the procedure with respect to such levying agency and the rate of secured tax delinquencies in that agency in any year exceeds 3% of the total of all taxes and assessments levied on the secured roll by that agency.

Taxing entities that are required to maintain funds in the County Treasury are all included in the Teeter Plan. These include all K-12 school districts, community college districts and certain special districts. Other taxing entities may elect to be included in the Teeter Plan. Taxing entities that do not elect to participate in the Teeter Plan will be paid as taxes are collected. In Fiscal Year 2013-14, approximately 55.3% of all taxing entities within the County participated in the Teeter Plan.

Pursuant to the Law, the County is required to establish a tax losses reserve fund to cover losses that may occur in the amount of tax liens as a result of special sales of tax-defaulted property (i.e., if the sale price of the property is less than the amount owed). The appropriate amount in the fund is determined by one of two methods: (1) an amount not less than 1% of the total amount of taxes and assessments levied on the secured roll for a particular year for entities participating in the Teeter Plan, or (2) an amount not less than 25% of the total delinquent secured taxes and assessments calculated as of the end of the fiscal year for entities participating in the Teeter Plan. Any amount in excess of the 1% or 25% level determined pursuant to either method of calculation may be credited to the County’s General Fund. The County is currently governed by the first alternative, and this amount has consistently been sufficient to provide for any tax losses.

Since 1997, the County has issued taxable and tax exempt notes from time to time, such as the Notes, to finance the County's obligations to make distributions to the Revenue Districts pursuant to the Teeter Plan, and to refund certain obligations of the County related to such obligations. The County manages the program on a continuous basis paying down the amount outstanding with collections of prior years' taxes, funding the current year's advance and rolling over any unpaid amounts.

From Fiscal Year 1997-98 through Fiscal Year 2006-07, the size of the Teeter Plan obligations fluctuated between approximately \$24 million and \$90 million, producing annual net revenue to the County's General Fund of approximately \$14 million to \$25 million. In Fiscal Year 2007-08, the Teeter Plan obligations grew to approximately \$168.4 million and peaked at approximately \$266.6 million in Fiscal Year 2008-09. For the last five fiscal years the annual Teeter revenues averaged approximately \$45 million. As the amount of delinquent taxes receivable has shrunk the annual revenue available to the General Fund will decline. For Fiscal Year 2013-14, the net Teeter revenue to the County's General Fund was approximately \$31 million. The County estimates the Teeter Plan obligations will be approximately \$102 million in Fiscal Year 2014-15. The following Table 1 sets forth the aggregate principal amount of the Teeter Plan obligations issued in fiscal years 2007-08 through 2013-14.

TABLE 1
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
TEETER PLAN OBLIGATIONS ISSUED
FISCAL YEARS 2007-08 THROUGH 2014-15

<i>Fiscal Year</i>	<i>Principal Amount</i>
2007-08	\$168,436,000
2008-09	266,629,000
2009-10	257,300,000
2010-11	206,805,000
2011-12	171,325,000
2012-13	142,840,000
2013-14	119,770,000
2014-15	102,000,000*

* *Preliminary, subject to change.*
Source: County of Riverside.

The County accounts for the Teeter Plan in its audited financial statements by listing the amount of Notes payable with its other liabilities; including unpaid taxes with its other receivables; and including apportioned prior years' taxes on deposit with other restricted cash. The taxes receivable are listed in their principal amount without any penalties or accrued interest. See APPENDIX B — "COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013 — Note 6 —Receivables."

Since the Teeter Program is ongoing, the County must have annual access to cash, either through the issuance of Teeter notes, such as the Notes, or other alternative sources of cash. Should market access for Teeter notes be limited, and no private or direct bank placements options be available, the County has two voluntary options to meet the redemption of maturing Teeter notes and to fund the subsequent Teeter advance to the participating Revenue Districts.

One of the County's options is to have the County Treasurer's Pooled Investment Fund (the "PIF") purchase the Teeter notes. Such Teeter notes have been purchased by the PIF in the past. The PIF is much larger than the aggregate principal amount of the Notes (approximately \$102 million) and purchase of the Notes could be easily accommodated by the current PIF size (approximately \$4.74 billion as of August 31, 2014). Formal Board of Supervisors and County Treasurer approval would be required in order for the PIF to purchase Teeter notes if the Notes are not rated or otherwise not qualified for purchase under the County's investment policy. See APPENDIX A — "FINANCIAL INFORMATION — Riverside County Treasurer's Pooled Investment Fund."

Another option for the County to meet the redemption requirements of maturing Teeter notes and to fund the subsequent Teeter advance to the participating Revenue Districts would be for the County to advance funds from the General Fund. Lawfully available moneys in the County's General Fund are available for the repayment of the Notes, and the continuation of the Teeter Program is beneficial to the County's over-all financial condition. Should additional cash be needed, the County may borrow lawfully available moneys in the County's General Fund to meet the redemption of maturing Teeter notes and to fund the subsequent Teeter advance to the participating Revenue Districts. Such General Fund borrowings to meet the redemption of maturing Teeter notes and to fund the subsequent Teeter advance to the participating Revenue Districts have been authorized by the Board of Supervisors. See "— The General Fund" herein.

Additionally, the County Treasurer and the County Auditor-Controller have an operating agreement to facilitate such General Fund borrowings by allowing the General Fund account in which the County Pool is deposited to run a negative balance. The amount by which the balance in the General Fund account in which the County Pool is deposited may be negative is capped by the amount the County may borrow. Such operating agreement allows for a seamless mechanism. It also spreads the loan across all County funds, minimizing the impact on any single fund and the need to manage individual fund balances. The Government Code section allows such borrowings on an indefinite basis, stipulating repayment prior to such date that funds are needed in the originating funds. The County has utilized this approach for many years including during the 1990s when the County carried a substantial year-end negative cash balance in the General Fund.

Tax Collections

The operation of the County's property tax system is shared by three elected officials: the County Assessor, the County Treasurer Tax-Collector and the County Auditor-Controller. The County Treasurer-Tax Collector bears primary responsibility for billing and collection, while the Auditor-Controller is responsible for accounting and apportionment issues. Payments not made by December 10 and April 10 are subject to a 10% delinquency penalty. Unpaid taxes begin accruing a 1.5% per month additional charge if unpaid at the end of the fiscal year (each June 30). The following Table 2 sets forth the secured property tax levy and collections for fiscal years 2002-03 through 2013-14.

TABLE 2
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES - LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2002-03 THROUGH 2013-14
SECURED PROPERTY TAX ROLL⁽¹⁾

<i>Fiscal Year</i>	<i>Secured Property Tax Levy⁽²⁾</i>	<i>Current Levy Delinquent June 30</i>	<i>Percentage of Current Taxes Delinquent June 30⁽²⁾</i>	<i>Total Collections⁽³⁾</i>	<i>Percentage of Total Collections to Current Levy</i>
2002-03	\$1,348,190,139	\$ 44,478,022	3.30%	\$1,388,639,880	103.00%
2003-04	1,506,949,011	42,164,689	2.80	1,571,572,091	104.29
2004-05	1,747,034,222	55,557,116	3.18	1,797,065,686	102.86
2005-06	2,094,068,686	88,930,195	4.25	2,122,973,130	101.38
2006-07	2,559,448,076	180,175,146	7.04	2,533,225,935	98.98
2007-08	2,964,341,768	255,672,935	8.62	2,928,205,634	98.78
2008-09	3,029,936,136	222,218,035	7.33	3,146,419,870	103.84
2009-10	2,791,941,475	139,427,699	4.99	2,957,072,395	105.91
2010-11	2,698,915,858	95,454,538	3.54	2,826,336,496	104.72
2011-12	2,676,613,483	70,921,563	2.65	2,805,588,954	104.82
2012-13	2,677,034,057	58,215,544	2.17	2,800,820,511	104.62
2013-14 ⁽⁴⁾	2,813,381,750	49,716,695	1.76	2,943,824,187	104.64

(1) The Levy and Collection data reflects the 1% levy allowed under Article XIII A of the California Constitution and additional taxes levied for voter-approved debt and special assessments. Taxes for the County, cities, schools districts, special districts and redevelopment agencies are included in the totals.

(2) Under the Teeter Plan, participating agencies receive their full levy of current secured taxes regardless of delinquency rate, subject to roll corrections during the year. Prior year taxes are deposited to the Teeter Plan fund; penalties and interest thereon deposited to the Tax Loss Reserve Fund.

(3) Includes current and prior years' redemptions, penalties and interest; refunds charged to current year collections as a result of corrections and roll changes.

(4) Actual unaudited figures.

Source: County Auditor-Controller.

Properties are subject to foreclosure if delinquent taxes and penalties are not paid within five years. Tax sale is the County's ultimate collection tool; at the same time the County may realize a tax loss upon sale. The County is required to transfer the amount of any tax loss from the Tax Losses Reserve Fund to the Teeter Debt Service Account. The required balance in the Tax Losses Reserve Fund for Fiscal Year 2013-14 is approximately \$16.0 million. The County's history of tax sales has been favorable, with minimal losses experienced over the last ten years. The following Table 3 sets forth the Teeter Plan losses in fiscal years 2002-03 through 2013-14.

TABLE 3
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
TEETER LOSSES IN FISCAL YEARS 2001-02 THROUGH 2013-14

<i>Fiscal Year</i>	<i>Maximum Projected Tax Loss</i>	<i>Maximum Projected Teeter Loss⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾</i>	<i>Actual Tax Loss⁽³⁾</i>	<i>Actual Teeter Loss⁽²⁾</i>
2002-03	\$ 560,784.00	\$392,736.80	\$179,262.86	\$125,484.00
2003-04	1,060,115.30	787,265.37	112,792.59	93,617.85
2004-05	387,710.76	307,171.46	54,900.27	40,077.21
2005-06	934,648.98	654,254.29	510,153.97	378,483.25
2006-07 ⁽⁴⁾	-	-	-	-
2007-08	67,681.48	49,712.06	40,026.93	29,379.77
2008-09	312,262.33	232,260.74	151,005.46	112,197.06
2009-10	297,323.41	218,740.84	273,665.55	201,853.93
2010-11	246,887.56	133,887.11	235,583.74	127,750.50
2011-12	571,731.15	314,605.46	249,452.87	137,310.17
2012-13	649,110.18	357,400.07	80,748.55	44,411.71
2013-14 ⁽⁵⁾	5,211,319.08	2,878,952.98	747,826.47	412,920.48

(1) Assuming all properties sold at tax sale at the minimum authorized bid.

(2) Teeter's prorata share based on the percentages provided by the Auditor's office of those agencies that participate in Teeter.

(3) Tax loss equals taxes owed minus sale proceeds (per parcel), if proceeds do not exceed taxes owed. Any excess sale proceeds are refunded.

(4) There was only one tax sale in Fiscal Year 2006-07 which did not result in a tax loss.

(5) Beginning in Fiscal Year 2013-14, the County changed its process for selling delinquent properties which required the County to recognize the entire tax delinquency for each property as the maximum projected tax loss. As a result, the maximum projected tax loss and the maximum projected teeter loss for Fiscal Year 2013-14 are much greater than in previous years. However, the Fiscal Year 2013-14 actual tax losses and actual teeter losses are within the range of previous fiscal years.

Source: County of Riverside.

The General Fund

In addition to Series B Taxes, the Notes are payable from the County's General Fund. For Fiscal Year 2013-14, the County estimates that approximately 42.5% of its General Fund budget revenues consisted of payments from the State and 21.1% consisted of payments from the federal government. Discretionary revenue was budgeted to increase to approximately \$586.6 million for Fiscal Year 2013-14, an increase of approximately 3.0% from the Fiscal Year 2012-13 adjusted budget estimates. The Fiscal Year 2013-14 Budget included an increase in discretionary spending of approximately \$16.7 million from the prior fiscal year. Property tax revenue was budgeted at approximately \$266.2 million for Fiscal Year 2013-14, and was approximately 45.4% of the County's discretionary revenue. Assessed valuation in Fiscal Year 2013-14 increased approximately 3.95% from Fiscal Year 2012-13.

In June 2013, the Board of Supervisors approved the Fiscal Year 2013-14 Recommended Budget. The Fiscal Year 2013-14 Recommended Budget includes total General Fund appropriations of approximately \$2.7 billion. For Fiscal Year 2014-15, the County projects that approximately 42.1% of its General Fund budget revenues will consist of payments from the State and 20.7% will consist of payments from the Federal government. Discretionary revenue is budgeted to increase from \$586.6 million in Fiscal Year 2013-14 to \$623.5 million in Fiscal Year 2014-15, an increase of approximately \$36.9 million representing an approximate 6.3% increase from the Fiscal Year 2013-14 adjusted budget estimates. See APPENDIX A — "INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE — FINANCIAL INFORMATION."

Property tax revenue is budgeted at approximately \$282.2 million for Fiscal Year 2014-15, and represents approximately 45.3% of the County's budgeted Fiscal Year 2014-15 discretionary revenue. The Fiscal Year 2013-14 Recommended Budget assumes an increase in assessed valuation in Fiscal Year 2014-15 of approximately 4.4% from Fiscal Year 2013-14. In July 2014, the County Assessor announced the preliminary Fiscal Year 2014-15 assessment roll grew by approximately 7.92%.

The following table compares the General Fund budgets for each of the last five fiscal years as initially adopted by the Board of Supervisors. During the course of each fiscal year, a budget may be amended to reflect adjustments to receipts and expenditures that have been approved by the Board of Supervisors.

TABLE 4
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
ADOPTED GENERAL FUND BUDGETS⁽¹⁾
FISCAL YEARS 2010-11 THROUGH 2014-15
(IN MILLIONS)

	<i>2010-11 Budget</i>	<i>2011-12 Budget</i>	<i>2012-13 Budget</i>	<i>2013-14 Budget</i>	<i>2014-15 Recommended Budget⁽⁴⁾</i>
<u>REQUIREMENTS</u>					
General Government	\$ 175.3	\$ 174.4	\$ 180.4	\$ 179.5	\$ 174.3
Public Protection	1,062.4	1,060.0	1,072.1	1,132.4	1,186.1
Public Ways and Facilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Health and Sanitation	396.0	411.9	430.1	485.9	481.1
Public Assistance	780.0	802.9	762.3	835.7	902.7
Education	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Recreation and Cultural	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.3
Debt Retirement-Capital Leases	6.8	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9
Contingencies	20.0	20.0	7.0	20.0	20.0
Increase to Reserves	<u>17.5</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>2.3</u>
Total Requirements ⁽²⁾	<u>\$ 2,458.9</u>	<u>\$ 2,477.7</u>	<u>\$ 2,459.8</u>	<u>\$ 2,661.7</u>	<u>\$ 2,772.0</u>
<u>AVAILABLE FUNDS</u>					
Use of Fund Balance and Reserves ⁽³⁾	\$ 107.8	\$ 90.1	\$ 74.0	\$ 78.3	\$ 51.4
Estimated Revenues:					
Property Taxes	222.4	214.9	211.5	229.9	247.4
Other Taxes	46.0	35.5	35.0	31.0	27.0
Licenses, Permits and Franchises	19.8	18.1	17.7	17.6	18.2
Fines, Forfeitures and Penalties	58.0	56.2	51.7	49.3	45.3
Use of Money and Properties	11.2	10.0	7.4	6.3	10.7
Aid from Other Governmental Agencies:					
State	921.7	936.3	1,005.5	1,097.4	1,192.7
Federal	501.2	506.7	493.9	544.9	551.8
Charges for Current Services	461.0	462.8	442.6	469.1	497.1
Other Revenues	<u>111.9</u>	<u>147.7</u>	<u>120.5</u>	<u>137.9</u>	<u>130.4</u>
Total Available Funds ⁽²⁾	<u>\$ 2,458.9</u>	<u>\$ 2,477.7</u>	<u>\$ 2,459.8</u>	<u>\$ 2,661.7</u>	<u>\$ 2,772.0</u>

(1) Prior to Fiscal Year 2010-11, State Controller identified an "Adopted" budget as a "Final" budget. Data source is the official budget documents submitted to the State Controller's Office. Figures do not reflect quarterly amendments or adjustments.

(2) Column numbers may not add up to totals due to rounding.

(3) See APPENDIX A — "INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE — FINANCIAL INFORMATION — Financial Statements and Related Issues" for the actual General Fund balance at the end of fiscal years 2008-09 through 2012-13.

(4) The Fiscal Year 2014-15 Recommended Budget is scheduled to be considered by the County Board of Supervisors on September 23, 2014. Source: County Auditor-Controller/County Executive Office.

The following table compares the actual beginning and ending balances in the General Fund budgets for fiscal years 2008-09 through 2012-13.

TABLE 5
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES
IN UNRESERVED FUND BALANCES – GENERAL FUND
FISCAL YEARS 2008-09 THROUGH 2012-13
(In Thousands)

	<i>Fiscal Year 2008-09</i>	<i>Fiscal Year 2009-10</i>	<i>Fiscal Year 2010-11</i>	<i>Fiscal Year 2011-12</i>	<i>Fiscal Year 2012-13</i>
BEGINNING FUND BALANCE	\$ 481,776	\$ 372,121	\$ 386,486	\$ 343,562	\$ 336,598
REVENUES					
Taxes	\$ 274,480	\$ 229,631	\$ 221,807	\$ 216,746	246,144
Licenses, permits and franchises	19,840	16,724	18,187	17,648	16,442
Fines, forfeitures and penalties	107,147	112,813	93,528	88,979	85,241
Use of money and property – Interest	33,414	12,197	8,196	4,740	1,676
Use of money and property –					
Rents and concessions	3,157	3,936	3,669	3,798	3,670
Government Aid – State	908,334	820,432	856,327	931,652	1,000,545
Government Aid – Federal	472,210	504,605	490,088	475,221	478,791
Governmental Aid-Other	95,812	89,312	82,147	80,332	81,169
Charges for current services	364,649	367,249	369,780	354,451	374,750
Other revenues	<u>36,149</u>	<u>30,670</u>	<u>37,654</u>	<u>40,852</u>	<u>26,253</u>
TOTAL REVENUES	\$ 2,315,192	\$ 2,187,569	\$ 2,181,383	\$ 2,214,419	\$ 2,315,681
EXPENDITURES					
General government	\$ 146,816	\$ 130,516	\$ 109,146	\$ 127,195	\$ 103,895
Public protection	1,062,437	1,005,679	1,025,584	1,010,999	1,043,017
Public ways and facilities	4,378	-	-	-	-
Health and sanitation	382,588	333,068	345,649	369,165	388,325
Public assistance	719,328	712,353	731,017	719,670	735,057
Education	675	551	548	579	564
Recreation and cultural	230	312	364	324	346
Capital Outlay	22,746	31,018	8,321	2,671	1,721
Debt service	<u>22,501</u>	<u>21,876</u>	<u>24,829</u>	<u>21,426</u>	<u>19,576</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$ 2,361,699	\$ 2,234,373	\$ 2,245,458	\$ 2,252,029	\$ 2,292,501
Excess (deficit) of revenues over (under) expenditures	\$ (46,507)	\$ (47,804)	\$ (64,075)	\$ (37,610)	\$ 23,180
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)					
Transfer from other reserves	\$ 99,825	\$ 168,833	\$ 106,047	\$ 23,587	\$ 92,297
Transfer to other funds	(185,719)	(132,682)	(93,217)	(98,045)	(96,547)
Capital Leases	<u>22,746</u>	<u>31,018</u>	<u>8,321</u>	<u>2,671</u>	<u>1,721</u>
Total other Financing Sources (Uses)	\$ (63,148)	\$ 62,169	\$ 21,151	\$ 28,213	\$ (2,529)
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	\$ (109,655)	\$ 14,365	\$ (42,924)	\$ (9,397)	\$ 20,651
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR ⁽¹⁾	\$ 372,121	\$ 386,486	\$ 343,562	\$ 336,598	\$ 357,249

⁽¹⁾ As of June 30, 2011, the County's financial statements reported fund balance in accordance with GASB Statement No. 54, which establishes fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

Source: County Auditor-Controller.

Changes in payments to the County from the State, whether temporary or permanent, will require further adjustments to the 2014-15 budget. Deferrals in State payments may jeopardize the County's ability to maintain core discretionary programs that could require suspension of such programs. Permanent cuts in State funding will require the County to reduce programs reliant on State funds, unless the County chooses to make corresponding reductions to discretionary funding for core County services. The County is continuously monitoring developments at the State and local level, and may be required to make adjustments to its budget from time to time. See "STATE OF CALIFORNIA BUDGET INFORMATION" herein.

For additional information concerning the County's General Fund and the County's finances, see APPENDIX A — "INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE."

Alternative and Other Restricted Cash Resources. California Government Code Section 25252 authorizes the Board of Supervisors of the County to establish and abolish funds necessary for the proper transaction of the business of the County and further provides that the Board of Supervisors may authorize the County Auditor to perform this function. In addition, California Government Code Section 25252 authorizes the Board of Supervisors to make transfers from one fund to another as the public interest requires and further provides that the Board of Supervisors may by resolution authorize the County Auditor to make such transfers of money from one fund to another if the Board of Supervisors has authority over each such fund, as the public interest requires. Pursuant to Resolution 2010-205, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on August 10, 2010, the Board of Supervisors has authorized the County Auditor to make temporary transfers of money between those funds under the authority of the Board of Supervisors as the public interest may require. Additionally, the Board of Supervisors has adopted a General Fund Balance and Reserve Policy which does not preclude the use of temporary transfers of money between funds. While the County has made temporary transfers of money between funds from time to time subsequent to the adoption of Resolution 2010-205, the County has not exercised such authority with respect to any Teeter Obligations in any of the last three fiscal years.

Set forth in Table 6 below are the actual alternative cash resources available to the County from the specified funds as of the dates set forth in such table. Pursuant to the authority granted in Resolution 2010-205, the County Auditor is authorized, without further Board of Supervisors approval, to transfer such moneys from one County fund to another County fund as the public interest may require, including transfers to the General Fund for the payment of the Notes. However, transfers from non-County funds, including, without limitation, the Flood Control, Perris Valley Cemetery and District Court Financing Corporation funds, would require additional action by the Board of Supervisors. There is no prescribed time period for the repayment of temporary transfers from one fund to another. The County Auditor has the authority to determine the timing of such repayments based on the needs of the respective funds.

TABLE 6
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
ALTERNATIVE AND OTHER RESTRICTED CASH RESOURCES
(in Thousands)

<i>Fund Type</i>	<i>Fund Purpose</i>	<i>Audited Actual Balance June 30, 2013</i>	<i>Unaudited Actual Balance June 30, 2014</i>
Special Revenue	Transportation	\$ 145,644	\$ 156,013
Special Revenue	Flood Control	256,683	269,639
Special Revenue	Community Services	40,557	42,492
Special Revenue	County Services Areas	20,660	21,582
Special Revenue	Other Special Revenue	24,452	25,030
Capital Project	Public Facilities	346,092	360,584
Capital Project	Crest	13,522	14,729
Capital Project	PSEC	296	--
Enterprise	County Service Areas	108	100
Enterprise	Flood Control	2,549	2,702
Enterprise	Regional Medical Center	(21,637)	(82,001)
Enterprise	Waste Management	110,809	112,124
Internal Service	Records Management and Archive	1,330	1,449
Internal Service	Fleet Services	11,016	12,133
Internal Service	Information Services	6,116	10,339
Internal Service	Printing Services	2,879	3,066
Internal Service	Supply Services	3,840	3,849
Internal Service	OASIS Project	4,551	--
Internal Service	Risk Management	183,397	190,304
Internal Service	Temporary Assistance Pool	1,860	2,300
Internal Service	Flood Control Equipment	7,620	7,849
Internal Service	EDA Facilities Management	<u>6,165</u>	<u>6,709</u>
Total Alternative Cash Resources		\$1,168,509	\$1,160,992
Permanent fund	Perris Valley Cemetery	\$ 526	\$ 576
Special Revenue	Regional Park and Open-Space	10,050	10,410
Special Revenue	Air Quality Improvement	345	350
Special Revenue	In-Home Support Services	1,411	1,537
Capital Project	Perris Valley Cemetery	509	545
Capital Project	Flood Control	8,398	8,772
Debt Service	Regional Park and Open-Space	151	151
Enterprise	Housing	29,113	33,011
Trust and Agency	Agency Funds	226,773	230,112
Trust and Agency	Private Purpose Trust	155,261	147,498
Debt Service	Pension Obligation	6,014	6,164
Other	Children and Families Commission	<u>43,522</u>	<u>47,632</u>
Other Cash Resources of Riverside County		\$ 482,073	\$ 486,758

<i>Fund Type</i>	<i>Audited Actual Balance June 30, 2013</i>	<i>Unaudited Actual Balance June 30, 2014</i>
Alternative Cash Resources	\$1,168,509	\$1,160,992
Other Restricted Cash	482,073	486,758
General Fund Unrestricted Cash	<u>128,656</u>	<u>148,748</u>
All Riverside County Cash	\$1,779,238	\$1,796,498

Source: County Auditor-Controller.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY LIMITATIONS ON TAXES, REVENUES AND APPROPRIATIONS

Article XIII A of the State Constitution

In 1978, California voters approved Proposition 13, adding Article XIII A to the California Constitution. Article XIII A was subsequently amended in 1986, as discussed below. Article XIII A limits the amount of any *ad valorem* tax on real property to 1% of the full cash value thereof, except that additional *ad valorem* taxes may be levied to pay debt service on indebtedness approved by the voters prior to July 1, 1978 and on bonded indebtedness for the acquisition or improvement of real property which has been approved on or after July 1, 1978 by two-thirds of the voters voting on such indebtedness. Article XIII A defines full cash value to mean “the county assessor’s valuation of real property as shown on the 1975-76 tax bill under ‘full cash value’ or thereafter, the appraised value of real property when purchased, newly constructed, or a change in ownership has occurred after the 1975 assessment.” This full cash value may be increased at a rate not to exceed 2% per year to account for inflation.

Article XIII A has subsequently been amended to permit reduction of the “full cash value” base in the event of declining property values caused by damage, destruction or other factors, and to provide that there would be no increase in the “full cash value” base in the event of reconstruction of property damaged or destroyed in a disaster.

Legislation has been enacted and amended a number of times since 1978 to implement Article XIII A. Under current law, local agencies are no longer permitted to levy directly any property tax (except to pay voter-approved indebtedness). The 1% property tax is automatically levied by the county and distributed according to a formula among taxing agencies. The formula apportions the tax roughly in proportion to the relative shares of taxes levied prior to 1979.

Increases of assessed valuation resulting from reappraisals of property due to new construction, change in ownership or from the 2% annual adjustment are allocated among the various jurisdictions in the “taxing area” based upon their respective “situs.” Any such allocation made to the County continues as part of its allocation in future years.

Article XIII B of the State Constitution

On November 6, 1979, California voters approved Proposition 4, known as the Gann Initiative, which added Article XIII B to the California Constitution. Propositions 98 and 111, approved by the California voters in 1988 and 1990, respectively, substantially modified Article XIII B. The principal effect of Article XIII B is to limit the annual appropriations of the State and any city, county, school district, authority, or other political subdivision of the State to the level of appropriations for the prior fiscal year, as adjusted for changes in the cost of living and population. The initial version of Article XIII B provided that the “base year” for establishing an appropriations limit was the 1978-79 fiscal year, which was then adjusted annually to reflect changes in population, consumer prices and certain increases in the cost of services provided by these public agencies. Proposition 111 revised the method for making annual adjustments to the appropriations limit by redefining changes in the cost of living and in population. It also required that beginning in Fiscal Year 1990-91, each appropriations limit must be recalculated using the actual 1986-87 appropriations limit and making the applicable annual adjustments as if the provisions of Proposition 111 had been in effect.

Appropriations subject to limitation of a local government under Article XIII B include generally any authorization to expend during a fiscal year the proceeds of taxes levied by or for that entity and the proceeds of certain State subventions to that entity, exclusive of refunds of taxes. Proceeds of taxes include, but are not limited to, all tax revenues plus the proceeds to an entity of government from (1) regulatory licenses, user charges and user fees (but only to the extent such proceeds exceed the cost of providing the service or regulation), (2) the investment of tax revenues, and (3) certain subventions received from the State. Article XIII B permits any government entity to change the appropriations limit by a vote of the electors in conformity with statutory and constitutional voting effective for a maximum of four years.

As amended by Proposition 111, Article XIII B provides for testing of appropriations limits over consecutive two-year periods. If an entity's revenues in any two-year period exceed the amounts permitted to be spent over such period, the excess has to be returned by revising tax rates or fee schedules over the subsequent two years. As amended by Proposition 98, Article XIII B provides for the payment of a portion of any excess revenues to a fund established to assist in financing certain school needs.

The County's appropriations limit for Fiscal Year 2012-13 was \$2,246,378,720 and the amount shown in its budget for that year as the appropriations subject to limitation was \$1,119,274,762. The County's appropriations limit for Fiscal Year 2013-14 was \$2,388,219,717 and the amount subject to the limitation was \$862,139,716. Based on the preliminary Fiscal Year 2014-15 assessed value, the County's appropriations limit for Fiscal Year 2014-15 is \$2,416,779,004 and the amount subject to the limitation is \$875,067,523.

Right to Vote on Taxes Initiative Proposition 218

On November 5, 1996, the voters of the State approved Proposition 218, a constitutional initiative, entitled the "Right to Vote on Taxes Act" ("Proposition 218"). Proposition 218 adds Articles XIII C and XIII D to the California Constitution and contains a number of interrelated provisions affecting the ability of local governments, including the County, to levy and collect both existing and future taxes, assessments, fees and charges. Proposition 218 became effective on November 6, 1996. Senate Bill 919 was enacted to provide certain implementing provisions for Proposition 218 and became effective July 1, 1997. Proposition 218 could substantially restrict the County's ability to raise future revenues and could subject certain existing sources of revenue to reduction or repeal, and increase the County's costs to hold elections, calculate fees and assessments, notify the public and defend its fees and assessments in court. Further, as described below, Proposition 218 provides for broad initiative powers to reduce or repeal assessments, fees and charges. This initiative power is not limited by the terms of Proposition 218 to fees imposed after November 6, 1996 and absent other legal authority could result in retroactive reduction in any existing taxes, assessments or fees and charges. However, other than any impact resulting from the exercise of this initiative power, presently the County does not believe that the potential financial impact on the financial condition of the County as a result of the provisions of Proposition 218 will adversely affect the County's ability to pay debt service on the Notes as and when due and its other obligations payable from the General Fund.

Article XIII C of Proposition 218 requires majority voter approval for the imposition, extension or increase of general taxes and two thirds voter approval for the imposition, extension or increase of special taxes, including special taxes deposited into the County's General Fund. Proposition 218 also provides that any general tax imposed, extended or increased without voter

approval by any local government on or after January 1, 1995 and prior to November 6, 1996 shall continue to be imposed only if approved by a majority vote in an election held within two years of November 6, 1996. The County has not enacted imposed, extended or increased any tax without voter approval since January 1, 1995. These voter approval requirements of Proposition 218 reduce the flexibility of the County to raise revenues through General Fund taxes, and no assurance can be given that the County will be able to raise such taxes in the future to meet increased expenditure requirements.

On November 2, 2010, voters in the State approved Proposition 26. Proposition 26 amends Article XIIC of the State Constitution to expands the definition of “tax” to include “any levy, charge, or exaction of any kind imposed by a local government” except the following: (1) a charge imposed for a specific benefit conferred or privilege granted directly to the payor that is not provided to those not charged, and which does not exceed the reasonable costs to the local government of conferring the benefit or granting the privilege; (2) a charge imposed for a specific government service or product provided directly to the payor that is not provided to those not charged, and which does not exceed the reasonable costs to the local government of providing the service or product; (3) a charge imposed for the reasonable regulatory costs to a local government for issuing licenses and permits, performing investigations, inspections, and audits, enforcing agricultural marketing orders, and the administrative enforcement and adjudication thereof; (4) a charge imposed for entrance to or use of local government property, or the purchase, rental, or lease of local government property; (5) A fine, penalty, or other monetary charge imposed by the judicial branch of government or a local government, as a result of a violation of law; (6) a charge imposed as a condition of property development; and (7) assessments and property-related fees imposed in accordance with the provisions of Article XIID. Proposition 26 provides that the local government bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that a levy, charge, or other exaction is not a tax, that the amount is no more than necessary to cover the reasonable costs of the governmental activity, and that the manner in which those costs are allocated to a payor bear a fair or reasonable relationship to the payor’s burdens on, or benefits received from, the governmental activity. The County does not believe it is currently charging any fees which will have to be reduced or eliminated as a result of Proposition 26.

Article XIIC of Proposition 218 also expressly extends the initiative power to give voters the power to reduce or repeal local taxes, assessments, fees and charges, regardless of the date such taxes, assessments, fees or charges were imposed. This extension of the initiative power to some extent constitutionalizes the March 6, 1995 State Supreme Court decision in *Rossi v. Brown*, which upheld an initiative that repealed a local tax and held that the State constitution does not preclude the repeal, including the prospective repeal, of a tax ordinance by an initiative, as contrasted with the State constitutional prohibition on referendum powers regarding statutes and ordinances which impose a tax. Generally, the initiative process enables California voters to enact legislation upon obtaining requisite voter approval at a general election. Proposition 218 extends the authority stated in *Rossi v. Brown* by expanding the initiative power to include reducing or repealing assessments, fees and charges, which had previously been considered administrative rather than legislative matters and therefore beyond the initiative power. This extension of the initiative power is not limited by the terms of Proposition 218 to fees imposed after November 6, 1996 and absent other legal authority could result in retroactive reduction in any existing taxes, assessments or fees and charges. Such legal authority could include the limitations imposed on the impairment of contracts under the contract clause of the United States Constitution. SB 919 provides that the initiative power provided for in Proposition 218 “shall not be construed to mean that any owner or beneficial owner of a municipal security, purchased before or after (the effective date of Proposition 218) assumes the risk

of, or in any way consents to, any action by initiative measure that constitutes an impairment of contractual rights” protected by the United States Constitution. However, no assurance can be given that the voters of the County will not, in the future, approve an initiative which reduces or repeals local taxes, assessments, fees or charges that currently are deposited into the County’s General Fund.

Further, “fees” and “charges” are not defined in Article XIIC or SB 919. However, on July 24, 2006, the California Supreme Court ruled in *Bighorn-Desert View Water Agency v. Virjil (Kelley)* (the “Bighorn Decision”) that charges for ongoing water delivery are property related fees and charges within the meaning of Article XIID and are also fees or charges within the meaning of Section 3 of Article XIIC. The California Supreme Court held that such water service charges may, therefore, be reduced or repealed through a local voter initiative pursuant to Section 3 of Article XIIC.

In the Bighorn Decision, the Supreme Court did state that nothing in Section 3 of Article XIIC authorizes initiative measures that impose voter-approval requirements for future increases in fees or charges for water delivery. The Supreme Court stated that water providers may determine rates and charges upon proper action of the governing body and that the governing body may increase a charge which was not affected by a prior initiative or impose an entirely new charge.

The Supreme Court further stated in the Bighorn Decision that it was not holding that the initiative power is free of all limitations and was not determining whether the initiative power is subject to the statutory provision requiring that water service charges be set at a level that will pay debt service on bonded debt and operating expenses. Such initiative power could be subject to the limitations imposed on the impairment of contracts under the contract clause of the United States Constitution. Additionally, SB 919 provides that the initiative power provided for in Proposition 218 “shall not be construed to mean that any owner or beneficial owner of a municipal security, purchased before or after (the effective date of Proposition 218) assumes the risk of, or in any way consents to, any action by initiative measure that constitutes an impairment of contractual rights” protected by the United States Constitution.

The initiative power granted under Article XIIC of Proposition 218, by its terms, applies to all local taxes, assessments, fees and charges and is not limited to local taxes, assessments, fees and charges that are property-related. Accordingly, the scope of the initiative power under Article XIIC could include all sources of General Fund moneys not received from or imposed by the federal or State government or derived from investment income.

The County is unable to predict whether the courts will interpret the initiative provision to be limited to property-related fees and charges. No assurance can be given that the voters of the County will not, in the future, approve an initiative which reduces or repeals local taxes, assessments, fees or charges which are deposited into the County’s General Fund. The County believes that in the event that the initiative power was exercised so that all local taxes, assessments, fees and charges that may be subject to the provisions of Proposition 218 are reduced or substantially reduced, the financial condition of the County, including its General Fund, would be materially adversely affected.

Article XIID of Proposition 218 adds several new requirements making it generally more difficult for local agencies to levy and maintain “assessments” for municipal services and programs. “Assessment” is defined in Proposition 218 and SB 919 to mean any levy or charge upon real property for a special benefit conferred upon the real property. This includes maintenance assessments imposed in County service areas and in special districts. In most instances, in the event

that the County is unable to collect assessment revenues relating to specific programs as a consequence of Proposition 218, the County will curtail such services rather than use amounts in the General Fund to finance such programs. Accordingly, the County anticipates that any impact Proposition 218 may have on existing or future taxes, fees, and assessments will not adversely affect the ability of the County to pay debt service on the Notes as and when due. However, no assurance can be given that the County may or will be able to reduce or eliminate such services in the event the assessments that presently finance them are reduced or repealed.

Article XIID of Proposition 218 also adds several provisions affecting “fees” and “charges” which are defined as “any levy other than an ad valorem tax, a special tax, or an assessment, imposed by a local government upon a parcel or upon a person as an incident of property ownership, including a user fee or charge for a property related service.” All new and, after June 30, 1998, existing property related fees and charges must conform to requirements prohibiting, among other things, fees and charges which (i) generate revenues exceeding the funds required to provide the property related service, (ii) are used for any purpose other than those for which the fees and charges are imposed, (iii) are for a service not actually used by, or immediately available to, the owner of the property in question, or (iv) are used for general governmental services, including police, fire or library services, where the service is available to the public at large in substantially the same manner as it is to property owners. Further, before any property related fee or charge may be imposed or increased, written notice must be given to the record owner of each parcel of land affected by such fee or charge. The County must then hold a hearing upon the proposed imposition or increase of such property based fee, and if written protests against the proposal are presented by a majority of the owners of the identified parcels, the County may not impose or increase the fee or charge. Moreover, except for fees or charges for sewer, water and refuse collection services, no property related fee or charge may be imposed or increased without majority approval by the property owners subject to the fee or charge or, at the option of the local agency, two thirds voter approval by the electorate residing in the affected area. The annual amount of revenues that are received by the County and deposited into its General Fund which may be considered to be property related fees under Article XIID of Proposition 218 is not substantial. Accordingly, presently the County does not anticipate that any impact Proposition 218 may have on future fees and charges will adversely affect the ability of the County to pay its outstanding obligations as and when due. However, no assurance can be given that the County may or will be able to reduce or eliminate such services in the event the fees and charges that presently finance them are reduced or repealed.

In the event that fees and charges cannot be appropriately increased or are reduced pursuant to the exercise of the initiative power, the County may have to choose whether to reduce or eliminate the service financed by such fees or charges or finance such service from its General Fund. Further, no assurance can be given that the County may or will be able to reduce or eliminate such services in the event the fees and charges that presently finance them are reduced or repealed.

Additional implementing legislation respecting Proposition 218 may be introduced in the State legislature from time to time that would supplement and add provisions to California statutory law. No assurance may be given as to the terms of such legislation or its potential impact on the County.

Proposition 62

Proposition 62, a statutory initiative that was adopted by the voters voting in the State at the November 4, 1986 general election, (a) requires that any new or higher taxes for general

governmental purposes imposed by local governmental entities be approved by a majority vote of the voters of the governmental entity voting in an election on the tax, (b) requires that any special tax (defined as taxes levied for other than general governmental purposes) imposed by a local governmental entity be approved by a two-thirds vote of the voters of the governmental entity voting in an election on the tax, (c) restricts the use of revenues from a special tax to the purposes or for the service for which the special tax was imposed, (d) prohibits the imposition of *ad valorem* taxes on real property by local governmental entities except as permitted by Article XIII A of the California Constitution, (e) prohibits the imposition of transaction taxes and sales taxes on the sale of real property by local governmental entities, (f) required that any tax imposed by a local governmental entity on or after August 1, 1985 be ratified by a majority vote of the voters voting in an election on the tax within two years of November 5, 1986 or be terminated by November 15, 1988 (a requirement that was subsequently declared unconstitutional, as described below) and (g) requires a reduction of *ad valorem* property taxes allocable to the jurisdiction imposing a tax not in compliance with its provisions equal to one dollar for each dollar of revenue attributable to the invalid tax, for each year that the tax is collected.

Following its adoption by the voters, various provisions of Proposition 62 were declared unconstitutional at the appellate court level. For example, in *City of Woodlake v. Logan*, 230 Cal.App.3d 1058 (1991) (the “Woodlake Case”), the Court of Appeal held portions of Proposition 62 unconstitutional as a referendum on taxes prohibited by the California Constitution. In reliance on the Woodlake Case, numerous taxes were imposed or increased after the adoption of Proposition 62 without satisfying the voter approval requirements of Proposition 62. On September 28, 1995, the California Supreme Court, in *Santa Clara County Local Transportation Authority v. Guardino*, 11 Cal. 4th 220 (1995) (the “Santa Clara Case”), upheld the constitutionality of the portion of Proposition 62 requiring a two-thirds vote in order for a local government or district to impose a special tax, and, by implication, upheld a parallel provision requiring a majority vote in order for a local government or district to impose any general tax. In deciding the Santa Clara Case on Proposition 62 grounds, the Court disapproved the decision in the Woodlake Case.

The decision in the Santa Clara Case did not address the question of whether it should be applied retroactively. On June 4, 2001, the California Supreme Court released *Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association v. City of La Habra, et al.* (“La Habra”). In this decision, the court held that a public agency’s continued imposition and collection of a tax is an ongoing violation, upon which the statute of limitations period begins anew with each collection. The court also held that, unless another statute or constitutional rule provided differently, the statute of limitations for challenges to taxes subject to Proposition 62 is three years. Accordingly, a challenge to a tax subject to Proposition 62 may only be made for those taxes received within three years of the date the action is brought.

Proposition 1A

Proposition 1A, proposed by the Legislature in connection with the 2004-05 Budget Act, approved by the voters in November 2004 and generally effective in 2007-08 fiscal year, provides that the State may not reduce any local sales tax rate, limit existing local government authority to levy a sales tax rate or change the allocation of local sales tax revenues, subject to certain exceptions. Proposition 1A generally prohibits the State from shifting to schools or community colleges any share of property tax revenues allocated to local governments for any fiscal year, as set forth under the laws in effect as of November 3, 2004. Any change in the allocation of property tax revenues among local governments within a county must be approved by two-thirds of both houses of the

Legislature. The State may also approve voluntary exchanges of local sales tax and property tax revenues among local governments within a county. Proposition 1A also provides that if the State reduces the VLF rate currently in effect, 0.65 percent of vehicle value, the State must provide local governments with equal replacement revenues. Further, Proposition 1A requires the State, beginning July 1, 2005, to suspend State mandates affecting cities, counties and special districts, excepting mandates relating to employee rights, schools or community colleges, in any year that the State does not fully reimburse local governments for their costs to comply with such mandates.

Proposition 1A may result in increased and more stable County revenues. The magnitude of such increase and stability is unknown and would depend on future actions by the State. However, Proposition 1A could also result in decreased resources being available for State programs. This reduction, in turn, could affect actions taken by the State to resolve budget difficulties. Such actions could include increasing State taxes, decreasing spending on other State programs or other action, some of which could be adverse to the finances of the County. See APPENDIX A — “INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE — FINANCIAL INFORMATION — Impacts of State Budget.”

Assessment Appeals and Assessor Reductions

Pursuant to California law, a property owner may apply for a reduction of the property tax assessment for such owner’s property by filing a written application, in the form prescribed by the State Board of Equalization, with the appropriate county assessment appeals board (a “Proposition 8” appeal). Any reduction in the assessment ultimately granted applies only to the year for which application is made and during which written application is filed. The assessed value increases to its pre-reduction level for fiscal years following the year for which the reduction application is filed. However, if the taxpayer establishes through proof of comparable values that the property continues to be overvalued (known as “ongoing hardship”), a county assessor has the power to grant a reduction not only for the year for which application was originally made, but also for the then current year as well. In a similar manner, a county assessor may reassert the pre-appeal level of assessed value depending on the county assessor’s determination of current value.

In addition to reductions in assessed value resulting from Proposition 8 appeals, California law also allows assessors to reduce assessed value unilaterally based on a general decline in market value of an area. Although Proposition 8 reductions are temporary and are expected to be eliminated under Proposition 13 if and when market conditions improve, no assurance is given that such reductions will be eliminated. The County has been affected by a reduction in taxable property assessed values due to successful property owner appeals and unilateral reductions by the County Assessor, and may experience additional reductions in the future.

Timing is an important consideration with respect to the property valuation process. Values are set for the current year with a valuation date as of the preceding January 1. Changes in market value subsequent to the January 1 valuation date are not reflected until the subsequent year. Therefore, there is an inherent lag in the process.

The County Assessor prepares the tax roll in each spring and summer. Owners are notified of changes in valuation by the early fall and have the ability to file an appeal. The deadline for appeals in the County is November 30th. Current year appeals take a number of months to process and typically are not resolved by the end of the fiscal year.

Assessor-initialized reductions will represent the bulk of adjustments to the tax roll during a time of a market decline. To date, approximately 26% of the Fiscal Year 2012-13 assessment appeals have been completed. The majority of the remaining Fiscal Year 2012-13 assessment appeals are expected to be completed by November 30, 2014. The County Assessor reports that the assessed value of 275,569 properties in the County were reduced through Proposition 8 in Fiscal Year 2014-15 with approximately \$25.7 billion in reduced valuation. This compares to 395,217 properties and approximately \$39.0 billion in Proposition 8 reductions in Fiscal Year 2013-14 and 447,965 properties and approximately \$45.2 billion in Proposition 8 reductions in Fiscal Year 2012-13. Those adjustments are completed prior to the finalization of the roll in the summer. The vast majority of property tax appeals filed are not upheld. From 2003 to 2013 the dollar amount of successful appeals equaled approximately 4%. For Fiscal Year 2012-13 that figure was approximately 1.3%. See APPENDIX A — “INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE.”

Future Initiatives

Article XIII A, Article XIII B, Article XIII C, Article XIII D and Propositions 62 and 1A were each adopted as measures that qualified for the ballot pursuant to the State’s initiative process. From time to time, other initiative measures could be adopted, further affecting revenues of the County or the County’s ability to expend revenues. The nature and impact of these measures cannot be anticipated by the County.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA BUDGET INFORMATION

The following information concerning the State’s budgets has been obtained from publicly available information which the County believes to be reliable; however, the County does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this information and has not independently verified such information. Furthermore, it should not be inferred from the inclusion of this information in this Official Statement that the principal or interest due with respect to the Notes is payable from any funds of the State.

The County relies significantly upon State and Federal payments for reimbursement of various costs including certain mandated programs. For Fiscal Year 2013-14, approximately 42.1% of the County’s General Fund budget revenues consist of payments from the State and 20.7% consists of payments from the Federal government. For Fiscal Year 2014-15, the County projects that approximately 43.1% of its General Fund budget revenues will consist of payments from the State and 20.4% will consist of payments from the Federal government.

The following information concerning the State’s budgets has been obtained from publicly available information which the County believes to be reliable; however, the County neither takes any responsibility for or guarantees the accuracy or completeness thereof. The County has not independently verified such information. Information about the State Budget is regularly available at various State-maintained websites. Text of the budget may be found at the Department of Finance website. An impartial analysis of the budget is posted by the Office of the Legislative Analyst at its website. In addition, various State official statements, many of which contain a summary of the current and past State budgets, may be found at the website of the State Treasurer. The information referred to is prepared by the respective State agency maintaining each website and not by the County or the Underwriters, and the County and the Underwriters take no responsibility for the

continued accuracy of the internet addresses or for the accuracy or timeliness of information posted there, and such information is not incorporated herein by these references.

For a description of certain potential impacts of the State budget on the finances and operations of the County, see APPENDIX A — “INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE – FINANCIAL INFORMATION – Impacts of State Budget” attached hereto.

State Budget for Fiscal Year 2013-14. In June 2013, the State budget for Fiscal Year 2013-14 (the “2013 Budget Act”) was enacted. The 2013 Budget Act included an estimated \$97.1 billion in revenues and transfers, and planned spending of \$96.3 billion. The 2013 Budget Act included an expected spending increase of 5% from the prior fiscal year and included an additional \$2.7 billion in Proposition 98 funding, accounting for approximately 57% of General Fund spending. The 2013 Budget Act also contained a surplus of approximately \$1.7 billion. Temporary revenues provided by the passage of Proposition 30 (a sales and income tax revenue increase approved by the State voters on November 6, 2012) and spending cuts made in the past two years mean that the State’s budget is projected to remain balanced for the foreseeable future. In addition, the 2013 Budget Act overhauled the State’s system of K-12 education funding by adopting a new allocation formula, known as the local control funding formula (“LCFF”), which transitions funding to a locally-controlled system.

Significant features of the 2013 Budget Act pertaining to counties include the following:

- ***Impact of Health Care Reform***—the 2013 Budget Act proposed the state-based approach to the optional expansion of health care, whereby the State assumed greater financial responsibility for health care programs. The 2013 Budget Act is based on the assumption that increased coverage will generate substantial savings for the counties which pay for care for adults who are not eligible for Medi-Cal through their local indigent health care services programs. Counties currently meet this responsibility by operating facilities including hospitals and clinics and/or by contracting with private providers. Under the state-based approach, county costs and responsibilities for indigent health care are expected to decrease, but the 2013 Budget Act proposes that savings will be calculated based on the actual experience of each county by measuring actual county costs for providing services and the revenue received for such services. The 2013 Budget Act redirects any such savings to support human services programs at the local level.
- ***Redevelopment Agency Funds***—In those areas that contained redevelopment agencies, the 2013 Budget Act estimated that over Fiscal Year 2012-13 and Fiscal Year 2013-14, approximately \$1.4 billion in redevelopment agency funds will be distributed back to counties. The County estimates that it has received approximately \$1.6 million in redevelopment agency funds in Fiscal Years 2012-13 and 2013-14.
- ***CalWORKs Employment Services***—The 2013 Budget Act included an increase of \$191.1 million in fiscal year 2013-14 to support the CalWORKs refocusing measures enacted by SB 1041. Counties are expected to assume greater financial responsibility for CalWORKs, CalWORKs-related child care programs and CalFresh (food stamps). Counties were required to enhance and expand their array of employment services and job development activities for program participants, and intensify case management efforts for individuals not currently participating in activities that will eventually lead to self-sufficiency.

- *In-Home Supportive Services (“IHSS”)*—The 2013 Budget Act included \$1.8 billion General Fund for the IHSS program in Fiscal Year 2013-14, a 4.9% increase over the revised Fiscal Year 2012-13 budget and 6.5% increase from the 2012 Budget Act. An increase of \$47.1 million is related to the recently enacted county maintenance-of-effort requirement (“MOE”). Effective July 1, 2012, counties’ share of the non-federal portion of IHSS costs is based on actual expenditures by counties in fiscal year 2011-12. The counties MOE requirement will increase by 3.5 percent annually, beginning in fiscal year 2014-15.
- *Property Tax Revenues.* Statewide property tax revenues were expected to increase 1.3% in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and 2.8% in Fiscal Year 2013-14. The base 1 percent rate was expected to generate roughly \$50.9 billion in revenue in Fiscal Year 2013-14, of which roughly half (\$27.2 billion) was allocated go to K-14 schools. Of this amount, approximately \$1.5 billion was shifted from schools to cities and counties to replace sales and use tax revenues redirected from those entities to repay the State’s Economic Recovery Bonds, and approximately \$6.1 billion was shifted from schools to cities and counties to replace Vehicle License Fee (“VLF”) revenue losses stemming from the reduced VLF rate. Local governments now receive property tax revenue to compensate them for the loss of VLF revenue. In Fiscal Year 2013-14 the estimated value of the VLF backfill to cities and counties is \$6 billion. The value of the reduction of the VLF rate from 2% to 0.65% is \$4.1 billion.

State Budget for Fiscal Year 2014-15. On June 20, 2014, the State budget for Fiscal Year 2014-15 (the “2014 Budget Act”) was signed into law by the Governor. The following information is drawn from the State Department of Finance’s summary of the 2014 Budget Act. The 2014 Budget Act is based on revenue projections previously included in the Governor’s May Revision to the proposed budget for Fiscal Year 2014-15. For Fiscal Year 2013-14, the 2014-15 Budget Act total State general fund revenues of \$102.2 billion, and total State general fund expenditures of \$100.7 billion. The 2014-15 Budget Act projects that the State will end the Fiscal Year 2013-14 with a \$2.9 billion general fund surplus. For Fiscal Year 2014-15, the 2014 Budget Act projects total State general fund revenues of \$109.4 billion and total State general fund expenditures of \$108 billion, leaving the State with a projected general fund surplus for Fiscal Year 2014-15 of approximately \$2.1 billion. This projected reserve is a combination of \$449 million in the State’s general fund traditional reserve, and an authorized deposit of \$1.6 billion into the Budget Stabilization Account established by the California Balanced Budget Act of 2004 (also known as Proposition 58).

The 2014 Budget Act uses much of the large projected growth – primarily attributable to increases in personal income tax collections – to pay down \$5.1 billion in school and community college deferrals. The 2014 Budget Act includes \$4.75 billion for K-14 LCFF, \$276 million to bolster the State Teacher’s Retirement System, and \$3.9 billion to pay off the State’s remaining prior economic recovery bonds. The 2014 Budget Act places great emphasis in paying down debt in the current and future years, including accelerating completion of the “triple flip” property/sales tax swap by one year. Also, the State Legislature has also placed on the November 2014 ballot a rainy-day fund measure that would base deposits on capital gains related revenues. Based on its review, the County does not anticipate that the 2014 Budget Act will have a significant impact on the County’s Fiscal Year 2014-15 Recommended Budget.

Features of the 2014 Budget Act affecting counties in general include, but are not limited to, the following:

- The 2014 Budget Act continues to suspend all mandates suspended in the current year. The State estimates that it owes to counties, cities, and special districts approximately \$900 million relating to mandate costs which were incurred prior to 2004. In accordance with State law, the State must repay such amounts by Fiscal Year 2020-21. The 2014 Budget Act appropriates \$100 million to local governments in Fiscal Year 2014-15. The State expects to allocate approximately 73% of this amount to counties, 25% to cities and 2% to special districts. The State expects that these amounts will be applied to fund local government services such as public safety and the implementation of the 2011 Public Safety Realignment. In addition, the 2014 Budget Act provides that additional funds, in an amount not to exceed \$800 million, will be provided if the State's estimated General Fund revenues for Fiscal Years 2013-14 and 2014-15 exceed the estimate set forth in the 2014 Budget Act and sufficient moneys remain after payment of the Proposition 98 minimum guarantee for schools.
- The 2014 Budget Act includes an appropriation of approximately \$351 million for loan repayments from the State's General Fund. The appropriation includes approximately \$100 million for cities and counties for local streets and roads. The State expects that the majority of the remaining repayments will be allocated to pavement rehabilitation, maintenance projects on the State highway system and traffic management projects.
- The 2014 Budget Act estimates that in Fiscal Years 2011-12 and 2012-13 combined, cities received \$620 million, counties received \$875 million, and special districts received \$310 million in connection with the dissolution of redevelopment agencies in the State. The 2014 Budget Act estimates that cities will receive approximately \$593 million, counties will receive approximately \$731 million and special districts will receive approximately \$227 million in general purpose revenues in Fiscal Years 2013-14 and 2014-15 combined.
- In connection with the State's water shortage, the 2014 Budget Act notes that the State Legislature enacted emergency legislation in February 2014 to assist communities impacted by the drought and improve management of water supplies. The State's legislation included, among other things, an allocation of approximately \$549 million of bond proceeds for infrastructure grants for local and regional projects. In addition, the State has approved approximately \$21 million of special funds and federal funds for the Department of Housing and Community Development and \$25 million for the Department of Social Services for housing assistance and food assistance, respectively, to individuals impacted by the drought.
- In connection with the 2014 Budget Act, the Governor approved a trailer bill which authorizes approximately \$500 million of lease revenue bond financing authority for the acquisition, design, and construction of local criminal justice facilities. Such authority will supplement the existing lease revenue bond authority provided by Assembly Bill 900 (2007) and Senate Bill 1022 (2012). The Governor expects these bond proceeds to be used for housing and to expand program and treatment space for the adult offender population.

- The 2014 Budget Act provides funding for the Community Corrections Performance Incentive Grant Program in the amount of \$124.8 million. The grant program will provide funds for county probation departments that have reduced the number of adult felony probationers going to county jail or State prison. In addition, the 2014 Budget Act includes \$8 million in one-time funding for each county relating to recidivism reduction (the “Community Recidivism Reduction Grants”). Counties that receive funds for Community Recidivism Reduction Grants will be required to provide funding for nongovernmental entities engaged in these areas less administrative costs.

Information about the State budget and State spending is available at various State maintained websites. Text of the 2014 Budget Act and other documents related to the State budget may be found at the website of the State Department of Finance, www.dof.ca.gov. A nonpartisan analysis of the budget is posted by the Legislative Analyst’s Office at www.lao.ca.gov. In addition, various State official statements, many of which contain a summary of the current and past State budgets may be found at the website of the State Treasurer, www.treasurer.ca.gov.

Future State Budgets. No prediction can be made by the County as to whether the State will encounter budgetary problems in future fiscal years, and if this occurs, it is not clear what measures would be taken by the State to balance its budget, as required by law. In addition, the County cannot predict the final outcome of future State budget negotiations, the impact that such budgets will have on County finances and operations or what actions will be taken in the future by the State Legislature and Governor to deal with changing State revenues and expenditures. Current and future State budgets will be affected by national and State economic conditions and other factors, over which the County has no control.

SPECIAL RISK FACTORS

The following information should be considered by prospective investors in evaluating the Notes. However, this information does not purport to be an exhaustive listing of the risks and other considerations which may be relevant to an investment in the Notes.

Limitations on Remedies; Bankruptcy

The rights of the owners of the Notes are subject to the limitations on legal remedies against counties in the State, including a limitation on enforcement of judgments against funds needed to serve the public welfare and interest. Additionally, enforceability of the rights and remedies of the owners of the Notes, and the obligations incurred by the County, may become subject to the following: the Federal Bankruptcy Code and applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium, or similar laws relating to or affecting the enforcement of creditor’s rights generally, now or hereafter in effect; equity principles which may limit the specific enforcement under State law of certain remedies; the exercise by the United States of America of the powers delegated to it by the Constitution; and the reasonable and necessary exercise, in certain exceptional situations, of the police powers inherent in the sovereignty of the State and its governmental bodies in the interest of serving a significant and legitimate public purpose; and the limitations on remedies against counties in the State. Bankruptcy proceedings, or the exercise of powers by the federal or State government, if initiated, could subject the owners of the Notes to judicial discretion and interpretation of their rights in bankruptcy or otherwise, and consequently may entail risks of delay, limitation, or modification of their rights.

Federal Income Tax Consequences

Certain federal income tax consequences of an investment in the Notes are discussed under “TAX MATTERS.” Each prospective purchaser of the Notes should consult with his or her own tax advisor to determine the specific effects of an investment in the Notes based upon such prospective investor’s particular tax situation.

Loss of Tax Exemption

Bond Counsel’s form of opinion regarding the exclusion from gross income for federal income tax purposes of interest on the 2014 Series D Notes appears as APPENDIX C herein. The County has covenanted in the Resolution to comply with each applicable requirement of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and has executed a Tax Certificate prepared by Bond Counsel and delivered by the County concurrently with the original delivery of the 2014 Series D Notes as guidance for compliance with such provisions. The interest on the 2014 Series D Notes could become includable in gross income for purposes of federal income taxation retroactive to the date of issuance of the 2014 Series D Notes as a result of acts or omissions of the County in violation of such covenants in the Tax Certificate. Should such an event of taxability occur, the 2014 Series D Notes are not subject to redemption and will remain outstanding until maturity. See “TAX MATTERS” herein.

Economy of the County and the State

The level of tax revenues collected at any time is dependent upon the level of retail sales and real property values within the County, which levels are dependent, in turn, upon the level of economic activity in the County and the State generally. The economy of the County is currently experiencing a continued slowdown as evidenced by an increased unemployment rate, a slowdown in total personal income and taxable sales, a drop in residential building permits, a decline in the rate of home sales and the median price of single-family homes and condominiums and an increase in notices of default on mortgage loans secured by homes and condominiums. A deterioration in the level of economic activity within the County or in the State could have a material adverse impact upon the level of tax revenues and therefore upon the ability of the County to pay the principal of and interest on the Notes when due or to issue additional securities in the future. For information relating to the current economic conditions of the County and the State, see APPENDIX A — “INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE.”

VALIDATION

On July 31, 1997, the County, acting pursuant to the provisions of Sections 860 *et seq.* of the California Code of Civil Procedure, filed a complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Riverside (Case No. 299847) seeking judicial validation of the transactions relating to the Resolution (as originally adopted) and certain other matters. On September 12, 1997, the court entered a default judgment to the effect that, among other things, the Resolution and the Obligations issued pursuant to the Resolution, including the Notes, represent valid and binding obligations of the County (the “Default Judgment”). The period allowed for appeal of such judgment by Sections 860 *et seq.* expired on October 14, 1997 without an appeal having been filed.

LITIGATION

As of the date of this Official Statement, to the best knowledge of the County, no litigation is pending or threatened (either in state or federal courts): (A) seeking to restrain or enjoin the execution, sale or delivery of any of the Notes, (B) in any way contesting or affecting the authority for the execution, sale or delivery of the Notes or the adoption of the resolution, (C) in any way contesting the existence or powers of the County, or (D) which would have a material adverse effect on the ability of the County to make payments with respect to the Notes.

TAX MATTERS

In the opinion of Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, Bond Counsel to the County (“Bond Counsel”), based upon an analysis of existing laws, regulations, rulings and court decisions, and assuming, among other matters, the accuracy of certain representations and compliance with certain covenants, interest on the 2014 Series D Notes is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the “Code”) and interest on the Notes is exempt from State of California personal income taxes. Bond Counsel is of the further opinion that interest on the 2014 Series D Notes is not a specific preference item for purposes of the federal individual or corporate alternative minimum taxes, although Bond Counsel observes that such interest is included in adjusted current earnings when calculating corporate alternative minimum taxable income. Bond Counsel further observes that interest on the 2014 Series E Notes is not excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Code. A complete copy of the proposed form of opinion of Bond Counsel is set forth in APPENDIX C hereto.

Notice 94-84, 1994-2 C.B. 559, states that the Internal Revenue Service (the “IRS”) is studying whether the amount of the payment at maturity on short-term debt obligations (i.e., debt obligations with a stated fixed rate of interest which mature not more than one year from the date of issue) that is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes is (i) the stated interest payable at maturity or (ii) the difference between the issue price of the short-term debt obligations and the aggregate amount to be paid at maturity of the short-term debt obligations (the “original issue discount”). For this purpose, the issue price of the short-term debt obligations is the first price at which a substantial amount of the short-term debt obligations is sold to the public (excluding bond houses, brokers, or similar persons or organizations acting in the capacity of underwriters, placement agents or wholesalers). Until the IRS provides further guidance with respect to tax-exempt short-term debt obligations, taxpayers may treat either the stated interest payable at maturity or the original issue discount as interest that is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes. However, taxpayers must treat the amount to be paid at maturity on all tax-exempt short-term debt obligations in a consistent manner. Prospective purchasers of the 2014 Series D Notes should consult their own tax advisors with respect to the tax consequences of ownership of 2014 Series D Notes if the prospective purchaser elects original issue discount treatment.

2014 Series D Notes purchased, whether at original issuance or otherwise, for an amount higher than their principal amount payable at maturity (or, in some cases, at their earlier call date) (“Premium 2014 Series D Notes”) will be treated as having amortizable bond premium. No deduction is allowable for the amortizable bond premium in the case of Notes, like the Premium 2014 Series D Notes, the interest on which is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes. However, the amount of tax-exempt interest received, and a 2014 Series D Noteholder’s basis in a Premium 2014 Series D Note, will be reduced by the amount of amortizable bond premium properly allocable to such 2014 Series D Noteholder. 2014 Series D Noteholders of Premium 2014

Series D Notes should consult their own tax advisors with respect to the proper treatment of amortizable bond premium in their particular circumstances.

The Code imposes various restrictions, conditions and requirements relating to the exclusion from gross income for federal income tax purposes of interest on obligations such as the 2014 Series D Notes. The County has made certain representations and covenanted to comply with certain restrictions, conditions and requirements designed to ensure that interest on the 2014 Series D Notes will not be included in federal gross income. Inaccuracy of these representations or failure to comply with these covenants may result in interest on the 2014 Series D Notes being included in gross income for federal income tax purposes, possibly from the date of original issuance of the 2014 Series D Notes. The opinion of Bond Counsel assumes the accuracy of these representations and compliance with these covenants. Bond Counsel has not undertaken to determine (or to inform any person) whether any actions taken (or not taken), or events occurring (or not occurring), or any other matters coming to Bond Counsel's attention after the date of issuance of the 2014 Series D Notes may adversely affect the value of, or the tax status of interest on, the 2014 Series D Notes. Accordingly, the opinion of Bond Counsel is not intended to, and may not, be relied upon in connection with any such actions, events, or matters.

Although Bond Counsel is of the opinion that interest on the 2014 Series D Notes is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes and interest on the Notes is exempt from State of California personal income taxes, the ownership or disposition of, or the accrual or receipt of amounts treated as interest on, the Notes may otherwise affect a Noteholder's federal, state or local tax liability. The nature and extent of these other tax consequences depends upon the particular tax status of the Noteholder or the Noteholder's other items of income or deduction. Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding any such other tax consequences.

Current and future legislative proposals, if enacted into law, clarification of the Code or court decisions may cause interest on the 2014 Series D Notes to be subject, directly or indirectly, in whole or in part, to federal income taxation or to be subject to or exempted from state income taxation, or otherwise prevent 2014 Series D Noteholders from realizing the full current benefit of the tax status of such interest. For example, Representative Dave Camp, Chair of the House Ways and Means Committee released draft legislation that would subject interest on the 2014 Series D Notes to a federal income tax at an effective rate of 10% or more for individuals, trusts, and estates in the highest tax bracket, and the Obama Administration proposed legislation that would limit the exclusion from gross income of interest on the 2014 Series D Notes to some extent for high-income individuals. The introduction or enactment of any such legislative proposals or clarification of the Code or court decisions may also affect, perhaps significantly, the market price for, or marketability of, the 2014 Series D Notes. Prospective purchasers of the 2014 Series D Notes should consult their own tax advisors regarding the potential impact of any pending or proposed federal or state tax legislation, regulations or litigation, as to which Bond Counsel is expected to express no opinion.

The opinion of Bond Counsel is based on current legal authority, covers certain matters not directly addressed by such authorities, and represents Bond Counsel's judgment as to the proper treatment of the 2014 Series D Notes for federal income tax purposes. It is not binding on the IRS or the courts. Furthermore, Bond Counsel cannot give and has not given any opinion or assurance about the future activities of the County, or about the effect of future changes in the Code, the applicable regulations, the interpretation thereof or the enforcement thereof by the IRS. The County has covenanted, however, to comply with the requirements of the Code.

Bond Counsel's engagement with respect to the Notes ends with the issuance of the Notes, and, unless separately engaged, Bond Counsel is not obligated to defend the County or the 2014 Series D Noteholders regarding the tax-exempt status of the 2014 Series D Notes in the event of an audit examination by the IRS. Under current procedures, parties other than the County and its appointed counsel, including the 2014 Series D Noteholders, would have little, if any, right to participate in the audit examination process. Moreover, because achieving judicial review in connection with an audit examination of tax-exempt bonds or 2014 Series D Notes is difficult, obtaining an independent review of IRS positions with which the County legitimately disagrees, may not be practicable. Any action of the IRS, including but not limited to selection of the 2014 Series D Notes for audit, or the course or result of such audit, or an audit of bonds or 2014 Series D Notes presenting similar tax issues may affect the market price for, or the marketability of, the 2014 Series D Notes, and may cause the County or the 2014 Series D Noteholders to incur significant expense.

In issuing its opinions as to the validity of the Notes, Bond Counsel relied, and will rely, upon the Default Judgment.

RATINGS

Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's") and Fitch Ratings ("Fitch") have assigned ratings of "MIG 1" and "F1+," respectively, to the Notes. Such ratings reflect only the views of such rating organizations and any desired explanation of the significance of such ratings should be obtained from the rating agency furnishing the same, at the following addresses: Moody's Investors Service, Inc., 7 World Trade Center at 250 Greenwich Street, New York, New York 10007; Fitch, One State Street Plaza, New York, New York 10004. Generally, a rating agency bases its rating on the information and materials furnished to it and on investigations, studies and assumptions of its own.

There is no assurance such ratings will continue for any given period of time or that such ratings will not be revised downward or withdrawn entirely by the rating agencies, if in the judgment of such rating agencies, circumstances so warrant. Any such downward revision or withdrawal of such ratings may have an adverse effect on the market price of the Notes. A securities rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities and may be subject to revision or withdrawal at any time.

The County has covenanted in the Disclosure Certificate to file on EMMA, notices of any rating changes on the Notes. See the caption "CONTINUING DISCLOSURE" below and APPENDIX D — "FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE." Notwithstanding such covenant, information relating to rating changes on the Notes may be publicly available from the rating agencies prior to such information being provided to the County and prior to the date the County is obligated to file a notice of rating change on EMMA. Purchasers of the Notes are directed to the rating agencies and their respective websites and official media outlets for the most current ratings changes with respect to the Notes, if any, after the initial issuance of the Notes.

FINANCIAL ADVISOR

C.M. de Crinis & Co., Inc., Glendale, California, has served as the Financial Advisor to the County in connection with the execution and delivery of the Notes. The Financial Advisor has not undertaken to make an independent verification or to assume responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or fairness of the information contained in this Official Statement.

UNDERWRITING

The Notes are being purchased by Stifel Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as underwriters (collectively, the “Underwriters”), pursuant to a Purchase Contract with the County (the “Purchase Contract”). The Underwriters have agreed, subject to certain conditions, to purchase the Notes at a purchase price equal to \$_____ (representing the principal amount of the Notes, plus an original issue premium of \$_____, and less an Underwriters’ discount of \$_____). The Purchase Contract relating to the Notes provides that the Underwriters will purchase all of the Notes if any are purchased, the obligation to make such purchase being subject to certain terms and conditions set forth in the Purchase Contract, the approval of certain legal matters by counsel and certain other conditions. The Underwriters may offer and sell the Notes to certain dealers and others at prices lower than the offering prices stated on the cover page. The offering prices may be changed from time to time by the Underwriters.

Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (“WFBNA”), the Representative of the Underwriters, has entered into an agreement (the “Distribution Agreement”) with its affiliate, Wells Fargo Advisors, LLC (“WFA”), for the distribution of certain municipal securities offerings, including the Notes. Pursuant to the Distribution Agreement, WFBNA will share a portion of its underwriting compensation with respect to the Notes with WFA. WFBNA also utilizes the distribution capabilities of its affiliates, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC (“WFSLLC”) and Wells Fargo Institutional Securities, LLC (“WFIS”), for the distribution of municipal securities offerings, including the Notes. In connection with utilizing the distribution capabilities of WFSLLC, WFBNA pays a portion of WFSLLC’s expenses based on its municipal securities transactions. WFBNA, WFSLLC, WFIS, and WFA are each wholly-owned subsidiaries of Wells Fargo & Company.

Wells Fargo Securities is the trade name for certain securities-related capital markets and investment banking services of Wells Fargo & Company and its subsidiaries, including Wells Fargo Bank, National Association.

References to web site addresses presented herein are for informational purposes only and may be in the form of a hyperlink solely for the reader’s convenience. Unless specified otherwise, such web sites and the information or links contained therein are not incorporated into, and are not part of, this final official statement for purposes of, and as that term is defined in, SEC Rule 15c2-12.

The Underwriters and their respective affiliates are full service financial institutions engaged in various activities, which may include securities trading, commercial and investment banking, financial advisory, investment management, principal investment, hedging, financing and brokerage activities. Certain of the Underwriters and their respective affiliates have, from time to time, performed, and may in the future perform, various investment banking services for the County for which they received or will receive customary fees and expenses. In addition, affiliates of some of the Underwriters are lenders, and in some cases agents or managers for the lenders, under our credit facility.

In the ordinary course of their various business activities, the Underwriters and their respective affiliates may make or hold a broad array of investments and actively trade debt and equity securities (or related derivative securities) and financial instruments (which may include bank loans and/or credit default swaps) for their own account and for the accounts of their customers and may at any time hold long and short positions in such securities and instruments. Such investment and securities activities may involve securities and instruments of the County.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The general purpose financial statements of the County, pertinent sections of which are included in APPENDIX B to this Official Statement, have been audited by Brown Armstrong Certified Public Accountants, independent certified public accountants, as stated in their report appearing in APPENDIX B. Brown Armstrong Certified Public Accountants has not consented to the inclusion of its report as APPENDIX B and has not undertaken to update its report or to take any action intended or likely to elicit information concerning the accuracy, completeness or fairness of the statements made in this Official Statement, and no opinion is expressed by Brown Armstrong Certified Public Accountants, with respect to any event subsequent to its report dated December 13, 2013. See APPENDIX B — “COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013” attached hereto.

CONTINUING DISCLOSURE

Pursuant to the Resolution, the County has covenanted for the benefit of the Owners and beneficial owners of the Note to comply with Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12(b)(5) (the “Rule”) and will enter into a Continuing Disclosure Certificate as of the closing date, in which it covenants to provide information regarding certain listed events, if any such events should occur, to the owners of the Note and to EMMA, or any successor thereto, during the term of the Note. In addition, the County has covenanted to provide updated quarterly cash flow information within 40 days of the end of each fiscal quarter, beginning with the fiscal quarter ending September 30, 2014. See APPENDIX D — “FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE.” These covenants have been made in order to assist the Underwriters in complying with the Rule.

The County’s obligations under the Disclosure Certificate terminate upon (i) payment in full of all of the Notes or (ii) in the event that the County receives an opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel, to the effect that those portions of SEC Rule 15c2-12(b)(5) (the “Rule”) which require the Disclosure Certificate do not or no longer apply to the Notes. These covenants have been made in order to assist the Underwriters in complying with the Rule. See APPENDIX D — “FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE.”

During the last five years, the County and certain of its related entities have failed to comply in certain respects with continuing disclosure obligations related to outstanding indebtedness. The failure to comply fell into two general categories: (i) failure to provide significant event notices with respect to changes in the ratings of outstanding indebtedness, primarily related to changes in the ratings of various bond insurers insuring the indebtedness of the County or its related entities; and (ii) missing, incomplete or late filing of annual reports with respect to a number of the bond issues. In almost every case with respect to obligations related to the General Fund, such information and reports were available on the County's website and/or available in other continuing disclosure filings made by the County.

Some specific examples of such failures include:

(a) The annual report and financial statements for fiscal year 2008-09, the first County filings which were required to be submitted to EMMA after the effective date of revisions to the Rule, were submitted to MSRB with significant delays with respect to each County issuance outstanding during the first quarter of the 2009-10 fiscal year, as the County had not yet updated its

compliance procedures and filed its annual report and financial statement for such year in accordance with the previously-effective Rule requirements. The County has since submitted to EMMA the annual reports and financial statements for fiscal year 2008-09.

(b) With respect to the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside Refunding Revenue Bonds 1998 Series A (Corona Projects), no filings were submitted to the MSRB, and with respect to certain redevelopment issuances involving the Riverside County Public Financing Authority, no filings were made to the MSRB in fiscal years 2010-11, 2011-12 and 2012-13. The Housing Authority of the County of Riverside submitted to EMMA the financial statements of the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Corona for fiscal years 2008-09 through 2012-13.

The County and its related entities have made additional filings to provide certain of the previously omitted information. The County and its related entities are currently actively internally reviewing their previous filings and plan to complete corrective filings within 45 days on all issues which remain outstanding. With respect to notices or rating changes, the County and its related entities are preparing an omnibus corrective notice regarding bond insurer ratings and ratings of the County's general fund debt.

In order to ensure ongoing compliance by the County and its related entities with their continuing disclosure undertakings, (i) the County has instituted new procedures to ensure future compliance and coordination between the County and its related entities; and (ii) the County has contracted with a consultant to assist the County in filing accurate, complete and timely disclosure reports on behalf of the County.

The County has been advised by Bank of America Merrill Lynch ("BAML") and Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated ("Stifel") that the County is being reported by each firm under the current Municipalities Continuing Disclosure Cooperation ("MCDC") initiative of the SEC. The reporting relates to the County of Riverside Asset Leasing Corporation Lease Revenue Bonds, 2012 Series A and 2012 Taxable Series B (County of Riverside Capital Projects) with respect to BAML, and relates to Stifel for the County's Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes for 2010-2011, 2011-12 and 2012-13, the County of Riverside Asset Leasing Corporation Lease Revenue Bonds (2012 County Administrative Center Refunding Project), and the County of Riverside Asset Leasing Corporation Lease Revenue Bonds, Series 2013A (Public Defender/Probation Building 60 and Riverside County Technology Solutions Center Project), all relating to statements in the official statements for those transactions that the County was in compliance with all continuing disclosure requirements. MCDC is a program allowing issuers and underwriters to voluntarily report non-compliance with disclosure obligations. The deadline for underwriting firms to report was September 10, 2014. The deadline for issuers to report is December 1, 2014. The County is in the process of evaluating whether it will participate in MCDC.

CERTAIN LEGAL MATTERS

The validity of the Notes and certain other legal matters are subject to the approving opinion of Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP, San Francisco, California, Bond Counsel. The opinion of Bond Counsel will be delivered with the Notes in substantially the form set forth in APPENDIX C hereto. Bond Counsel takes no responsibility for the fairness, accuracy or completeness of this Official Statement. Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation, is serving as Disclosure Counsel to the County with respect to the Notes. Certain legal matters will be passed

upon for the Underwriters by their counsel, Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, and for the County by County Counsel.

MISCELLANEOUS

Included herein are brief summaries of certain documents and reports, which summaries do not purport to be complete or definitive, and reference is made to such documents and reports for full and complete statements of the contents thereof. This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract or agreement between the County and the purchasers or holders of any of the Notes. Any statements made in this Official Statement involving matters of opinion, whether or not expressly so stated, are intended merely as an opinion and not as representations of fact. The information and expressions of opinion herein are subject to change without notice and neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in affairs in the County since the date hereof. Copies of the Resolution are available upon request from the County of Riverside, County Executive Office, 4th Floor, 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, California 92501, Attention: County Executive Officer.

The execution and delivery of this Official Statement has been duly authorized by the County.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

By: _____
County Executive Officer

APPENDIX A

INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC INFORMATION	1
Population.....	1
Effective Buying Income.....	2
Industry And Employment.....	4
Commercial Activity	5
Building and Real Estate Activity	6
Agriculture.....	8
Transportation.....	8
Education	9
Environmental Control Services.....	9
FINANCIAL INFORMATION	10
Budgetary Process and Budget	10
Fiscal Year 2013-14 Budget	10
Fiscal Year 2014-15 Proposed Budget	11
Impacts of State Budget.....	11
Realignment of Certain Services to Local Governments.....	12
Recent County Budgets	13
Riverside County Treasurer’s Pooled Investment Fund	13
<i>Ad Valorem</i> Property Taxes.....	15
The Assessment Roll	18
Teeter Plan	19
Largest Taxpayers.....	20
Other Taxing Entities.....	21
Redevelopment Agencies	21
Financial Statements and Related Issues	23
Short-Term Obligations of County	26
Long-Term Obligations of County	26
Lease Obligations	28
Interest Rate Swap Agreements.....	30
Employees	31
Retirement Program.....	32
Medical Center.....	39
Insurance.....	41
Litigation	41

APPENDIX A
INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC INFORMATION

Population

According to the State Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, the County's population was estimated at 2,279,967 as of January 1, 2014, representing an approximately 1.1% increase over the County's population as estimated for the prior year. For the ten year period of January 1, 2004 to January 1, 2014, the County's population grew by approximately 25.7%. During this period, the cities of Eastvale, Jurupa Valley, Menifee and Wildomar incorporated, and account for a total population of 274,393 as of January 1, 2014. The population growth in the County has slowed in recent years, during which period the County's population has grown at a rate close to the statewide average.

The following table sets forth the annual population as of January 1 of each year for the cities within the County for the years 2010 through 2014.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
POPULATION OF CITIES WITHIN THE COUNTY
(As of January 1)

<i>City</i>	<i>2010</i>	<i>2011</i>	<i>2012</i>	<i>2013</i>	<i>2014</i>
Banning	29,492	29,723	30,051	30,177	30,325
Beaumont	36,468	38,034	38,967	39,787	40,876
Blythe	20,882	20,063	20,440	19,609	18,992
Calimesa	7,847	7,910	8,022	8,096	8,231
Canyon Lake	10,550	10,606	10,721	10,771	10,826
Cathedral City	51,093	51,400	52,108	52,350	52,595
Coachella	40,508	41,339	42,030	42,795	43,633
Corona	151,858	153,047	154,986	156,864	159,132
Desert Hot Springs	25,856	27,277	27,721	27,835	28,001
Eastvale	—	54,090	55,770	57,266	59,185
Hemet	78,295	79,309	80,330	80,899	81,537
Indian Wells	4,947	4,990	5,050	5,083	5,137
Indio	75,263	76,817	78,299	81,415	82,398
Jurupa Valley	—	—	96,746	97,272	97,774
Lake Elsinore	51,448	52,294	53,183	55,444	56,718
La Quinta	37,044	37,688	38,190	38,412	39,032
Menifee	77,902	79,139	80,832	82,314	83,716
Moreno Valley	192,599	194,451	197,088	198,183	199,258
Murrieta	103,066	104,051	105,301	105,860	106,425
Norco	27,069	26,968	27,123	26,632	26,582
Palm Desert	48,215	48,920	49,619	49,962	50,417
Palm Springs	44,480	44,829	45,415	45,724	46,135
Perris	67,607	69,506	70,392	70,983	72,103
Rancho Mirage	17,165	17,399	17,556	17,643	17,745
Riverside	302,597	306,069	309,409	312,035	314,034
San Jacinto	43,881	44,421	44,938	45,229	45,563
Temecula	99,757	101,255	103,404	104,907	106,289
Wildomar	<u>32,393</u>	<u>32,414</u>	<u>32,818</u>	<u>33,182</u>	<u>33,718</u>
TOTALS					
Incorporated	1,678,312	1,754,009	1,876,509	1,896,729	1,916,377
Unincorporated	<u>501,380</u>	<u>451,722</u>	<u>357,700</u>	<u>358,924</u>	<u>363,590</u>
County-Wide	<u>2,179,692</u>	<u>2,205,731</u>	<u>2,234,209</u>	<u>2,255,653</u>	<u>2,279,967</u>
California	37,223,900	37,510,766	37,668,804	37,984,138	38,340,074

Source: State Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit.

Effective Buying Income

“Effective Buying Income” is defined as personal income less personal tax and nontax payments, a number often referred to as “disposable” or “after-tax” income. Personal income is the aggregate of wages and salaries, other than labor-related income (such as employer contributions to private pension funds), proprietor’s income, rental income (which includes imputed rental income of owner-occupants of non-farm dwellings), dividends paid by corporations, interest income from all sources and transfer payments (such as pensions and welfare assistance). Deducted from this total are personal taxes (federal, state and local, nontax payments

fines, fees, penalties, etc.) and personal contributions to social security insurance and federal retirement payroll deductions. According to U.S. government definitions, the resultant figure is commonly known as “disposable personal income.”

The following table sets forth the total effective buying income, the median household effective buying income and the percent of households with income over \$50,000 for the population in the County and the State for the years 2010 through 2014.

**RIVERSIDE COUNTY AND CALIFORNIA
TOTAL EFFECTIVE BUYING INCOME,
MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD EFFECTIVE BUYING INCOME AND
PERCENT OF HOUSEHOLDS WITH INCOMES OVER \$50,000⁽¹⁾**

	<i>Total Effective Buying Income⁽²⁾</i>	<i>Median Household Effective Buying Income</i>	<i>Percent of Households with Income over \$50,000</i>
2010			
Riverside County	\$ 41,337,856	\$47,080	46.6%
California	844,822,042	49,736	49.7
2011			
Riverside County	\$ 38,492,225	\$44,253	43.07%
California	801,393,028	47,117	46.78
2012			
Riverside County	\$ 39,981,683	\$44,116	42.91%
California	814,578,458	47,062	46.65
2013			
Riverside County	\$ 40,157,310	\$43,860	42.39%
California	864,088,828	47,307	46.90
2014			
Riverside County	\$ 40,293,518	\$44,784	43.84%
California	858,676,636	48,340	48.17

⁽¹⁾ Estimated, as of January 1 of each year.

⁽²⁾ Dollars in thousands.

Source: Nielsen Solution Center.

Industry And Employment

The County is a part of the Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario Primary Metropolitan Statistical Area (“PMSA”), which includes all of Riverside and San Bernardino Counties. In addition to varied manufacturing employment, the PMSA has large and growing commercial and service sector employment. The number of employed persons in the County by industry is set forth in the following table.

RIVERSIDE-SAN BERNARDINO-ONTARIO PMSA ANNUAL AVERAGE EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY⁽¹⁾ (In Thousands)

<i>INDUSTRY</i>	<i>2009</i>	<i>2010</i>	<i>2011</i>	<i>2012</i>	<i>2013</i>
Agriculture	14.9	15.0	14.9	15.0	14.6
Construction	67.9	59.7	59.1	62.6	69.3
Finance Activities	42.5	41.0	39.9	40.8	42.0
Government	235.2	234.3	227.5	224.6	225.0
Manufacturing:	88.7	85.1	85.1	86.7	86.8
Nondurables	30.6	29.8	29.3	29.8	29.8
Durables	58.1	55.3	55.8	56.8	57.0
Natural Resources and Mining	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.2
Retail Trade	156.2	155.5	158.5	162.3	164.8
Professional, Educational and other Services	471.9	438.5	446.3	463.6	491.4
Transportation, Warehousing and Utilities	66.8	66.6	68.8	73.8	78.6
Wholesale Trade	48.9	48.6	49.0	52.1	56.0
Information, Publishing and Telecommunications	<u>14.1</u>	<u>14.0</u>	<u>12.1</u>	<u>11.5</u>	<u>11.3</u>
Total, All Industries	<u>1,177.6</u>	<u>1,159.3</u>	<u>1,162.2</u>	<u>1,194.2</u>	<u>1,241.0</u>

⁽¹⁾ The employment figures by industry which are shown above are not directly comparable to the “Total, All Industries” employment figures due to rounded data

Source: State Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division, as of March 2014.

The following table sets forth the major employers in the County and their respective product or service and number of employees as of 2013.

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
CERTAIN MAJOR EMPLOYERS⁽¹⁾
(2013)**

<i>Company Name</i>	<i>Product/Service</i>	<i>No. of Local Employees⁽²⁾</i>
County of Riverside	Government	18,728
March Air Reserve Base	Military Reserve Base	9,000
Stater Brothers Market	Supermarket	6,900
Walmart	Retail Store	5,681
University of California, Riverside	University	5,497
Riverside Unified School District	School District	5,000
Corona-Norco Unified School District	School District	4,633
Kaiser Permanente Riverside Medical Center	Hospital	4,500
Moreno Valley Unified School District	School District	3,355
Hemet Unified School District	School District	3,270

⁽¹⁾ Certain major employers in the County may have been excluded because of the data collection methodology used by Riverside County Economic Development Agency.

⁽²⁾ Includes employees within the County; excludes, under certain circumstances, temporary, seasonal and per diem employees.
Source: Riverside County Economic Development Agency.

Unemployment data for the County, the State and the United States for the years 2009 through 2013 and for July 2014 are set forth in the following table.

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
COUNTY, STATE AND NATIONAL UNEMPLOYMENT DATA**

	<i>2009</i>	<i>2010</i>	<i>2011</i>	<i>2012</i>	<i>2013</i>	<i>July 2014</i>
County ⁽¹⁾	13.4%	14.5%	13.7%	12.1%	10.3%	9.5%
California ⁽¹⁾	11.3	12.4	11.8	10.4	8.9	7.8
United States ⁽²⁾	9.3	9.6	8.9	8.1	7.4	6.2

⁽¹⁾ Data is not seasonally adjusted. The unemployment data for the County and State is calculated using unrounded data.

⁽²⁾ Data is seasonally adjusted.

Source: State of California Employment Development Department Labor Market Information Division; U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Commercial Activity

Commercial activity is an important factor in the County's economy. Much of the County's commercial activity is concentrated in central business districts or small neighborhood commercial centers in cities. There are five regional shopping malls in the County: Galleria at Tyler (Riverside), Hemet Valley Mall, Westfield Palm Desert Shopping Center, Moreno Valley Mall and the Promenade at Temecula. There are also two factory outlet malls (Desert Hills Factory Stores and Lake Elsinore Outlet Center) and over 200 area centers in the County.

The following table sets forth taxable transactions in the County for the years 2008 through 2012, the last year being the most recent full year for which data is currently available.

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
TAXABLE SALES TRANSACTIONS
(In Thousands)**

	2008 ⁽¹⁾	2009	2010	2011	2012
Motor Vehicles and Parts Dealers	\$ 3,115,036	\$ 2,449,747	\$ 2,620,568	\$ 3,010,487	\$ 3,493,098
Furniture and Home Furnishings	485,981	381,643	412,325	436,482	441,649
Electronics and Appliances Stores	330,398	476,455	470,784	478,406	488,419
Building Materials, Garden Equipment and Supplies	1,580,020	1,237,518	1,232,145	1,303,073	1,365,513
Food and Beverage Stores	1,352,704	1,251,220	1,267,758	1,304,731	1,356,148
Health and Personal Care Stores	307,947	389,620	400,207	454,268	490,238
Gasoline Stations	3,011,476	2,300,247	2,685,840	3,300,785	3,516,040
Clothing and Clothing Accessories Stores	1,218,127	1,293,271	1,391,174	1,505,821	1,672,482
Sporting Goods, Hobby, Book and Music Stores	210,121	411,301	428,121	454,971	467,536
General Merchandise Stores	3,081,989	2,855,733	2,947,905	3,051,709	3,174,022
Miscellaneous Store Retailers	1,654,895	641,954	652,273	700,338	742,118
Nonstore Retailers	1,045,704	101,925	92,916	101,876	142,081
Food Services and Drinking Places	<u>2,340,554</u>	<u>2,266,853</u>	<u>2,317,486</u>	<u>2,473,339</u>	<u>2,668,324</u>
Total Retail and Food Services	<u>\$ 18,689,249</u>	<u>\$ 16,057,488</u>	<u>\$ 16,919,500</u>	<u>\$ 18,576,285</u>	<u>\$ 20,016,668</u>
All Other Outlets	6,268,632	6,170,390	6,233,280	7,065,212	8,079,341
Total All Outlets	\$ 26,003,595	\$ 22,227,877	\$ 23,152,780	\$ 25,641,497	\$ 28,096,009

⁽¹⁾ Data for 2008 is not necessarily directly comparable to 2009-2012 due to changes in classifications and groupings in the "Taxable Sales In California" report beginning in 2009.

Source: California State Board of Equalization, Research and Statistics Division.

Building and Real Estate Activity

The two tables below set forth a summary of building permit valuations and new dwelling units authorized in the County (in both incorporated and unincorporated areas) from 2009 through 2013.

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
BUILDING PERMIT VALUATIONS⁽¹⁾
(In Thousands)**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
RESIDENTIAL					
New Single-Family	\$ 891,825	\$ 914,058	\$ 651,747	\$ 854,814	\$ 1,134,158
New Multi-Family	76,717	71,152	115,064	99,578	136,501
Alterations and Adjustments	<u>85,148</u>	<u>94,429</u>	<u>119,684</u>	<u>84,517</u>	<u>94,422</u>
Total Residential	\$ 1,053,690	\$ 1,079,639	\$ 886,495	\$ 1,038,963	\$ 1,365,081
NON-RESIDENTIAL					
New Commercial	\$ 94,653	\$ 191,324	\$ 152,160	\$ 346,865	\$ 80,510
New Industry	12,278	6,686	10,000	3,767	140,972
New Other ⁽¹⁾	107,334	98,105	99,898	78,602	184,500
Alterations & Adjustments	<u>162,557</u>	<u>243,265</u>	<u>297,357</u>	<u>154,325</u>	<u>364,616</u>
Total Nonresidential	\$ 376,822	\$ 539,380	\$ 559,415	\$ 583,559	\$ 770,598
TOTAL ALL BUILDING	<u>\$ 1,430,512</u>	<u>\$ 1,619,019</u>	<u>\$ 1,445,910</u>	<u>\$ 1,602,522</u>	<u>\$ 2,135,679</u>

⁽¹⁾ Includes churches and religious buildings, hospitals and institutional buildings, schools and educational buildings, residential garages, public works and utilities buildings, photovoltaic systems and other non-residential buildings and structures.

Source: Construction Industry Research Board for 2009 through 2011, California Homebuilding Foundation for 2012 through 2013.

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
NUMBER OF NEW DWELLING UNITS**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Single Family	3,424	4,031	2,676	3,455	4,671
Multi-Family	<u>784</u>	<u>526</u>	<u>1,073</u>	<u>829</u>	<u>1,415</u>
TOTAL	<u>4,208</u>	<u>4,557</u>	<u>3,749</u>	<u>4,284</u>	<u>6,086</u>

Source: Construction Industry Research Board for 2009 through 2011, California Homebuilding Foundation for 2012 through 2013.

The following table sets forth the annual median housing prices for Los Angeles County, Riverside County, San Bernardino County and Southern California for the years 2008 through 2013.

**COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES, RIVERSIDE, AND SAN BERNARDINO
AND SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
MEDIAN HOUSING PRICES**

Year	Los Angeles	Riverside	San Bernardino	Southern California⁽¹⁾
2008	\$400,000	\$260,000	\$225,000	\$340,000
2009	320,000	190,000	150,000	270,000
2010	335,000	200,000	155,000	290,000
2011	315,000	195,000	150,000	280,000
2012	330,000	210,000	163,000	300,000
2013	412,000	259,000	205,000	370,000

⁽¹⁾ Southern California is comprised of Los Angeles, Orange, San Diego, Riverside, San Bernardino and Ventura Counties.
Source: MDA DataQuick Information Systems.

The following table sets forth the home and condominium foreclosures recorded in Los Angeles County, Riverside County, San Bernardino County and Southern California for the years 2008 through 2013.

**COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES, RIVERSIDE AND SAN BERNARDINO
AND SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
HOME FORECLOSURES**

Year	Los Angeles	Riverside	San Bernardino	Southern California⁽¹⁾
2008	35,366	32,443	23,601	125,117
2009	29,943	25,309	19,560	100,106
2010	26,827	20,598	16,757	86,853
2011	25,597	17,383	14,181	77,105
2012	15,271	10,657	9,262	47,347
2013	6,469	4,191	4,088	19,470

⁽¹⁾ Southern California is comprised of Los Angeles, Orange, San Diego, Riverside, San Bernardino and Ventura Counties.
Source: MDA DataQuick Information Systems.

Agriculture

Agriculture is an important source of income in the County. Principal agricultural products are nursery stock, milk, table grapes, alfalfa, bell peppers, lemons, eggs, dates, avocados and grapefruit.

Four areas in the County account for the major portion of agricultural activity: the Riverside/Corona and San Jacinto/Temecula Valley Districts in the western portion of the County, the Coachella Valley in the central portion and the Palo Verde Valley near the County's eastern border.

The following table sets forth the value of agricultural production in the County for the years 2009 through 2013.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE VALUE OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Citrus Fruits	\$ 101,652,000	\$ 140,500,922	\$ 119,942,513	\$ 125,711,000	\$ 142,404,000
Trees and Vines	191,682,600	164,993,960	232,649,262	217,214,000	232,536,000
Vegetables, Melons, Misc.	221,286,700	292,002,337	278,628,295	286,234,000	340,407,000
Field and Seed Crops	69,699,800	81,328,229	149,198,052	147,352,000	154,582,000
Nursery	206,499,900	169,341,300	200,154,964	190,878,000	191,215,000
Apiculture	5,017,600	4,631,700	4,844,400	4,983,000	4,715,000
Aquaculture Products	<u>5,243,900</u>	<u>4,921,700</u>	<u>4,808,250</u>	<u>4,205,000</u>	<u>2,262,000</u>
Total Crop Valuation	\$ 801,082,500	\$ 857,720,148	\$ 990,225,736	\$ 976,577,000	\$1,068,121,000
Livestock and Poultry Valuation	<u>214,672,800</u>	<u>235,926,225</u>	<u>292,030,380</u>	<u>276,553,000</u>	<u>259,683,000</u>
Grand Total	\$1,015,755,300	\$1,093,646,373	\$1,282,256,116	\$1,253,130,000	\$1,327,804,000

Source: Riverside County Agricultural Commissioner.

The County does not expect at this time that the drought affecting the County and California in general will impact agricultural production in the County. See “— Environmental Control Services — *Water Supply*” below.

Transportation

Several major freeways and highways provide access between the County and all parts of Southern California. State Route 91 extends southwest through Corona and connects with the Orange County freeway network in Fullerton. Interstate 10 traverses most of the width of the County, the western-most portion of which links up with major cities and freeways in Los Angeles County and the southern part of San Bernardino County, with the eastern part linking to the County's desert cities and Arizona. Interstate 15 and 215 extend north and then east to Las Vegas, and south to San Diego. State Route 60 provides an alternate (to Interstate 10) east-west link to Los Angeles County.

Metrolink provides commuter rail service to Los Angeles, San Bernardino and Orange Counties from several stations in the County. Transcontinental passenger rail service is provided by Amtrak with stops in Riverside and Palm Springs. Freight service to major west coast and national markets is provided by two transcontinental railroads -- Union Pacific Railroad and the Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company. Truck service is provided by several common carriers, making available overnight delivery service to major California cities.

Transcontinental bus service is provided by Greyhound Lines. Intercounty, intercity and local bus service is provided by the Riverside Transit Agency to western County cities and communities. There are also

four municipal transit operators in the western County providing services within the cities of Banning, Beaumont, Corona and Riverside. The SunLine Transit Agency provides local bus service throughout the Coachella Valley, service the area from Desert Hot Springs to Oasis and from Palm Springs to Riverside. The Palo Verde Valley Transit Agency provides service in the far eastern portion of the County (City of Blythe and surrounding communities).

The County seat, located in the City of Riverside, is within 20 miles of the Ontario International Airport in neighboring San Bernardino County. This airport is operated by Los Angeles World Airports, a proprietary department of the City of Los Angeles. Four major airlines schedule commercial flight service at Palm Springs Regional Airport. County-operated general aviation airports include those in Thermal, Hemet, Blythe and French Valley. The cities of Riverside, Corona and Banning also operate general aviation airports. There is a military base at March Air Reserve Base, which converted from an active duty base to a reserve-only base on April 1, 1996. The March AFB Joint Powers Authority (the “JPA”), comprised of the County and the Cities of Riverside, Moreno Valley and Perris, is responsible for planning and developing joint military and civilian use. The JPA has constructed infrastructure improvements, entered into leases with private users and initialized a major business park project.

Education

There are four elementary school districts, one high school district, eighteen unified (K-12) school districts and four community college districts in the County. Approximately 92% of all K-12 students attend schools in the unified school districts. The three largest unified school districts are Corona-Norco Unified School District, Riverside Unified School District and Moreno Valley Unified School District.

There are seven two-year community college campuses located in the communities of Riverside, Moreno Valley, Norco, San Jacinto, Menifee, Coachella Valley and Palo Verde Valley. There are also three universities located in the City of Riverside -- the University of California, Riverside, La Sierra University and California Baptist University.

Environmental Control Services

Water Supply. The County obtains a large part of its water supply from groundwater sources, with certain areas of the County, such as the City of Riverside, relying almost entirely on groundwater. As in most areas of Southern California, this groundwater source is not sufficient to meet countywide demand and the County’s water supply is supplemented by imported water. At the present time, imported water is provided by the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California from the Colorado River via the Colorado River Aqueduct and the State Water Project via the Edmund G. Brown California Aqueduct. In the Southwest area of the County, 80% of the water supply is imported.

At the regional and local level, there are several water districts that were formed for the primary purpose of supplying supplemental water to the cities and agencies within their areas. The Coachella Valley Water District, the Western Municipal Water District and the Eastern Municipal Water District are the largest of these water districts in terms of area served. The San Geronio Pass Water Agency, Desert Water Agency, Palo Verde Irrigation District and Rancho California Water District also provide supplemental water to cities and agencies within the County.

In January 2014, California’s governor proclaimed a state of emergency due to the ongoing drought, and directed State officials to take all necessary actions to prepare for drought conditions. On July 15, 2014, the State Water Resources Control Board adopted drought regulations that give local agencies the authority to fine those who waste water up to \$500 a day. As of July 2014, no mandatory rationing has been initiated by the local water districts serving the County. The uncertainty associated with long-term water supply is a major concern of local and regional water agencies in California, especially southern California, which has been exacerbated due to the current drought. The governor and the State Legislature have been engaged in

discussions to develop a comprehensive, State-wide water supply, storage and conveyance solution. However, no assurance can be made that a sustainable solution will be achieved within a reasonable timeframe.

The Board of Supervisors adopted Ordinance 859.2 - Water Efficient Landscaping Ordinance (“Ordinance 859.2”), which conforms to State Assembly Bill 1881. State Assembly Bill 1881 requires the implementation of water efficient landscape practices for new developments. Additionally, the Board of Supervisors amended Policy H-25 requiring the retrofit of public buildings to conform to the requirements of Ordinance 859.2.

Flood Control. Primary responsibility for planning and construction of flood control and drainage systems within the County is provided by the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District and the Coachella Valley Water District, Storm Water Unit.

Sewage. There are 18 wastewater treatment agencies in the County’s Santa Ana River region and nine in the County’s Colorado River Basin region. Most residents in rural areas of the County which are unsewered rely upon septic tanks and leach fields for sewage disposal.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Budgetary Process and Budget

Under the California Government Code, the County must approve a recommended budget by June 30 of each year as the legal authorization to spend until the approval of the adopted budget. A final budget that reflects any revisions to the recommended budget must be adopted by the Board of Supervisors no later than October 2. The recommended and adopted budgets must be balanced.

Subsequent to the approval of the adopted budget, the County may make adjustments to reflect revenue, as realized, and to record changes in expenditure requirements. For example, in recent years, the County, like many other counties, has adopted a budget in advance of the adoption of the State budget and has been required to make adjustments in certain circumstances upon the passage of the State budget. The County conducts quarterly reviews, with major adjustments generally addressed at the end of the first, second and third quarters.

Fiscal Year 2013-14 Budget

In June 2013, the Board of Supervisors approved the Fiscal Year 2013-14 Recommended Budget. The Fiscal Year 2013-14 Recommended Budget includes total General Fund appropriations of approximately \$2.7 billion. For Fiscal Year 2013-14, the County projected that approximately 42.5% of its General Fund budget revenues would consist of payments from the State and 21.1% would consist of payments from the federal government. Discretionary revenue was budgeted to increase to approximately \$586.6 million for Fiscal Year 2013-14, an increase of approximately 3.0% from the Fiscal Year 2012-13 adjusted budget estimates. The Fiscal Year 2013-14 Budget included an increase in discretionary spending of approximately \$16.7 million from the prior fiscal year. Property tax revenue was budgeted at approximately \$266.2 million for Fiscal Year 2013-14, and was approximately 45.4% of the County’s discretionary revenue. The County estimated an increase in assessed valuation in Fiscal Year 2013-14 of approximately 3.95% from Fiscal Year 2012-13.

The County estimated revenue for Fiscal Year 2013-14 was approximately \$33 million higher than budgeted. The Fiscal Year 2013-14 second quarter budget recommendations included setting aside approximately \$29.5 million in one-time resources to build reserves and guard against unsustainable spending, and the third quarter budget recommendations included \$13.1 million in draws from contingency funds to be allocated to the sheriff’s and fire departments. During the Fiscal Year 2013-14 third quarter budget meeting, the County reported that, although the local unemployment rate has decreased and the real estate market

appears to be stabilizing, new permit activity has slowed and projected sales and use tax revenue remain flat. Consequently, the County has reduced its revenue projections for the next four years. The County is also experiencing escalating labor costs, a growing deficit of the Riverside County Regional Medical Center, and expected additional costs related to the construction and operation of new jail facilities. See “-Realignment of Certain Services to Local Governments” below.

Fiscal Year 2014-15 Proposed Budget

The County has started its budget process for Fiscal Year 2014-15 and plans to hold its budget impact hearings in September, when preliminary year-end financial data for Fiscal Year 2013-14 becomes available. All General Fund departments have been directed to prepare budgets that are balanced and absorb any additional costs without additional General Fund support. Departments may request additional support, if needed, during the budget impact hearings in September.

Property tax revenues, not including Teeter revenues, are projected to be approximately \$282.2 million in Fiscal Year 2014-15, an increase of approximately 4.4% over Fiscal Year 2013-14. Sales tax revenues are projected to be approximately \$33.5 million in Fiscal Year 2014-15, an increase of approximately 11.2% over Fiscal Year 2013-14. Revenue growth and surplus revenue set aside in the budget stabilization fund created in Fiscal Year 2012-13 will be used to develop a balanced General Fund budget for Fiscal Year 2014-15. The County allocates one-time revenues above budgeted amounts to the budget stabilization fund, which has a balance of approximately \$53.9 million as of September 17, 2014. While the County utilized some of its reserves during the economic downturn between 2008 and 2012, no reserves have been utilized since Fiscal Year 2011-12 and no reserves are budgeted to be utilized in Fiscal Year 2014-15. The County does not expect to have any one-time revenues or uses of reserves in Fiscal Year 2014-15.

The preliminary Fiscal Year 2014-15 Recommended Budget was adopted by the County Board of Supervisors on June 16, 2014 (the “Fiscal Year 2014-15 Recommended Budget”). Adoption of the final Fiscal Year 2014-15 Adopted Budget is scheduled to be considered by the County Board of Supervisors on September 23, 2014 (the “Fiscal Year 2014-15 Adopted Budget”). Since the adoption of the Fiscal Year 2014-15 Recommended Budget, the County Assessor indicated that the Fiscal Year 2014-15 assessed value within the County is projected to increase by approximately 7.75% over Fiscal Year 2013-14, resulting in approximately \$21 million in expected increased revenues. The Board of Supervisors is considering increasing expenditures by approximately \$9.8 million, adding approximately \$7.2 million to reserves and approximately \$4.0 million to contingency.

Outside of the General Fund, the Riverside County Regional Medical Center (“RCRMC”), which is operated as an enterprise fund, is projecting an operating deficit in Fiscal Year 2014-15. It is the County’s intent that RCRMC costs of providing services be recovered primarily through fees charged for services with minimal or no General Fund support, and the County does not intend to provide General Fund support to fund RCRMC’s projected Fiscal Year 2014-15 operating deficit. See “-Medical Center” below.

Impacts of State Budget

Changes in payments to the County from the State, whether temporary or permanent, may require adjustments to the County’s Fiscal Years 2013-14 and 2014-15 budgets. Deferrals in State payments may jeopardize the County’s ability to maintain core discretionary programs that could require suspension of such programs. Permanent cuts in State funding will require the County to reduce programs reliant on State funds, unless the County chooses to make corresponding reductions to discretionary funding for core County services.

The County is continuously monitoring developments at the State and local level, and may be required to make adjustments to its budget from time to time. See “STATE OF CALIFORNIA BUDGET INFORMATION” in the front part of this Official Statement.

Realignment of Certain Services to Local Governments

As part of the State's 2011 Budget Act, the California Legislature enacted a major shift, or "realignment," of certain State program responsibilities and related revenues to local governments ("Realignment"). Beginning in Fiscal Year 2011-12, the realignment provides funds to local governments (primarily counties) to fund various criminal justice, mental health, and social services programs. Realignment funding is derived from three sources: 1) the dedication of 1.0625 cents of the existing sales tax rate; 2) the redirection of the revenue generated by Proposition 63 (the "millionaire tax" which supports mental health programs statewide); and 3) the redirection of a portion of vehicle license fee revenues.

Realignment is comprised of two distinct components: Health and Human Services and Public Safety. With respect to the former, the State has replaced the funding previously provided to counties as State reimbursement or direct payment with local appropriations equivalent to prior year funding levels. To date, the only significant programmatic change has resulted from the Health and Human Services component of Realignment related to the transfer of responsibility for funding education-related mental health services from counties to local school districts.

With respect to Public Safety, however, county governments have taken on various additional responsibilities related to inmates released from State prison, newly convicted offenders whose offenses are legally defined under the State Penal Code as non-violent, non-serious and non-sexual, and parole violators. In Fiscal Year 2013-14, the County received a \$51.24 million appropriation from the State to address the needs of the realigned criminal justice population. Although this amount is not sufficient to meet all of the identified needs, the slow pace of hiring has led to under-spending and the affected County departments have been able to continue providing identified services. In Fiscal Year 2014-15, the County expects to receive approximately \$3.8 million less funding for Realignment than in Fiscal Year 2013-14, as the Statewide allocation will be \$60 million less than the prior year and the County expects that it will be considerably more difficult to provide needed services. In addition, the County expects to receive a grant reimbursement of \$25 million in Fiscal Year 2014-15 to replace the Probation Youth Education and Treatment Center in the City of Riverside. Beginning in late Fiscal Year 2014-15 or early Fiscal Year 2015-16, the County will begin to draw down \$100 million in State bond funds to pay for a portion of the construction of a new jail facility in the City of Indio.

Recent County Budgets

The following table sets forth the General Fund budgets for the last five fiscal years as initially adopted by the Board of Supervisors. During the course of each fiscal year, a budget may be amended to reflect adjustments to receipts and expenditures that have been approved by the Board of Supervisors.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE ADOPTED GENERAL FUND BUDGETS⁽¹⁾ FISCAL YEARS 2009-10 THROUGH 2013-14 (IN MILLIONS)

	<i>2009-10 Budget</i>	<i>2010-11 Budget</i>	<i>2011-12 Budget</i>	<i>2012-13 Budget</i>	<i>2013-14 Budget</i>
REQUIREMENTS					
General Government	\$ 239.2	\$ 175.3	\$ 174.4	\$ 180.4	\$ 179.5
Public Protection	1,055.2	1,062.4	1,060.0	1,072.1	1,132.4
Public Ways and Facilities	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Health and Sanitation	395.2	396.0	411.9	430.1	485.9
Public Assistance	815.5	780.0	802.9	762.3	835.7
Education	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Recreation and Cultural	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.4
Debt Retirement-Capital Leases	6.8	6.8	5.0	5.0	4.9
Contingencies	30.0	20.0	20.0	7.0	20.0
Increase to Reserves	(12.8)	17.5	2.4	2.3	2.3
Total Requirements ⁽³⁾	<u>\$ 2,532.0</u>	<u>\$ 2,458.9</u>	<u>\$ 2,477.7</u>	<u>\$ 2,459.8</u>	<u>\$ 2,661.7</u>
AVAILABLE FUNDS					
Use of Fund Balance and Reserves	\$ 112.8	\$ 107.8	\$ 90.1	\$ 74.0	\$ 78.3
Estimated Revenues:					
Property Taxes	244.9	222.4	214.9	211.5	229.9
Other Taxes	46.1	46.0	35.5	35.0	31.0
Licenses, Permits and Franchises	20.7	19.8	18.1	17.7	17.6
Fines, Forfeitures and Penalties	55.7	58.0	56.2	51.7	49.3
Use of Money and Properties	13.5	11.2	10.0	7.4	6.3
Aid from Other Governmental Agencies:					
State	962.0	921.7	936.3	1,005.5	1,097.4
Federal	511.1	501.2	506.7	493.9	544.9
Charges for Current Services ⁽²⁾	452.7	461.0	462.8	442.6	469.1
Other Revenues ⁽²⁾	112.5	111.9	147.7	120.5	137.9
Total Available Funds ⁽³⁾	<u>\$ 2,532.0</u>	<u>\$ 2,458.9</u>	<u>\$ 2,477.7</u>	<u>\$ 2,459.8</u>	<u>\$ 2,661.7</u>

⁽¹⁾ Prior to Fiscal Year 2010-11, State Controller identified an "Adopted" budget as a "Final" budget. Data source is the official budget documents submitted to the State Controller's Office. Figures do not reflect quarterly amendments or adjustments.

⁽²⁾ Due to reporting changes, certain accounts were reclassified from Other Revenues to Charges for Current Services after Fiscal Year 2008-09.

⁽³⁾ Column numbers may not add up to totals due to rounding.

Source: County Auditor-Controller.

Riverside County Treasurer's Pooled Investment Fund

The County Treasurer maintains one Pooled Investment Fund (the "PIF") for all local jurisdictions having funds on deposit in the County Treasury. As of August 31, 2014, the portfolio assets comprising the PIF had a market value of \$4,738,239,860.85.

State law requires that all operating moneys of the County, school districts, and certain special districts be held by the County Treasurer. On June 30, 2013, the Auditor-Controller performed an analysis on the County Treasury which resulted in the identification and classification of "mandatory" vs. "discretionary" depositors. Collectively, these mandatory deposits constituted approximately 77.18% of the funds on deposit

in the County Treasury, while approximately 22.82% of the total funds on deposit in the County Treasury represented discretionary deposits.

While State law permits other governmental jurisdictions, with the prior consent of the Board of Supervisors and the County Treasurer, to participate in the County's PIF, none have been authorized entry, nor are any pending consideration. The desire of the County is to maintain a stable depositor base for those entities participating in the PIF.

All purchases of securities for the PIF are to be made in accordance with the County Treasurer's 2014 Statement of Investment Policy (the "Policy Statement"), which is more restrictive than the investments authorized pursuant to Sections 53601 and 53635 of the California Government Code. The Policy Statement requires that all investment transactions be governed by first giving consideration to the safety and preservation of principal and liquidity sufficient to meet daily cash flow needs prior to achieving a reasonable rate of return on the investment. Reverse-repurchase agreements are not authorized to be purchased for the PIF except for an unanticipated and immediate cash flow need that would otherwise cause the Treasurer to sell portfolio securities prior to maturity at a principal loss.

The allocation of the investments in the Pooled Investment Fund as of August 31, 2014, was as follows:

	<i>% of Pool</i>
U.S. Treasury Securities	6.02%
Federal Agency Securities	61.07
Cash/Money Market Funds	9.83
Commercial Paper	20.51
Municipal Notes/Bonds	1.93
Certificates of Deposit	0.63
Local Agency Obligations	<u>0.01</u>
Total	100.00%
Book Yield:	0.420%
Years to Maturity:	1.326 years

Source: County Treasurer-Tax Collector.

As of August 31, 2014, the market value of the PIF was 99.97% of book value. The Treasurer estimates that sufficient liquidity exists within the portfolio to meet daily expenditure needs without requiring any sale of securities at a principal loss prior to their maturity.

In accordance with Sections 53684 and 53844 of the California Government Code, all interest, income, gains and losses on the portfolio are distributed quarterly to participants based upon their average daily balance except for specific investments made on behalf of a particular fund. In these instances, Section 53844 requires that the investment income be credited to the specific fund in which the investment was made.

The Board of Supervisors established an "Investment Oversight Committee" in compliance with California Government Code Section 27131. Currently, the Investment Oversight Committee is composed of the County Finance Director, the County Treasurer-Tax Collector, the County Superintendent of Schools, a school district representative and a public member at large. The purpose of the Investment Oversight Committee is to review the prudence of the County's investment policy, portfolio holdings and investment procedures, and to make any findings and recommendations known to the Board of Supervisors. The Investment Oversight Committee was reorganized to conform to new State requirements requiring the County to have a local oversight committee. The Investment Oversight Committee is utilized by the County to manage, audit, and safeguard public funds and to perform other internal control measures.

The County has obtained a rating on the PIF of “Aaa-bf” from Moody’s Investors Service and “AAA/V1” rating from Fitch Ratings. There is no assurance that such ratings will continue for any given period of time or that any such rating may not be lowered, suspended or withdrawn entirely by the respective rating agency if, in the judgment of such rating agency, circumstances so warrant.

Ad Valorem Property Taxes

General. Taxes are levied for each fiscal year on taxable real and personal property which is situated in the County as of the preceding January 1. However, upon a change in ownership of property or completion of new construction, State law permits an accelerated recognition and taxation of increases in real property assessed valuation. For assessment and collection purposes, property is classified either as “secured” or “unsecured” and is listed accordingly on separate assessment rolls. The “secured roll” is that assessment roll containing locally assessed property secured by a lien which is sufficient, in the opinion of the assessor, to secure payment of the taxes. Other property is assessed on the “unsecured roll.”

The County levies a 1% property tax on behalf of all taxing agencies in the County. The taxes collected are allocated on the basis of a formula established by State law enacted in 1979. Under this formula, the County and all other taxing entities receive a base year allocation plus an allocation on the basis of growth in situs assessed value (new construction, change of ownership, inflation) prorated among the jurisdictions which serve the tax rate areas within which the growth occurs. Tax rate areas are specifically defined geographic areas which were developed to permit the levying of taxes for less than county wide or less than city wide special and school districts. In addition, the County levies and collects additional voter approved debt service and fixed charge assessments on behalf of any taxing agency and special districts within the County.

Property taxes on the secured roll are due in two installments, on November 1 and February 1. If unpaid, such taxes become delinquent after 5:00 p.m. on December 10 and April 10, respectively, and a 10% penalty attaches. Property on the secured roll with unpaid delinquent taxes is declared tax-defaulted after 5:00 p.m. on June 30th. Such property may thereafter be redeemed by payment of the delinquent taxes, the 10% delinquency penalty, the twenty-eight dollar administrative cost, a fifteen dollar per parcel redemption fee (from which the State receives five dollars), and redemption penalty of one and one half percent per month starting July 1 and continuing until date of redemption (collectively, the “Redemption Amount”). If taxes remain unpaid after five years on the default roll, the property becomes subject to a tax sale by the County Treasurer.

Property taxes on the unsecured roll are due as of January 1 lien date and become delinquent, if unpaid, on August 31. A 10% penalty attaches to delinquent taxes on property on the unsecured roll and an additional penalty of one and one half percent per month begins to accrue on November 1. The taxing authority has four ways of collecting unsecured personal property taxes: (1) a civil action against the taxpayer; (2) filing a certificate in the office of the County Clerk specifying certain facts in order to obtain a judgment lien on certain property of the taxpayer; (3) filing a certificate of delinquency for recordation in the County Recorder’s office in order to obtain a lien on certain property of the taxpayer; and (4) seizure and sale of personal property, improvements or possessory interests belonging or assessed to the taxpayer.

The following tables set forth the secured property tax roll and the unsecured property tax roll of the County for Fiscal Year 2002-03 through Fiscal Year 2013-14.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES - LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2002-03 THROUGH 2013-14
SECURED PROPERTY TAX ROLL⁽¹⁾

<i>Fiscal Year</i>	<i>Secured Property Tax Levy</i>	<i>Current Levy Delinquent June 30</i>	<i>Percentage of Current Taxes Delinquent June 30⁽²⁾</i>	<i>Total Collections⁽³⁾</i>	<i>Percentage of Total Collections to Current Levy</i>
2002-03	\$1,348,190,139	\$ 44,478,022	3.30%	\$1,388,639,880	103.00%
2003-04	1,506,949,011	42,164,689	2.80	1,571,572,091	104.29
2004-05	1,747,034,222	55,557,116	3.18	1,797,065,686	102.86
2005-06	2,094,068,686	88,930,195	4.25	2,122,973,130	101.38
2006-07	2,559,448,076	180,175,146	7.04	2,533,225,935	98.98
2007-08	2,964,341,768	255,672,935	8.62	2,928,205,634	98.78
2008-09	3,029,936,136	222,218,035	7.33	3,146,419,870	103.84
2009-10	2,791,941,475	139,427,699	4.99	2,957,072,395	105.91
2010-11	2,698,915,858	95,454,538	3.54	2,826,336,496	104.72
2011-12	2,676,613,483	70,921,563	2.65	2,805,588,954	104.82
2012-13	2,677,034,057	58,215,544	2.17	2,800,820,511	104.62
2013-14 ⁽⁴⁾	2,813,381,750	49,716,695	1.76	2,943,824,187	104.64

(1) The Levy and Collection data reflects the 1% levy allowed under Article XIII A of the California Constitution and additional taxes levied for voter-approved debt and special assessments. Taxes for the County, cities, schools districts, special districts and redevelopment agencies are included in the totals.

(2) Under the Teeter Plan, participating agencies receive their full levy of current secured taxes regardless of delinquency rate, subject to roll corrections during the year. Prior year taxes are deposited to the Teeter Plan fund.

(3) Includes current and prior years' redemptions, penalties and interest in current secured and unsecured taxes.

(4) Actual unaudited figures.

Source: County Auditor-Controller.

UNSECURED PROPERTY TAX ROLL⁽¹⁾

<i>Fiscal Year</i>	<i>Unsecured Property Tax Levy</i>	<i>Total Collections⁽²⁾</i>	<i>Percentage of Total Collections to Original Levy⁽²⁾</i>
2002-03	\$51,805,548	\$48,211,472	93.06%
2003-04	56,479,231	54,911,981	97.23
2004-05	61,359,545	58,253,834	94.94
2005-06	67,010,790	65,220,783	97.33
2006-07	71,315,299	70,418,974	98.74
2007-08	79,265,231	75,578,154	95.35
2008-09	88,531,578	86,067,900	97.22
2009-10	88,118,784	88,409,527	100.33
2010-11	86,326,418	82,483,361	95.55
2011-12	83,904,478	84,157,603	100.30
2012-13	83,848,832	78,686,704	93.84
2013-14 ⁽³⁾	83,522,992	86,835,311	103.97

(1) The Levy and Collection data reflects the 1% levy allowed under Article XIII A of the California Constitution and additional taxes levied for voter-approved debt and special assessments. Taxes for the County, cities, schools districts, special districts and redevelopment agencies are included in the totals.

(2) Includes current and prior years' redemptions, penalties and interest in current secured and unsecured taxes.

(3) Actual unaudited figures.

Source: County Auditor-Controller.

State legislation enacted in 1984 established the “supplemental roll,” which directs the County Assessor to re-assess real property, at market value, on the date the property changes ownership or upon completion of new construction. Property on the supplemental roll is eligible for billing 30 days after the reassessment and notification to the new assessee. The resultant charge (or refund) is a one-time levy on the increase (or decrease) in value for the period between the date of the change in ownership or completion of new construction and the date of the next regular tax roll upon which the assessment is entered.

Supplemental roll billings are made on a monthly basis and are due on the date mailed. If mailed within the months of July through October, the first installment becomes delinquent on December 10 and the second on April 10. If mailed within the months of November through June, the first installment becomes delinquent on the last day of the month following the month of billing. The second installment becomes delinquent on the last day of the fourth month following the date the first installment is delinquent. These assessments are subject to the same penalties and default procedures as the secured and unsecured rolls.

The following table sets forth the supplemental tax roll of the County for Fiscal Year 2003-04 through Fiscal Year 2013-14.

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTAL ROLL
AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXATION
FISCAL YEARS 2003-04 THROUGH 2013-14**

<i>Fiscal Year</i>	<i>Tax Levy for Increased Assessments^{(1),(2),(3)}</i>	<i>Refunds for Decreased Assessments^{(1),(3)}</i>	<i>Net Supplemental Tax Levy</i>	<i>Collections^{(1),(2)}</i>
2003-04	\$107,873,487	\$ 2,072,831	\$105,800,656	\$ 92,039,986
2004-05	201,364,003	2,048,421	199,315,582	151,778,352
2005-06	334,571,225	1,818,236	332,752,989	248,929,219
2006-07	344,014,168	2,948,680	341,065,488	301,767,959
2007-08	171,506,667	9,019,397	162,487,270	214,671,863
2008-09 ⁽⁴⁾	60,817,712	46,478,150	14,339,562	74,316,444
2009-10	27,019,730	35,212,651	(8,192,922) ⁽⁵⁾	19,632,809
2010-11	34,612,092	27,686,887	6,925,205	16,813,302
2011-12	26,497,836	18,807,091	7,690,745	17,105,096
2012-13	35,389,177	16,720,188	18,668,989	23,487,988
2013-14 ⁽⁶⁾	52,907,916	8,982,077	43,925,839	41,498,433

⁽¹⁾ Includes tax levy, refunds and collections for all districts, including the County, cities, school districts, special districts and redevelopment and successor agencies.

⁽²⁾ Includes current and prior years' taxes, redemption penalties and interest collected.

⁽³⁾ Tax levy amounts are shown net of minimum tax less than \$10 and refunds are shown net of refunds of negative supplemental taxes less than \$10.

⁽⁴⁾ Changes from prior years due to decrease in housing values and lower transaction volume. See description below following the table of Assessed Valuation History by Category and Property Type.

⁽⁵⁾ The negative tax levy is a result of refunds exceeding the billed amounts.

⁽⁶⁾ Actual unaudited figures.

Source: County Auditor-Controller/County Treasurer and Tax Collector.

The Assessment Roll

The following table sets forth the assessed valuation by category and property type for Fiscal Year 2010-11 through Fiscal Year 2014-15.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
ASSESSED VALUATION HISTORY BY CATEGORY AND PROPERTY TYPE⁽¹⁾
FISCAL YEARS 2010-11 THROUGH 2014-15
(IN MILLIONS)

<i>Category</i>	<i>2010-11</i>	<i>2011-12</i>	<i>2012-13</i>	<i>2013-14</i>	<i>2014-15</i>
<u>SECURED PROPERTY:</u>					
Land	\$ 65,877	\$ 64,308	\$ 63,549	\$ 65,635	\$ 69,805
Structures	132,431	131,516	132,077	138,000	150,275
Personal Property	819	836	887	878	919
Utilities	<u>3,019</u>	<u>3,614</u>	<u>3,475</u>	<u>3,618</u>	<u>4,630</u>
Total Secured	\$ 202,146	\$ 200,274	\$ 199,988	\$ 208,131	\$ 225,629
<u>UNSECURED PROPERTY:</u>					
Land	\$ 14	\$ 29	\$ 17	\$ 13	\$ 5
Structures	309	274	268	227	203
Improvements	3,439	3,504	3,683	3,684	3,519
Fixtures	<u>4,049</u>	<u>3,975</u>	<u>3,895</u>	<u>3,691</u>	<u>3,700</u>
Total Unsecured ⁽²⁾	\$ <u>7,811</u>	\$ <u>7,782</u>	\$ <u>7,863</u>	\$ <u>7,615</u>	\$ <u>7,427</u>
Grand Total	\$ <u>209,957</u>	\$ <u>208,056</u>	\$ <u>207,851</u>	\$ <u>215,746</u>	\$ <u>233,056</u>

⁽¹⁾ Assessed valuation is reported as of July 1 of each year at 100% of full taxable value. Pursuant to Article XIII A of the State Constitution (Proposition 13), property is valued for tax purposes at the 1975 fair market value, adjusted annually for inflation (not to exceed 2%). Generally, property is reassessed at fair market value upon change of ownership and for new construction.

⁽²⁾ Represents total of categories set forth above; does not represent total tax roll values.

Source: County Auditor-Controller/County Assessor.

Housing prices in the County declined in 2007 and 2008 but has been showing moderate increases in recent years. Assessed valuations can be reduced as a result of an assessment appeal or an assessor-initialized reduction. Property owners can appeal their initial valuation at the time of acquisition to establish their Proposition 13 basis. Subsequently, they may appeal the valuation under Proposition 8 to achieve a temporary reduction below the Proposition 13 value, as adjusted. The County Assessor is required under Proposition 8 to make reductions, should declines in market values call for such reductions.

In response to the decline in the local housing market, for Fiscal Year 2008-09, the County Assessor proactively reviewed all residential properties and made applicable adjustments to bring the tax roll in line with the then current (depressed) values, without waiting for tax payers to file an appeal. The Fiscal Years 2008-09 and 2009-10 budgets incorporated these Proposition 8 reductions. The total Fiscal Year 2008-09 reductions of \$16.2 billion offset a majority of the value increases recorded during the prior year. For Fiscal Year 2009-10, the County Assessor reviewed the values of approximately 300,000 properties, including those reduced in the prior year, and reduced total valuation by approximately \$40 billion. This resulted in a net decline in assessed valuation from the prior year of approximately 10.5%. In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the Assessor proactively reviewed all residential properties purchased after January 1, 1999, which encompassed approximately 400,000 properties. This resulted in a net decline in assessed valuation from the prior fiscal year of approximately 4.25%. In Fiscal Year 2011-12, the Assessor proactively reviewed all residential properties purchased after January 1, 1999, which resulted in a 1.5% decline in assessed valuation from the prior fiscal year. In Fiscal Year 2012-13, the Assessor proactively reviewed all residential properties purchased after January 1, 1999, which resulted in a 0.15% decline in assessed valuation from the prior fiscal

year. Cumulatively, assessed valuation in the County declined 16.36% from Fiscal Year 2007-08 through Fiscal Year 2012-13 due to the County Assessor's proactive reviews. Assessed valuation in the County increased from Fiscal Year 2012-13 to Fiscal Year 2013-14 by approximately 3.95% and increased from Fiscal Year 2013-14 to Fiscal Year 2014-15 by approximately 7.74%.

Property Tax Appeals. The County has received assessment appeals applicable to Fiscal Year 2013-14 totaling approximately \$12.4 billion of assessed value. Successful appeals result in either a refund of taxes paid or a reduction to an unpaid tax bill. A total of \$2.2 billion of assessed value was reduced from the County tax roll in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and Fiscal Year 2013-14 due to appeals, representing \$22 million in general purpose taxes over the two-fiscal year period. 26% of the Fiscal Year 2012-13 assessment appeals have been completed. The majority of the remaining Fiscal Year 2012-13 assessment appeals are expected to be completed by November 30, 2014.

The County cannot predict with certainty the outcome of the assessment appeals that have been filed but not resolved. It is expected that the impact of the assessment appeals on the Fiscal Year 2014-15 budget will be determined primarily by two components: (i) the remainder of the Fiscal Year 2012-13 assessment appeals still to be completed; and (ii) a portion of the Fiscal Year 2013-14 and Fiscal Year 2014-15 assessment appeals being completed during Fiscal Year 2014-15.

Teeter Plan

In 1993, the County adopted the alternative method of secured property tax apportionment available under Chapter 3, Part 8, Division 1 (commencing section 4701) of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State (also known as the "Teeter Plan"). This alternative method provides for funding each taxing entity included in the Teeter Plan with its total secured property taxes during the year the taxes are levied, including any amount uncollected at fiscal year-end. Under this plan, the County assumes an obligation under a debenture or similar demand obligation to advance funds to cover expected delinquencies, and, by such financing, its General Fund receives the full amount of secured property taxes levied each year and, therefore, no longer experiences delinquent taxes. In addition, the County's General Fund benefits from future collections of penalties and interest on all delinquent taxes collected on behalf of participants in this alternative method of apportionment. The penalties and interest, net of financing costs, are a substantial source of income for the County.

Upon adopting the Teeter Plan in 1993, the County was required to distribute to participating local agencies, 95% of the then-accumulated secured roll property tax delinquencies and place the remaining 5% in the tax losses reserve fund, as described below. Taxing entities that maintain funds in the County Treasury are all included in the Teeter Plan; other taxing entities may elect to be included in the Teeter Plan. Taxing entities that do not elect to participate in the Teeter Plan will be paid as taxes are collected. In Fiscal Year 2013-14, approximately 55.3% of all taxing entities within the County participated in the Teeter Plan.

Pursuant to the Teeter Plan, the County is also required to establish a tax losses reserve fund to cover losses which may occur in the amount of tax liens as a result of special sales of tax defaulted property (i.e., if the sale price of the property is less than the amount owed). The amount required to be on deposit in the tax losses reserve fund is, at the election of the County, one of the following amounts: (1) an amount not less than 1% of the total amount of taxes and assessments levied on the secured roll for a particular year for entities participating in the Teeter Plan, or (2) an amount not less than 25% of the total delinquent secured taxes and assessments calculated as of the end of the fiscal year for entities participating in the Teeter Plan. The County's tax losses reserve fund will be fully funded, in accordance with the County's election to be governed by the first alternative, and this amount has consistently been sufficient to provide for any tax losses. Accordingly, any additional penalties and interest that otherwise would be credited to the tax losses reserve fund are credited to the County's General Fund.

Funding for the County's on-going obligations under the Teeter Plan was completed through the issuance in October 2013 of County of Riverside Teeter Obligation Tax-Exempt Notes, Series D (the

“D Notes”) in the amount of approximately \$118.1 million and the County of Riverside Teeter Obligation Taxable Notes, Series E (the “Series E Notes” and together with the D Notes, the “2013 Notes”) in the amount of approximately \$1.6 million. The proceeds of the 2013 Notes refunded the outstanding County of Riverside 2012 Teeter Obligation Notes, Series D originally issued in the amount of \$142.8 million, funded an advance of unpaid property taxes for agencies participating in the Teeter Plan, and made payments to the Cities of Riverside and Eastvale to acquire such cities’ prior year tax receivables as new participants in the Teeter Plan. The 2013 Notes funded approximately \$44.2 million representing Fiscal Year 2012-13 delinquent property taxes and approximately \$75.5 million representing prior years’ delinquent property taxes. The 2013 Notes mature on October 15, 2014 and are being refunded with the proceeds of the Notes. See “THE NOTES — Security for the Notes” and “— Purpose of Issue.” The County’s General Fund is pledged to the repayment of the 2013 Notes and the Notes in addition to the pledge of the delinquent taxes in the event that delinquent taxes collected are not sufficient to repay the 2013 Notes and the Notes.

Largest Taxpayers

The following table sets forth the 25 largest taxpayers by individual tax levied in the County for Fiscal Year 2013-14.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE TWENTY-FIVE LARGEST TAXPAYERS IN FISCAL YEAR 2013-14 COMBINED TAX ROLLS⁽¹⁾

<i>Taxpayer</i>	<i>Total Taxes Levied</i>	<i>Percentage of Total Tax Charge</i>
Southern California Edison Company	\$ 27,265,175.94	0.92%
CPV Sentinel LLC	8,528,247.00	0.29
Verizon California Inc.	7,444,694.48	0.25
Southern California Gas Company	6,463,752.24	0.22
Inland Empire Energy Center, LLC	4,724,552.80	0.16
Walgreen Co.	3,047,025.73	0.10
Tyler Mall Ltd. Partnership	2,985,659.56	0.10
Time Warner Cable Pacific West LLC	2,923,122.40	0.10
Lowes HIW Inc.	2,739,694.02	0.09
Target Corp.	2,655,141.13	0.09
Chelsea GCA Realty Partnership	2,600,909.58	0.09
Costco Wholesale Corp.	2,594,866.65	0.09
Wal Mart Real Estate Business Trust	2,581,516.60	0.09
KB Home Coastal Inc.	2,565,084.35	0.09
Federal National Mortgage Association	2,538,809.20	0.09
Roripaugh Valley Restoration	2,502,491.44	0.08
Standard Pacific Corp.	2,462,304.49	0.08
Abbott Vascular Inc.	2,418,560.79	0.08
Blythe Energy, LLC	2,416,881.60	0.08
Nestle Waters North America, Inc.	2,272,728.64	0.08
Ross Dress For Less, Inc.	2,227,547.50	0.08
Health Care REIT	2,137,448.36	0.07
Richmond American Homes of Maryland Inc.	2,096,973.02	0.07
Fresh & Easy Neighborhood Market, Inc.	2,067,061.87	0.07
Palm Desert Funding Co.	2,066,948.04	0.07
Total	<u>\$ 104,327,197.43</u>	<u>3.52%</u>
Total Tax Charge for 2013-14	\$2,966,469,758.95	

⁽¹⁾ Includes secured, unsecured and State-assessed property.
Source: County Treasurer and Tax Collector.

The following table sets forth the ten largest property owners in the County by assessed value for all properties for Fiscal Year 2014-15.

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
TEN LARGEST PROPERTY OWNERS IN FISCAL YEAR 2014-15
BY ASSESSED VALUE**

<i>Assessee</i>	<i>Assessed Value</i>
Eisenhower Memorial Hospital	\$ 363,825,365
Kaiser Foundation Hospital	331,710,705
Ross Dress for Less Inc.	293,073,873
Walgreen Co.	271,064,103
Kaiser Foundation Health Plan Inc.	268,522,448
Time Warner Cable Pacific West LLC	240,450,219
Target Corp.	224,112,730
Chelsea GCA Realty Partnership	221,856,908
Lowes HIW Inc.	211,274,769
Costco Wholesale Corp.	207,444,443
Subtotal	<u>\$ 2,633,335,563</u>
All Others	<u>226,827,490,802</u>
Total	<u><u>\$ 229,460,826,365</u></u> ⁽¹⁾

⁽¹⁾ Excludes State assessed property. Does not reflect any applicable exemptions.
Source: County Assessor.

Other Taxing Entities

The County does not retain all of the property taxes it collects for its own purposes. The majority of property taxes collected by the County are disbursed to other agencies. For Fiscal Year 2012-13, the County retained approximately 12.23% of the total amount collected (and is budgeted to retain 12.23% in Fiscal Year 2013-14). The remainder is distributed according to State law (AB 8), which established a tax-sharing formula, and State redevelopment law (See “-Redevelopment Agencies” below). Taxes levied for the purpose of repaying general obligation debt, special taxes and assessments are applied to pay such obligations, less any allowable collection charges.

Redevelopment Agencies

The California Community Redevelopment Law (California Health and Safety Code Section 33000 *et seq.*) authorized the redevelopment agency of any city or county to issue bonds payable from the allocation of tax revenues resulting from increases in assessed valuation of properties within the designated project areas. In effect, local taxing authorities other than the redevelopment agency realize tax revenues on a portion of the taxes generated in a project area including: 1) on the “frozen” tax base; 2) for project areas adopted prior to January 1, 1994, local taxing authorities may receive an additional amount based on any negotiated agreements with redevelopment agencies to receive a share of tax increment proceeds; and, 3) for project areas adopted after January 1, 1994, local taxing authorities receive a pass-through payment based on statutory rules pursuant to section 33607.5 of the California Health and Safety Code. The net effect of the formation of a redevelopment area is to redistribute tax revenues away from the AB 8 formula. Redevelopment agencies generally receive the majority of the taxes to be allocated. Other taxing entities may receive a portion of the tax revenue pursuant to agreements negotiated with the redevelopment agency.

The following table summarizes the community redevelopment agencies' frozen base value, full cash value increments, and total tax allocations for Fiscal Years 2002-03 through 2013-14.

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCIES'
FROZEN BASE VALUE, FULL CASH VALUE INCREMENTS
AND TOTAL TAX ALLOCATIONS
FISCAL YEARS 2002-03 THROUGH 2013-14**

<i>Fiscal Year</i>	<i>Frozen Base Value</i>	<i>Full Cash Value Increments⁽¹⁾</i>	<i>Total Tax Allocations^{(2),(3)}</i>
2002-03	\$11,061,415,310	\$26,977,389,195	\$271,878,884
2003-04	11,384,632,277	30,660,791,085	308,514,347
2004-05	12,271,092,108	34,974,969,456	352,904,769
2005-06	14,682,893,563	42,414,898,724	427,668,011
2006-07	14,555,513,591	52,411,876,802	529,173,451
2007-08	15,259,109,791	62,845,258,807	634,701,584
2008-09	15,257,041,079	66,803,157,176	673,622,251
2009-10	15,256,883,605	62,342,584,603	630,001,609
2010-11	15,980,487,099	58,188,212,570	586,318,387
2011-12	16,272,503,279	56,687,373,841	598,655,064
2012-13	16,352,697,201	56,178,718,338	594,476,134
2013-14 ⁽⁴⁾	16,352,697,201	58,677,226,297	688,683,052

(1) Full cash value for all redevelopment projects (including County projects) above the "frozen" base year valuations. This data represents growth in full cash values generating tax revenues for use by the community redevelopment agencies.

(2) Actual cash revenues collected by the County and available to community redevelopment agencies, subject to debt limitation and certain negotiated agreements with taxing entities for a share of the property tax increment.

(3) Includes general purpose and debt; excludes negative increment.

(4) Based on County estimate of increment of assessed value for the community redevelopment agencies for Fiscal Year 2013-14.

Source: County Auditor-Controller.

Legislation enacted as part of the State's 2011 Budget Act ("ABx1 26") eliminated redevelopment agencies, with formal dissolution having taken place on February 1, 2012. The County had previously formed a redevelopment agency with project areas in 45 unincorporated communities. In accordance with ABx1 26, the County redevelopment agency dissolved on February 1, 2012 and the County's Board of Supervisors is acting as the successor agency to the County's redevelopment agency. At the time of its dissolution, the County redevelopment agency had a total land area of 82,334 acres, a base year assessed value, including State-owned land, of \$3,971,824,734, and a 2011-12 assessed value of \$8,266,787,927. In Fiscal Year 2011-12, the pass-through payment to the County's General Fund from the County's redevelopment agency totaled \$1,600,442.73, and was offset in its entirety pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 33607.5. As a consequence of the dissolution of redevelopment agencies, the County receives only a fraction of the pass-through payments from the County redevelopment agency it previously received, but these amounts were relatively modest and are largely offset by the County's receipt of its tax allocation under the AB 8 formula. As the result of the dissolution, the County is receiving a share of residual, unencumbered low and moderate housing and other asset funding. As of March 2014, the County received approximately \$9 million in such funds in the current fiscal year.

In Fiscal Year 2013-14, the County estimates that it will receive approximately \$81 million in pass-through payments pursuant to agreements with various city redevelopment agencies, and is projecting that it will receive approximately \$85 million in pass-through payments in Fiscal Year 2014-15. Pursuant to ABx1 26 and its following clarifying legislation, the County's negotiated pass-through agreements with these

redevelopment agencies remain in full force and effect as enforceable obligations of the successor entity to each such redevelopment agency.

Financial Statements and Related Issues

The County's accounting policies used in preparation of its audited financial statements conform to generally accepted accounting principles applicable to counties. The County's governmental funds and fiduciary funds use the modified accrual basis of accounting. This system recognizes revenues in the accounting period in which they become available and measurable. Expenditures, with the exception of unmatured interest on general long-term debt, are recognized in the accounting period in which the fund liability is incurred. Proprietary funds use the accrual basis of accounting, and revenues are recognized in the accounting period in which they are earned and become measurable, while expenses are recognized in the period during which they are incurred.

The State Government Code requires every county to prepare an annual financial report. The County Auditor-Controller prepares the "Annual Financial Report of the County of Riverside." Under the U.S. Single Audit Act of 1984 and State law, independent audits are required on all operating funds under the control of the Board of Supervisors and must be conducted annually. The County's financial statements for Fiscal Year 2012-13 were audited by Brown Armstrong Certified Public Accountants. See APPENDIX B — "COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013."

The County adopted the provisions of GASB Statement No. 34, *Basic Financial Statements – and Management's Discussion and Analysis – for State and Local Governments* during Fiscal Year 2001-02. This statement affects the manner in which the County records transactions and presents financial information. GASB Statement No. 34 establishes new requirements and a new reporting model for the annual financial reports of state and local governments. GASB Statement No. 34 requires that financial statements be accompanied by a narrative introduction and analytical overview of the County's financial activities in the form of "management's discussion and analysis" (MD&A). In addition, the reporting model established by GASB Statement No. 34 includes financial statements prepared using full accrual accounting for all of the County's activities. This approach includes not just current assets and liabilities, but also capital and other long-term assets as well as long-term liabilities. The reporting model features a statement of net assets and a statement of activities. The statement of net assets is designed to display the financial position of the government. The County reports all capital assets, including infrastructure assets, in the government-wide statement of net assets and reports depreciation expense in the statement of activities. The statement of activities reports expenses and revenues in a format that focuses on the cost of each of the County's functions. The expense of individual functions is compared to the revenue generated directly by the function. Accordingly, the County has recorded other long-term assets and liabilities in the statement of net assets, and has reported all revenues and the cost of providing services under the accrual basis of accounting in the statement of activities. For further information on GASB Statement No. 34 and other changes in significant accounting policies, see Note 1 of the Notes to Basic Financial Statements, June 30, 2013, which are included in APPENDIX B — "COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013."

The following table sets forth the County's Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Unreserved Fund Balances – General Fund for Fiscal Years 2008-09 through 2012-13.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES
IN UNRESERVED FUND BALANCES – GENERAL FUND
FISCAL YEARS 2008-09 THROUGH 2012-13
(In Thousands)

	<i>2008-09</i>	<i>2009-10</i>	<i>2010-11</i>	<i>2011-12</i>	<i>2012-13</i>
BEGINNING FUND BALANCE	\$ 481,776	\$ 372,121	\$ 386,486	\$ 343,562	\$ 336,598
REVENUES					
Taxes	274,480	229,631	221,807	216,746	246,144
Licenses, permits and franchises	19,840	16,724	18,187	17,648	16,442
Fines, forfeiture sand penalties	107,147	112,813	93,528	88,979	85,241
Use of money and property–Interest	33,414	12,197	8,196	4,740	1,676
Use of money and property–					
Rents and concessions	3,157	3,936	3,669	3,798	3,670
Government Aid–State	908,334	820,432	856,327	931,652	1,000,545
Government Aid–Federal	472,210	504,605	490,088	475,221	478,791
Governmental Aid–Other	95,812	89,312	82,147	80,332	81,169
Charges for current services	364,649	367,249	369,780	354,451	374,750
Other revenues	<u>36,149</u>	<u>30,670</u>	<u>37,654</u>	<u>40,852</u>	<u>26,253</u>
TOTAL REVENUES	\$ 2,315,192	\$ 2,187,569	\$ 2,181,383	\$ 2,214,419	\$ 2,315,681
EXPENDITURES					
General government	\$ 146,816	\$ 130,516	\$ 109,146	\$ 127,195	\$ 103,895
Public protection	1,062,437	1,005,679	1,025,584	1,010,999	1,043,017
Public ways and facilities	4,378	-	-	-	-
Health and sanitation	382,588	333,068	345,649	369,165	388,325
Public assistance	719,328	712,353	731,017	719,670	735,057
Education	675	551	548	579	564
Recreation and cultural	230	312	364	324	346
Capital Outlay	22,746	31,018	8,321	2,671	1,721
Debt service	<u>22,501</u>	<u>21,876</u>	<u>24,829</u>	<u>21,426</u>	<u>19,576</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$ 2,361,699	\$ 2,234,373	\$ 2,245,458	\$ 2,252,029	\$ 2,292,501
Excess (deficit) of revenues over					
(under) expenditures	(46,507)	(47,804)	(64,075)	(37,610)	23,180
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)					
Transfer from other reserves	\$ 99,825	\$ 168,833	\$ 106,047	\$ 123,587	\$ 92,297
Transfer to other funds	(185,719)	(132,682)	(93,217)	(98,045)	(96,547)
Capital Leases	<u>22,746</u>	<u>31,018</u>	<u>8,321</u>	<u>2,671</u>	<u>1,721</u>
Total other Financing Sources (Uses)	\$ (63,148)	\$ 62,169	\$ 21,151	\$ 28,213	\$ (2,529)
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	\$ (109,655)	\$ 14,365	\$ (42,924)	\$ (9,397)	\$ 20,651
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR ⁽¹⁾	\$ 372,121	\$ 386,486	\$ 343,562	\$ 336,598	\$ 357,249

⁽¹⁾ As of June 30, 2011, the County's financial statements reported fund balance in accordance with GASB Statement No. 54, which establishes fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

Source: County Auditor-Controller.

The following table sets forth the County's General Fund Balance Sheets as of June 30 for Fiscal Years 2008-09 through 2012-13.

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
GENERAL FUND BALANCE SHEETS
AT JUNE 30, 2009 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2013
(In Thousands)**

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
ASSETS:					
Cash & Marketable Securities	\$ 150,728	\$ 122,902	\$ 160,887	\$ 151,845	\$ 128,655
Taxes Receivable	46,813	27,714	17,790	14,046	10,931
Accounts Receivable	31,150	8,468	12,771	9,196	9,167
Interest Receivable	3,315	2,091	1,119	643	687
Advances to Other Funds	0	0	3,692	3,342	3,342
Due from Other Funds	19,110	25,353	18,787	14,227	9,071
Due from Other Governments	250,144	263,240	276,656	328,817	308,532
Inventories	2,132	1,941	1,564	1,187	2,059
Prepaid items	3,720	888	277	298	818
Restricted Assets	<u>252,084</u>	<u>296,543</u>	<u>283,095</u>	<u>299,673</u>	<u>307,452</u>
Total Assets	<u>\$ 759,196</u>	<u>\$ 749,140</u>	<u>\$ 777,638</u>	<u>\$ 823,274</u>	<u>\$ 780,714</u>
LIABILITIES:					
Accounts Payable	\$ 68,560	\$ 57,236	\$ 84,116	\$ 75,996	\$ 24,234
Salaries & Benefits Payable	88,184	46,376	50,374	57,391	57,519
Due To Other Funds	0	2,155	2,639	1,466	9,190
Due to Other Governments	47,579	35,161	34,550	40,804	23,377
Deferred Revenue	180,777	218,676	260,343	311,003	66,855
Deposits Payable	1,975	3,050	2,054	16	19
Advances from grantors and third parties	-	-	-	-	242,271
Total Liabilities	<u>\$ 387,075</u>	<u>\$ 362,654</u>	<u>\$ 434,076</u>	<u>\$ 486,676</u>	<u>\$ 423,465</u>
FUND BALANCE:⁽¹⁾					
Nonspendable			\$ 2,214	\$ 1,834	\$ 3,247
Restricted			98,552	101,651	101,440
Committed			50,097	52,439	42,183
Assigned			3,463	8,674	10,460
Unassigned			189,236	171,910 ⁽²⁾	199,919 ⁽²⁾
Reserved	\$ 91,196	\$ 90,374			
Unreserved	<u>280,925</u>	<u>296,112</u>			
Fund Balance	<u>\$ 372,121</u>	<u>\$ 386,486</u>	<u>\$ 343,562</u>	<u>\$ 336,598</u>	<u>\$ 357,249</u>
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	<u>\$ 759,196</u>	<u>\$ 749,140</u>	<u>\$ 777,638</u>	<u>\$ 823,274</u>	<u>\$ 780,714</u>

⁽¹⁾ As of June 30, 2011, the County's financial statements reported fund balance in accordance with GASB Statement No. 54, which establishes fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

⁽²⁾ Annual fluctuations are due mainly to fluctuation in tax revenue, general government expenditures, interest earnings and State allocations.

Source: County Auditor-Controller.

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
GENERAL FUND BALANCES
AT JUNE 30, 2006 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2013
(In Thousands)**

	<i>Reserved</i>	<i>Unreserved</i>	<i>Total</i>
2006	\$100,436	\$346,482	\$446,918
2007	88,233	482,731	570,964
2008	84,466	394,302	478,768
2009	91,196	280,925	372,121
2010	90,374	296,112	386,486

	<i>Nonspendable</i>	<i>Restricted</i>	<i>Committed</i>	<i>Assigned</i>	<i>Unassigned</i>	<i>Total</i>
2011 ⁽¹⁾	\$2,214	\$98,552	\$50,097	\$ 3,463	\$189,236	\$343,562
2012	1,834	101,651	52,439	8,764	171,910	336,598
2013	3,247	101,440	42,183	10,460	199,919	357,249

⁽¹⁾ As of June 30, 2011, the County's financial statements reported fund balance in accordance with GASB Statement No. 54, which establishes fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

Source: County Auditor-Controller.

Short-Term Obligations of County

On July 1, 2014, the County issued its 2014-15 Tax and Revenue Anticipation Note (the "2014-15 TRAN") in the principal amount of \$250,000,000 to provide funds to meet the County's Fiscal Year 2014-15 General Fund expenditures, including current expenses, capital expenditures and prepayment of pension plan contributions. The 2014-15 TRAN is due on June 30, 2015. The TRAN is payable from taxes, income, revenues, cash receipts and other moneys of the County attributable to the County's Fiscal Year 2014-15 which are legally available for the payment thereof. The County has issued tax and revenue anticipation notes annually for over twenty consecutive years with timely repayment.

Long-Term Obligations of County

Since its formation in 1893, to the best knowledge of County officials, the County has never failed to pay the principal of or interest on any of its bonded indebtedness. As of August 1, 2014, the County had \$692,656,315 in direct General Fund obligations and \$334,515,000 in pension obligation bond indebtedness, as reflected in the following table, and has no authorized but unissued general obligation debt. Set forth below is an estimated direct and overlapping debt report as of August 1, 2014.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
ESTIMATED DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING OBLIGATIONS
(AS OF AUGUST 1, 2014)

2013-14 Assessed Valuation: \$213,210,306,282 (includes unitary utility valuation)

<u>OVERLAPPING TAX AND ASSESSMENT DEBT:</u>	<u>% Applicable</u>	<u>Debt 8/1/14</u>
Metropolitan Water District	6.146%	\$ 8,129,622
Community College Districts	1.173-99.999	544,764,865
Unified School Districts	1.300-100.	2,135,128,585
Perris Union High School District	100.	83,862,260
Elementary School Districts	100.	63,323,331
City of Riverside	100.	13,395,000
Eastern Municipal Water District Improvement Districts	100.	38,015,000
Riverside County Flood Control, Zone 3-B Benefit Assessment District	100.	1,705,000
San Geronio Memorial Hospital District	100.	114,010,000
Community Facilities Districts	50.225-100.	2,792,516,097
Riverside County 1915 Act Bonds	100.	2,660,000
City and Special District 1915 Act Bonds (Estimated)	100.	<u>206,573,972</u>
TOTAL OVERLAPPING TAX AND ASSESSMENT DEBT		\$ 6,004,083,732
 <u>DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING GENERAL FUND DEBT:</u>		
Riverside County General Fund Obligations	100. %	\$ 692,656,315 ⁽¹⁾
Riverside County Pension Obligations	100.	334,515,000
Riverside County Board of Education Obligations	100.	2,700,000
School Districts General Fund and Lease Tax Obligations	1.300-100.	472,531,724
City of Corona General Fund Obligations	100.	53,603,208
City of Moreno Valley General Fund Obligations	100.	69,211,500
City of Indio General Fund Obligations	100.	40,165,000
City of Palm Springs Certificates of Participation and Pension Obligations	100.	156,159,020
City of Riverside Certificates of Participation	100.	198,583,819
City of Riverside Pension Obligations	100.	115,775,000
Other City General Fund Obligations	100.	79,335,681
Other Water District Certificates of Participation	100.	65,747
Other Special District Certificates of Participation	100.	<u>2,625,000</u>
TOTAL GROSS DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING GENERAL FUND DEBT		\$ 2,217,927,014
Less: Riverside District Court Financing Corporation (100% supported from U.S. General Services Administration)		<u>9,283,353</u>
TOTAL NET DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING GENERAL FUND DEBT		\$ 2,208,643,661
 <u>OVERLAPPING TAX INCREMENT DEBT (Successor Agencies):</u>		\$ 2,719,800,574
 GROSS COMBINED TOTAL DEBT		\$ 10,941,811,320 ⁽²⁾
NET COMBINED TOTAL DEBT		\$ 10,932,527,967

Ratios to 2013-14 Assessed Valuation:

Overlapping Tax and Assessment Debt	2.82%
Combined Gross Direct Debt (\$1,046,374,868)	0.48%
Combined Net Direct Debt (\$1,036,272,610)	0.48%
Gross Combined Total Debt	5.13%
Net Combined Total Debt	5.13%

Ratios to Successor Agency Redevelopment Incremental Valuation (\$58,479,843,303):

Total Overlapping Tax Increment Debt	4.65%
--	-------

⁽¹⁾ Excludes issue to be sold.

⁽²⁾ Excludes tax and revenue anticipation notes, enterprise revenue, mortgage revenue and non-bonded capital lease obligations.

Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc. The County has not verified the accuracy of the information provided.

Lease Obligations

The County has used nonprofit corporations and joint powers authorities to finance certain public facilities through the issuance of lease obligations. Pursuant to these arrangements, a nonprofit corporation or joint powers authority constructs or acquires facilities with the proceeds of lease revenue obligations which are then leased to the County. Upon expiration of the lease, title to the facilities vests in the County.

As of July 31, 2014, the County's current outstanding lease obligations total \$694,314,915. The County's annual lease obligation is approximately \$88,788,447 and the maximum annual lease payment is \$90,168,530. The County plans to finance the construction of the East County Detention Center and related projects with proceeds of lease revenue bonds in an approximate aggregate principal amount of \$325 million.

The table on the following page sets forth the County's outstanding lease obligations and the respective annual lease requirements as of July 31, 2014.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
SUMMARY OF LEASE RENTAL OBLIGATIONS
(PAYABLE FROM THE COUNTY'S GENERAL FUND)
(As of July 31, 2014)

	<i>Final Maturity Year</i>	<i>Original Lease Amount</i>	<i>Obligations Outstanding</i>	<i>Annual Base Rental⁽¹⁾</i>
Riverside County Public Facilities Project 1985 Certificates of Participation – Type I	2015	\$ 148,500,000	\$ 28,000,000	\$ 14,245,620 ⁽²⁾
Riverside County Hospital Project, Leasehold Revenue Bonds:				
1997 Series A	2026	41,170,073	35,301,562	
1997 Series C	2019	3,265,000	3,265,000	
2012 Series A and B ⁽³⁾	2019	90,530,000	90,030,000	19,516,997 ⁽³⁾
County of Riverside 1990 Taxable Variable Rate Certificates of Participation (Monterey Avenue)	2020	8,800,000	4,400,000	873,500 ⁽⁴⁾
Riverside County Palm Desert Financing Authority Lease Revenue Bonds				
2008 Series A	2022	72,445,000	56,655,000	8,263,100
County of Riverside Certificates of Participation (2005 Series B Historic Courthouse Project) ⁽⁵⁾	2027	22,610,000	18,025,000	1,607,916
County of Riverside Certificates of Participation (2009 Larson Justice Center Refunding) ⁽⁶⁾	2021	36,100,000	18,800,000	2,560,550
Riverside District Court Financing Corporation (United States District Court Project):				
Series 1999	2020	24,835,000	8,858,353	
Series 2002	2020	925,000	425,000	1,820,656 ⁽⁷⁾
County of Riverside Leasehold Revenue Bonds (Southwest Justice Center Project)				
2008 Series A ⁽⁸⁾	2032	78,895,000	78,895,000	6,483,115
County of Riverside Certificates of Participation (2005 Series A Capital Improv and Family Law Court Refunding Project) ⁽⁹⁾	2036	51,655,000	43,335,000	3,399,338
County of Riverside Certificates of Participation (2006 Series A Capital Improvement Projects)	2037	34,675,000	30,780,000	2,158,969
County of Riverside Certificates of Participation (2007A Public Safety Commission Project)	2022	111,125,000	40,395,000	11,136,750
County of Riverside Southwest Communities Financing Authority Lease Revenue Bonds, Series 2008 A	2038	15,105,000	14,065,000	1,152,211
County of Riverside Certificates of Participation (2009 Public Safety Communication and Woodcrest Library Refunding Projects) ⁽¹⁰⁾	2040	45,685,000	43,345,000	1,911,800
County of Riverside Monroe Park Building 2011 Lease Financing	2020	5,535,000	3,890,000	673,016
County of Riverside Certificates of Participation (2012 County Administrative Center Refunding Project) ⁽¹¹⁾	2031	33,360,000	30,680,000	2,514,313
County of Riverside Public Financing Authority (2012 Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds) ⁽¹²⁾	2033	17,640,000	16,280,000	1,391,025
County of Riverside Leasehold Revenue Bonds (2013 Series A Public Defender/Probation Bldg and Riverside County Technology Solution Center Projects)	2043	66,015,000	66,015,000	4,283,738
Riverside Community Properties Development, Inc. Lease Revenue Bonds (2013 Riverside County Law Building Project)	2044	44,380,000	44,380,000	2,438,950
County of Riverside Lease Revenue Bonds (Court facilities Project, Series 2014A & Series 2014B (Taxable) ⁽¹³⁾	2033	<u>18,495,000</u>	<u>18,495,000</u>	<u>2,356,883</u>
TOTAL		<u>\$971,745,073</u>	<u>\$ 694,314,915</u>	<u>\$ 88,788,447</u>

⁽¹⁾ Annual base rental for Fiscal Year 2013-2014 unless otherwise noted.

⁽²⁾ Annual base rental estimated at assumed interest rate of 5% per annum. The average interest rate for the twelve-month period ending April, 22, 2013 was approximately 0.14%.

⁽³⁾ Total annual base rental for Riverside County Hospital Project, Leasehold Revenue Bonds. The 2012 Series A and B Bonds refunded the 1997 B Bonds. A portion of the proceeds of the 2012 Bonds was used to redeem the 1997 B Bonds and the remaining proceeds will be used to pay for improvements of the Medical Center Campus.

⁽⁴⁾ Annual base rental estimated at assumed interest rate of 9%. The average interest rate for the twelve-month period ending April 20, 2013 was approximately 0.21%.

⁽⁵⁾ The 2005 Series B Historic Courthouse Refunding Project refunded the 1997 Historic Courthouse Project.

⁽⁶⁾ The 2009 Larson Justice Center Refunding Project Refunded the 1998 Larson Center Refunding Project.

⁽⁷⁾ Total annual base rental for Riverside District Court Financing Corporation (United States District Court Project).

⁽⁸⁾ The 2008 Series A refunded the 2000 Series B SWJC Project.

⁽⁹⁾ A portion of the proceeds of the 2005 Series A Certificates was used to prepay all of the County of Riverside Certificates of Participation (Family Law Court Project).

⁽¹⁰⁾ The 2009 Public Safety Communication and Woodcrest Library Refunding Project refunded the 2007B Public Safety Communication Refunding Project and the 2006 Capital Appreciation Notes.

⁽¹¹⁾ The 2012 County Administrative Refunding Project refunded the 2001 County Administrative Annex Project.

⁽¹²⁾ The 2012 Public Financing Authority Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds refunded the 2003A Palm Desert Financing Authority Lease Revenue Bonds.

⁽¹³⁾ The 2014 Series A & B (Taxable) County of Riverside lease Revenue Bonds refunded the County of Riverside Certificates of Participation (Capital Facilities Project) 2003 Series B, County of Riverside Certificates of Participation (Historic Courthouse Project) 2003 Series A and the County of Riverside Court Financing Corporation Certificates of Participation (Bankruptcy Courthouse Acquisition Property).

Source: County Executive Office.

Interest Rate Swap Agreements

The County adopted a written interest rate swap policy (the “Swap Policy”) establishing the guidelines for the use of management of interest rate swaps as a method of lowering financing costs and reducing the risks associated with fluctuations in interest rates. The Swap Policy is reviewed annually to provide the appropriate internal framework to ensure that consistent objectives, practices, controls and authorizations are maintained to minimize the County’s risk related to its debt portfolio.

Simultaneously with the issuance of the County’s Leasehold Obligation Bonds (Southwest Justice Center Refunding) 2008 Series A, the County entered into an amended and restated interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of \$76,300,000. The interest rate swap agreement was novated in January 2012 to substitute Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as the new counterparty (the “Counterparty”). Under the swap agreement, the County has an obligation to pay the Counterparty a fixed rate of 5.155 percent and the County receives 64 percent of one month LIBOR from the Counterparty. The bonds and the related swap agreement mature on November 1, 2032. The Counterparty was rated “Aa3” by Moody’s, “AA-” by Standard & Poor’s and “AA-” by Fitch as of March 2014. Downgrade provisions specify that if the long-term senior unsecured debt rating of the Counterparty is withdrawn, suspended or falls below “BBB” (in the case of S&P) or “Baa2” (in the case of Moody’s), the County or the party so downgraded is required to post collateral in the amount of its exposure. If the swap agreement is terminated and, at the time of such termination, the fair market value of the swap agreement was negative, the County would be liable to the Counterparty for a termination payment equal to the swap’s fair market value. As of August 29, 2014, the swap agreement had a negative fair market value of approximately \$26.3 million (based on the quoted market price from the Counterparty at such date).

The County’s regularly scheduled swap payments are insured by Assured Guaranty Corp. The swap agreement provides that if an “Insurer Event” occurs, whereby the insurer fails at any time to have one out of two of the following ratings: (i) a claims-paying ability rating of “A-” or higher from S&P, or (ii) a financial strength rating of “A3” or higher from Moody’s, and only in the event that the County’s ratings have also been downgraded to below the threshold level of “Baa2” from Moody’s and “BBB” from S&P, the County would be required, within one business day of receiving a notice from the Counterparty, to either (A) provide an alternate credit support document acceptable to the Counterparty from a credit support provider with a claims paying ability rating of at least “AA-” from S&P and a financial strength rating of at least “Aa3” from Moody’s or an unenhanced rating on its unsecured unsubordinated long-term debt of at least “AA-” from S&P and at least “Aa3” from Moody’s, or (B) give notice to the Counterparty that it will thereafter be subject to the ISDA Credit Support Annex as both a Secured Party and a pledgor in accordance with the terms of such ISDA Credit Support Annex. As of March 2014, Assured Guaranty Corp. had a rating of “AA-” by S&P and “A3” from Moody’s. An explanation of the significance of the above ratings may be obtained from the applicable rating agency.

Employees

The following table sets forth the number of County employees for years 2004 through 2014.

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
REGULAR EMPLOYEES
2004 THROUGH 2014**

<i>Year</i>	<i>Regular Employees⁽¹⁾</i>
2004	14,862
2005	14,852
2006	15,832
2007	17,584
2008	18,912
2009	18,013
2010	17,671
2011	17,764
2012	17,815
2013	18,728
2014 ⁽²⁾	18,620

⁽¹⁾ As of December 31st of each year. Excludes temporary, per diem and seasonal employees.

⁽²⁾ As of June 30, 2014.

Source: County Human Resources Department.

County employees comprise 12 bargaining units, plus another 7 unrepresented employee groups. The bargaining units are represented by six labor organizations. The two largest of these organizations are Service Employees International Union, Local 721 (“SEIU”) and the Laborers International Union of North America (“LIUNA”), which represent approximately 72% of all County employees in a variety of job classifications. Salary, benefits and personnel items for management, confidential and other unrepresented employees which are exempt from collective bargaining, are governed by a County Resolution and Ordinance for personnel matters.

The County’s non-management law enforcement employees (non-management), are represented by the Riverside Sheriffs’ Association (“RSA”). Management employees of the law enforcement group are represented by the Riverside County Law Enforcement Management Unit (“LEMU”). The public defenders, County Counsel and prosecuting attorneys of the District Attorney’s Office are represented by the Riverside County Deputy District Attorneys Association (“RCDDAA”).

The County is currently subject to long-term agreements with all of its represented bargaining units. Most of the agreements cover a four to five year period, with the longest agreement ending in June 2017.

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
LABOR ORGANIZATIONS**

<i>Union</i>	<i>Number of Employees</i>	<i>Expiration Date of Contract</i>
Unrepresented	1,375	N/A
Law Enforcement Management Unit (LEMU)	462	June 30, 2017
Riverside County Attorneys' Association (RCAA)	115	June 30, 2015
Deputy District Attorney's Association (DDAA)	269	June 30, 2015
Riverside Sheriffs' Association (RSA)	3,118	June 30, 2016
Service Employees International Union (SEIU)	6,375	November 30, 2016
Laborers' International Union of North America (LIUNA)	<u>7,217</u>	June 30, 2016
Total	18,931	

Source: County Human Resources Department.

Retirement Program

General. The County provides retirement benefits to all regular County employees through its contract with California Public Employees' Retirement System ("PERS"), a multiple-employer public sector employee defined benefit pension plan. The retirement plan, as amended, provides coverage for eligible employees in the Miscellaneous Plan (herein defined) with PERS and Social Security, and coverage in lieu of Social Security for Safety members. PERS provides service and disability retirement benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments and death benefits to PERS members and beneficiaries. The retirement benefits are based on years of service, benefit factor (determined by age at retirement), and final compensation, which is the highest average pay rate and special compensation during any consecutive one-year period of employment (for Tier 1 employees) or three-year period of employment (for Tier 2 and Tier 3 employees). The benefit for members is the product of the benefit factor (based on age), years of service, and final compensation. Due to recent pension reform, the County's retirement plans currently includes three tiers of benefits.

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
EMPLOYEES PER RETIREMENT TIER⁽¹⁾
(AS OF JUNE 30, 2014)**

	<i>Number of Employees</i>
Tier 1	15,905
Tier 2	570
Tier 3	<u>2,145</u>
Total	18,620

⁽¹⁾ Excludes Temporary, Per Diem, and Seasonal Employees.

Source: County Human Resources Department.

For Miscellaneous members who qualify based on their date of hire for Tier 1 benefits, the benefit factor ranges from 2% at age 50 to 3% at age 60 and beyond. For Safety members who qualify based on their date of hire for Tier 1 benefits, the benefit factor is 3% at age 50 and beyond. The Tier 1 plan also provides for cost-of-living adjustments of up to 2% per year after retirement. For further information on the County's pension obligations, see Note 20 – Retirement Plan of the Notes to Basic Financial Statements, June 30, 2013, which are attached hereto as APPENDIX B — "COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013."

In September 2003, the County established the Pension Advisory Review Committee ("PARC"). The purpose of PARC is to develop a better institutional understanding of the County's pension plans (the "Plan"),

currently managed by PERS and to advise the Board of Supervisors on important matters concerning the Plan. PARC reports annually to the Board of Supervisors on the performance of the Plan and evaluates strategies to address appropriate funding of the Plan.

In April 2011, the Board of Supervisors approved a second tier ("Tier II) level of benefits for new Miscellaneous and Safety employees. The County implemented Tier II on August 23, 2012 for employees first employed by the County on or after that date. The retirement benefit calculation is based on years of service, age, and the average monthly qualifying wages during the highest three consecutive years of employment. The Tier II benefits for Miscellaneous Plan members ranges from 1.092% at age 50 to 2.418% at age 63 and beyond. For Safety Plan members, the Tier II benefits range from 2% at age 50 to 2.7% at 55 and beyond. The plans also provide for cost-of-living adjustments of up to 2% per year after retirement.

On September 12, 2012, Governor Brown signed Assembly Law 340, creating the Public Employees' Pension Reform Act ("PEPRA") and amending certain sections of the County Employees Retirement Law of 1937 (the "1937 Act"). The majority of the PEPRA changes will first impact the rates and benefit provisions on the June 30, 2013 valuation for the Fiscal Year 2015-16 rates. Among other things, PEPRA creates a new benefit tier for new employees/members entering public agency employment and public retirement system membership for the first time on or after January 1, 2013. The new tier ("Tier III") for non-safety has a single general member benefit formula, and for safety provides three member benefit formulas that must be implemented by all public agency employers unless the formula in existence on December 31, 2012 has both a lower normal cost and lower benefit factor at normal retirement age. PEPRA requires that all new employees hired on or after January 1, 2013, pay at least 50% of the normal cost contribution. Tier III benefits are set 2% at 62 for Miscellaneous members and at 2.7% at 57 for Safety members. PEPRA mandated all new members be subject to a pensionable compensation cap, which caps the annual salary that can be used to calculate final compensation for all new members at 100% of the social security contribution and benefit base for members that participate in social security, and 120% of the social security contribution and benefit base for members that do not participate in social security. Adjustments to the caps are permitted annually based on changes to the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers. The normal cost contribution is the contribution set by the retirement system's actuary to cover the cost of current year of service. The County believes that the provisions of PEPRA will help to control its pension benefit liabilities in the future.

In May 2013, the RSA filed a complaint in the Superior Court of the County of Riverside against the State and the County asking the Court to declare that the provisions of PEPRA are unconstitutional in regard to its members. RSA has taken the position that the lower pension benefit levels provided by PEPRA conflict with the higher benefit levels provided to RSA's members by its collective bargaining agreement with the County. RSA alleges that the unilateral implementation of PEPRA by the State and the County violates the Contracts Clause of the California and United States Constitutions by impairing RSA members' rights to pension benefits under the collective bargaining agreement. The State and the County deny the allegations and are defending the lawsuit. The County does not believe an adverse decision in the case would materially adversely affect the County's future pension payment liability or the County's payment of principal of and interest on the Notes.

The County's PERS Contract. The following information concerning PERS is excerpted from publicly available sources that the County believes to be reliable; however, the County takes no responsibility as to the accuracy of such information and has not independently verified such information. PERS acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public entities within the State. PERS is a contributory plan deriving funds from employee contributions as well as from employer contributions and earnings from investments. PERS maintains two pension plans for the County, a Safety Plan (the "Safety Plan") and a Miscellaneous Plan (the "Miscellaneous Plan" and, together with the Safety Plan, the "PERS Plans"). The County contributes to PERS amounts based on the annual actuarial valuation rates recommended by PERS.

The staff actuaries at PERS prepare an annual actuarial valuation which covers a fiscal year ending approximately 15 months before the actuarial valuation is prepared (thus, the actuarial valuation delivered to the County in October 2013 covered PERS' Fiscal Year 2011-12). The actuarial valuation expresses the County's required contribution rates in percentages of payroll, which is the percentage the County must contribute in the fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year in which the actuarial valuation is prepared (e.g., the County's contribution rates derived from the actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2012, which was prepared in October 2013, is effective for the County's Fiscal Year 2014-15). PERS rules require the County to implement the actuary's recommended rates.

In calculating the annual actuarially required contribution rates, the PERS actuary calculates on the basis of certain assumptions regarding the actuarial present value of benefits that PERS will pay under the PERS Plans, which includes two components, the Normal Cost and the Unfunded Accrued Actuarial Liability (the "UAAL"). The normal cost represents the actuarial present value of benefits that are attributed to the current year, and the UAAL represents the actuarial present value of benefits that are attributed to past years. The UAAL represents an estimate of the actuarial shortfall between assets on deposit at PERS and the present value of the benefits that PERS will pay under the PERS Plans to retirees and active employees upon their retirement. The UAAL is based on several assumptions such as, among others, the rate of investment return, average life expectancy, average age at retirement, inflation, salary increases and occurrences of disabilities. In addition, the UAAL includes certain actuarial adjustments such as, among others, the actuarial practice of smoothing losses and gains over multiple years (which is described in more detail below). As a result, the UAAL is an estimate of the unfunded actuarial present value of the benefits that PERS will distribute under the PERS Plans to retirees and active employees upon their retirement. It is not a fixed or hard expression of the liability the County owes to PERS under the PERS Plans. The County's actual liability under the PERS Plans could be materially higher or lower.

In April 2005, the PERS Board approved an employer rate stabilization policy with the following features: (i) in the calculation of the actuarial value of assets, market value asset gains and losses will be spread over 15 years instead of over a 3 year rolling period, thus reducing the volatility of employer rates; (ii) the corridor limits for the actuarial value of assets will be changed from 90%-110% of market value to 80%-120% of market value; (iii) gains and losses will be amortized over a rolling 30-year period (amortization payment on gains and losses had been 10% of the base); and (iv) the minimum employer contribution rate will be a percentage equal to the employer normal cost minus a 30-year amortization of surplus (but not less than 0%). There have been changes to some of these features over time as described below.

In calculating the UAAL in an actuarial valuation, the PERS actuary spreads gains and losses over a number of years (the exact number of which is adjusted as expected values fluctuate) using a "smoothing technique." This technique has changed over time as described below. Under the rate stabilization policy effective as of April 2005, one-fifteenth (1/15) of the market value change will be recognized in a given fiscal year. In each actuarial valuation, the PERS actuary calculates what was the expected actuarial value of the assets (the "Expected Value") of the PERS Plans at the end of the fiscal year, which assumes, among other things, that the actuarial rate of return during that fiscal year equaled the assumed rate of investment return. However, PERS did not allow the Expected Value to be less than 80% or more than 120% of the market value.

In response to the significant asset value declines of Fiscal Year 2008-09, the PERS Board approved an enhancement to its smoothing methodology in June 2009. The enhanced smoothing methodology incorporates a 3-year phase-in of the Fiscal Year 2008-09 investment loss by temporarily relaxing the constraints on the smoothed value of assets around the market value. The corridor will be allowed to expand between 60% to 140% for Fiscal Year 2011-12 contribution rate determination, 70-130% for the Fiscal Year 2012-13 contribution rate determination, and then return to the 80-120% for the Fiscal Year 2013-14 and beyond contribution rate determination. Asset losses outside the 80-120% corridor are isolated and paid for with a fixed 30-year amortization schedule.

In March 2012, the PERS Board approved a change in the inflation assumption used in the actuarial valuations that set employer contribution rates. The inflation assumption was changed from 3% to 2.75%. The change impacted the inflation component of the annual investment return assumption, the long term payroll growth assumption and the individual salary increase assumptions as follows: (i) the annual assumed investment return has decreased from 7.75% to 7.50%; and (ii) reducing payroll growth from 3.25% to 3%. The change to the inflation assumption also impacted the cost of living adjustments and purchasing power protection allowances assumed in the actuarial valuations. The PERS Board also approved the amortization of gains and losses from Fiscal Years 2008-09 through 2010-11 over a fixed and declining 30-year period (rather than a rolling 30-year amortization).

On April 17, 2013, the PERS Board approved a recommendation to change the PERS amortization and rate smoothing policies. Prior to this change, CalPERS employed an amortization and smoothing policy which spread investment returns over a 15-year period while experience gains and losses were amortized over a rolling 30-year period. Effective with the June 30, 2013 valuations, CalPERS will no longer use an actuarial value of assets and will employ an amortization and smoothing policy that will spread rate increases or decreases over a 5-year period, and will amortize all experience gains and losses over a fixed 30-year period. The new amortization and smoothing policy will be used for the first time in the June 30, 2013 actuarial valuations. These valuations will be performed in the fall of 2014 and will set employer contribution rates for Fiscal Year 2015-16.

As a result of these changes, according to the County's actuary, Bartel & Associates ("Bartel"), the County's Miscellaneous Plan will incur an increase in the employer contribution rate of 1.0% of payroll for Fiscal Year 2014-15 and an increase of 0.3% of payroll for Fiscal Year 2015-16. The Safety Plan is estimated to incur an increase in the employer contribution rate of 1.23% of payroll for Fiscal Year 2014-15 and an increase of 0.50% of payroll for Fiscal Year 2015-16. For complete updated inflation and actuarial assumptions, please contact PERS at California Public Employees Retirement System, Lincoln Plaza, 400 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, Telephone: (888) 225-7377.

In addition to required County contributions, members are also obligated to make certain payments. The Tier I members' contribution rates are fixed at 9% of salaries for the Safety Plan and 8% of salaries for the Miscellaneous Plan. Tier II and Tier III contribution rates vary based on the terms of the collective bargaining agreements in effect. In addition to making annual contributions to PERS in accordance with the applicable actuarial valuation, the County has historically been obligated pursuant to collective bargaining arrangements to pay a portion of the employees' required contribution to PERS (these payments by the County are referred to herein as the "County Offsets of Employee Contributions").

In Fiscal Year 2011-12, the County entered into collective bargaining agreements with all of its bargaining units. Most of the agreements cover a four to five year period, with the longest agreement ending in June 2017. As part of these agreements, the parties agreed on a phase out of the County's obligation to pay the employee's required member contributions. The elimination of the County's obligation to pay employee's required member contributions is anticipated to produce significant annual savings. Member contributions, including County Offsets of Employee Contributions, are not included in the required employer contribution rates prepared by PERS.

Funding Status. The actuarial value of assets, the actuarial accrued liability and the funding status with respect to the Safety Plan and the Miscellaneous Plan are set forth under "– Historical Funding Status." In the actuarial valuation for the Miscellaneous Plan as of June 30, 2012, the most recent PERS actuarial valuation report, the PERS actuary recommended an employer contribution rate of 14.527% be implemented as the required rate for Fiscal Year 2014-15, which the County anticipates will result in a contribution to PERS of approximately \$128 million for that fiscal year. In addition, the County will pay to PERS for the Miscellaneous Plan approximately \$3.8 million in County Offsets of Employee Contributions for Fiscal Year 2014-15, which will result in a total contribution by the County to PERS for the Miscellaneous Plan for Fiscal Year 2014-15 of approximately \$131.8 million. In the actuarial valuation for the Safety Plan as of June 30,

2012, the most recent PERS actuarial valuation report, the PERS actuary recommended an employer contribution rate of 21.899% be implemented as the required rate for Fiscal Year 2014-15, which the County anticipates will result in a contribution to PERS of approximately \$73.7 million for that fiscal year. Beginning in Fiscal Year 2014-15, the County will no longer pay County Offsets of Employee Contributions to PERS for the Safety Plan.

Absent reforms, some of which have already been initiated by the County, contribution rates under the PERS Plans are expected to increase substantially over the next three years due to the significant investment losses during Fiscal Year 2008-09. While investment gains experienced in Fiscal Years 2009-10 through 2012-13 will offset some of the previous losses, an actuarial loss remains, requiring the County to pay the entire normal cost payment plus a portion of the UAAL that has resulted. It is also anticipated that employer contribution rates will increase as a result of the PERS Board approval of a lower discount rate of 7.5% down from 7.75%.

On February 17, 2005, the County issued its Taxable Pension Obligation Bonds, Series 2005A (the "2005 Pension Obligation Bonds"), the proceeds of which were used to fund approximately 90% of the County's estimated actuarial accrued liability as of February 17, 2005. The payment to PERS resulted in a net pension asset of \$396.9 million, \$311.2 million of which was applied to the County's UAAL for the Miscellaneous Plan and \$85.7 million of which was applied to the County's UAAL for the Safety Plan. According to Bartel, the 2005 Pension Obligation Bonds have resulted in a net gain to the County of \$31.3 million as of February 15, 2014. A liability management fund was established in connection with the 2005 Pension Obligation Bonds. By Board policy, each year PARC, in its annual report, recommends to the Board whether the funds in the liability management fund should be applied to purchase 2005 Pension Obligations Bonds or to transfer the funds to PERS to reduce the County's PERS liability. In 2014, PARC recommended a transfer of the liability management fund balance of \$2 million to PERS. The effect of such prepayments on the County's UAAL, if any, will depend on a variety of factors, including but not limited to future investment performance.

Historical Funding Status. The following two tables, for the Safety Plan and the Miscellaneous Plan, respectively, set forth the UAAL and funded status as of the valuation dates from June 30, 2008 through June 30, 2012 and the total employer contributions made by the County for Fiscal Year 2010-11 through Fiscal Year 2014-15. The two tables are based on PERS Actuarial Reports for those years.

HISTORICAL FUNDING STATUS (Safety Plan)

<i>Valuation Date June 30</i>	<i>Unfunded Accrued Actuarial Liability</i>	<i>Funded Status (Actuarial Value)</i>	<i>Affects County Contribution for Fiscal Year</i>	<i>County Contribution Amount(1)</i>	<i>County Offsets of Employee Contributions</i>
2008	\$ 55,295,801	96.2%	2010-11	\$53,117,897	\$21,222,703
2009	131,506,806	92.0	2011-12	60,667,388	13,460,331 ⁽³⁾
2010	184,737,814	89.8	2012-13	63,652,359	11,594,226 ⁽³⁾
2011	286,064,497	85.9	2013-14	71,529,739 ⁽²⁾	2,964,063 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾
2012	225,792,281	89.2	2014-15	72,675,631 ⁽²⁾	0 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾

(1) Figures listed are amounts paid by the County to PERS in the specific years and do not reflect all amounts paid by the County under the Safety Plan or otherwise.

(2) Estimated amount; reflects Safety Plan membership, cost of living adjustment and contribution rates as of Fiscal Years 2013-14 and 2014-15.

(3) Reductions from prior years due to staggered implementation of employee-paid retirement contributions beginning in Fiscal Year 2011-12.

Source: PERS Actuarial Reports for June 30, 2008 through June 30, 2012 (UAAL and Funded Status) and the County (County Contribution Amount and County Offsets of Employee Contributions).

HISTORICAL FUNDING STATUS (Miscellaneous Plan)

<i>Valuation Date June 30</i>	<i>Unfunded Accrued Actuarial Liability</i>	<i>Funded Status (Actuarial Value)</i>	<i>Affects County Contribution for Fiscal Year</i>	<i>County Contribution Amount⁽¹⁾</i>	<i>County Offsets of Employee Contributions</i>
2008	\$175,248,079	94.8%	2010-11	\$ 90,944,229	\$40,041,548
2009	389,195,847	89.7	2011-12	103,892,326	36,974,032 ⁽³⁾
2010	444,330,905	89.2	2012-13	106,685,618	17,525,337 ⁽³⁾
2011	538,055,042	87.9	2013-14	124,463,807 ⁽²⁾	7,415,562 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾
2012	536,480,531	88.6	2014-15	128,197,721 ⁽²⁾	3,843,205 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾

(1) Figures listed are amounts paid by the County to PERS in the specific years and do not reflect all amounts paid by the County under the Miscellaneous Plan or otherwise.

(2) Estimated amount; reflects Miscellaneous Plan membership, cost of living adjustment and contribution rates as of Fiscal Years 2013-14 and 2014-15.

(3) Reductions from prior years due to staggered implementation of employee-paid retirement contributions beginning in Fiscal Year 2011-12.

Source: PERS Actuarial Reports for June 30, 2008 through June 30, 2012 (UAAL and Funded Status) and the County (County Contribution Amount and County Offsets of Employee Contributions).

A five-year schedule of the funding progress of the Safety Plan and the Miscellaneous Plan are presented in the following two tables.

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS (Safety Plan)

<i>Valuation Date June 30</i>	<i>Accrued Liability (a)</i>	<i>Actuarial Value of Assets (b)</i>	<i>Unfunded Liability (a-b)</i>	<i>Funded Status (Actuarial Value) (b/a)</i>	<i>Annual Covered Payroll (c)</i>	<i>UAAL as a Percentage of Payroll ((a-b)/c)</i>	<i>Market Value of Assets (MVA)</i>	<i>Funded Ratio MVA</i>
2008	\$1,469,415,642	\$1,414,119,841	\$ 55,295,861	96.2%	\$240,746,309	23.0%	\$1,432,026,173	97.5%
2009	1,642,544,731	1,511,047,925	131,506,806	92.0	265,237,512	49.6	1,100,356,865	67.0
2010	1,809,467,588	1,624,729,774	184,737,814	89.8	265,165,399	69.7	1,279,783,747	70.7
2011	2,032,001,280	1,745,936,783	286,064,497	85.9	273,169,605	104.7	1,565,799,198	77.1
2012	2,086,406,405	1,860,614,124	225,792,281	89.2	261,703,717	86.3	1,567,404,726	75.1

Source: PERS Actuarial Reports for June 30, 2008 through June 30, 2012.

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS (Miscellaneous Plan)

<i>Valuation Date June 30</i>	<i>Accrued Liability (a)</i>	<i>Actuarial Value of Assets (b)</i>	<i>Unfunded Liability (a-b)</i>	<i>Funded Status (Actuarial Value) (b/a)</i>	<i>Annual Covered Payroll (c)</i>	<i>UAAL as a Percentage of Payroll ((a-b)/c)</i>	<i>Market Value of Assets (MVA)</i>	<i>Funded Ratio MVA</i>
2008	\$3,350,222,866	\$3,174,974,787	\$175,248,079	94.8%	\$841,612,805	20.8%	\$3,222,895,476	96.2%
2009	3,790,232,824	3,401,036,977	389,195,847	89.7	841,103,683	46.3	2,482,332,809	65.6
2010	4,097,191,707	3,652,860,802	444,330,905	89.2	854,932,117	52.0	2,882,444,152	70.4
2011	4,461,553,672	3,923,498,630	538,055,042	87.9	812,362,628	66.2	3,525,640,733	79.0
2012	4,708,881,750	4,172,401,219	536,480,531	88.6	836,418,298	64.1	3,520,189,846	74.8

Source: PERS Actuarial Reports for June 30, 2008 through June 30, 2012.

The following table shows the percentage of salary which the County was responsible for contributing to PERS from Fiscal Year 2010-11 through Fiscal Year 2014-15 to satisfy its retirement funding obligations.

SCHEDULE OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTION RATES
Valuation Date

<i>Valuation Date</i> <i>June 30</i>	<i>Affects Contribution</i> <i>Rate for Fiscal Year:</i>	<i>Safety Plan</i>	<i>Miscellaneous Plan</i>
2008	2010-11	19.335%	12.165%
2009	2011-12	21.286	13.112
2010	2012-13	22.459	13.494
2011	2013-14	23.368	15.001
2012	2014-15	21.899	14.527

Source: PERS Actuarial Reports for June 30, 2008 through June 30, 2012.

According to the PERS actuary, as of June 30, 2012, the funded status of the Miscellaneous Plan based on its market value of \$3.5 billion was 74.8% and the funded status of the Safety Plan based on its market value of \$1.5 billion was 75.1%. The PERS Board has announced that, beginning with the valuation for Fiscal Year 2012-13, it will utilize the market value of plan assets rather than the actuarial value.

Projected County Contributions. The County's projections with respect to the County contributions below reflect certain significant assumptions concerning future events and circumstances. The information and the related assumptions are forward-looking in nature and are not to be construed as representations of fact or representation that in fact the information shown will be the correct amounts for the years indicated. Rather, these amounts reflect good faith estimates by the County taking into account a variety of assumptions. Variations in the assumptions may produce substantially different results. Actual results during the projection period may vary from those presented in the forecast, and such variations may be material. Accordingly, prospective investors are cautioned to view these estimates as general indications of trends and orders of magnitude and not as precise amounts.

During Fiscal Year 2013-14, based on PERS' experience in recent years, PERS adopted several changes to the PERS Plans, including the elimination of asset smoothing methodologies, a 25-year amortization period for future gains and losses, elimination of annual caps on increases, and other changes based on a new experience study, including mortality improvements and other demographic assumptions. The changes will impact the County's contribution rates beginning in Fiscal Year 2015-16 and will be fully implemented by Fiscal Year 2019-20. Based on its current analysis of the data, the County projects that its contribution rates will increase significantly during such period, to a contribution rate of approximately 19.2% for the Miscellaneous Plan and approximately 28.7% for the Safety Plan, based on the projections from Bartel, the County's actuary. A description of these projections and their underlying assumptions are included in the PARC report which is available to Bondholders on the County's website or upon request.

The County's projected contribution rates are affected by the market rate of return in the PERS Plans. There currently exists a difference between the actuarial value and the market value of the assets in the PERS Plans. An actuarial valuation of assets differs from a market valuation of assets in that an actuarial valuation reflects so-called smoothing adjustments, which spread the impact of gains and losses over multiple years. When the market asset return in the PERS Plans differs from the actuarial assumed rate of 7.50% in any fiscal year, the actuarial practice of smoothing losses over several years impacts the contribution rate until such differences are fully realized by the actuarial valuation. For example, when the market rate of return is below the assumed rate, the PERS Plans will realize a loss for actuarial purposes. Any such actuarial loss will be smoothed in a manner that the PERS Plans will only be impacted by a pre-determined portion of that loss in one fiscal year, which will act to gradually increase contribution rates in succeeding fiscal years. For further details on the smoothing policy of PERS, see "— The County's PERS Contract" above.

Other Retirement Plans. The County also provides a Defined Benefit Pension Plan (the “Plan”) to employees who are not eligible for Social Security or CalPERS retirement benefits through the County. This plan is subject to Internal Revenue Code Section 401(a), and is self-funded and self-administered. Participants in the Plan are required to contribute 3.75% of their compensation to the Plan. Based on the actuarial valuation of June 30, 2013, the County’s current required contribution level is 0.78%. The County elected to contribute 1% to maintain a funded ratio of over 90% in Fiscal Year 2013-14. The County’s contribution to the Plan was \$334,728 for Fiscal Year 2012-13 and is estimated to be approximately \$252,273 for Fiscal Year 2013-14. The Plan’s unfunded liabilities as of June 30, 2013 were approximately \$1,765,941.

Other Post-Employment Benefits. The County provides certain post-retirement health insurance benefits to qualifying retired employees and their eligible dependents or survivors. Regular employees with a minimum service of five years and who are at least age 50 at retirement qualify to receive the post-retirement benefits.

The County obtained an actuarial valuation of its Post-Employment Health Benefits obligations, calculated in accordance with GASB Statement 45 as of July 1, 2013 (the “Health Benefits Valuation”), prepared by Aon Hewitt. Based on the combination of plans and contribution levels that the County offers, assuming an interest rate of 7.36%, the present value of benefits was estimated to be \$52.8 million, the accrued actuarial liability was estimated to be \$43.8 million and the annual normal cost was \$1.2 million. If the accrued actuarial liability of \$43.8 million were amortized over a 30-year period, the total annual required contribution (normal cost plus amortization amount) would have been \$2.3 million.

The Board of Supervisors took action on October 25, 2006 to set aside \$10 million as a contribution to the California Employers’ Retiree Benefit Trust (the “OPEB Trust”). On November 7, 2007 the irrevocable OPEB Trust was established with PERS and a payment of \$10.4 million was made to the OPEB Trust. On June 26, 2009, the County contributed an additional \$2.2 million to the OPEB Trust. The pre-funding of OPEB through the use of the OPEB Trust allows the County to use different actuarial assumptions to determine the actuarial value of assets and liabilities, including assuming a higher rate of return on assets held in the OPEB Trust. According to the Health Benefits Valuation, overall the actions of the Board have reduced the County’s OPEB liability from \$237 million in 2006 to \$17 million most recently.

Medical Center

RCRMC is a 520,000 square foot state-of-the-art tertiary care and level II trauma facility, licensed for a total of 439 beds. There are 362 licensed beds in the main acute-care hospital and 77 licensed beds in a separate psychiatric facility. RCRMC has 12 operating rooms, a helipad located directly adjacent to the Trauma Center, and state-of-the-art digital radiology services, including magnetic resonance imaging and computerized tomography and all single bed rooms. There are also adult, pediatric and neonatal intensive care units, a birthing center and complete pulmonary services including hyperbaric oxygen treatments. RCRMC provides services to patients covered by various reimbursement programs, principally Medicare, Medi-Cal and private insurance, and provides services to the uninsured.

The County has the responsibility for providing health care to all individuals, regardless of their ability to pay or insurance status. In recent years, it has become more and more difficult to meet this obligation as a Riverside County safety net provider. Declining and inadequate federal and State health care reimbursement and non-payment by a growing uninsured population, coupled with rising service needs as a result of the recent economic downturn and costs of an older and sicker population, have placed significant demands on the County’s health care system. These factors have negatively impacted RCRMC’s financial performance over the past several years.

RCRMC ended Fiscal Year 2012-13 with an approximate \$27.1 million operating cash deficit. In the same fiscal year, RCRMC had a decrease in net unrestricted assets of approximately \$18.3 million, ending the fiscal year with unrestricted net assets of approximately \$53.1 million. RCRMC continued to experience a

decline in patient collections in Fiscal Year 2012-13 as the poor economy affected the ability of patients to maintain insurance coverage. Amounts received by RCRMC in Fiscal Year 2012-13 for Medi-Cal days and Medi-Cal costs and unreimbursed uninsured costs are subject to future adjustment as a result of the Federal-State Medi-Cal Waiver (the “Waiver”) that became effective in Fiscal Year 2005-06. Based on the State’s reconciliation of the paid Medi-Cal days and Medi-Cal costs and unreimbursed costs for each public hospital in the State, each year RCRMC may receive additional payments from the State for Fiscal Year 2011-12 or may be required to reimburse the State for any overpayment received during the prior fiscal year. Such tentative interim reconciliation is generally completed following the submission of cost reports by the State’s public hospitals around January 1 of the following fiscal year. In Fiscal Year 2013-14, the County estimates that it will have to reimburse the State approximately \$26 million for overpayments attributable to Fiscal Year 2012-13. Final settlements, however, may take up to seven years to complete after a public hospital cost report is submitted. RCRMC fully reserves the estimated overpayments made by the State that are subject to the Waiver.

In 2013, RCRMC retained Huron Consulting Group (“Huron”) to provide consulting services designed to improve efficiencies and increase revenue at RCRMC. The engagement is one-third complete, but early estimates project budget improvement of approximately \$44 million to \$66 million per year. Additionally, the projected continued economic recovery may return the average RCRMC patient to where they were before the 2007 recession, when they were in a better financial position to afford insurance and medical costs. Further, RCRMC expects that the Affordable Care Act will decrease the number of uninsured hospital patients and thereby benefit RCRMC’s financial situation. Since the implementation of the Affordable Care Act in January 2014, RCRMC has experienced an 11% decline in the number of patients treated at RCRMC. RCRMC cannot predict at this time if this trend will continue and the extent to which it will impact RCRMC’s financial position.

In July 2013, the Board of Supervisors approved a loan of up to \$40 million to RCRMC from the County’s Workers Compensation Fund to cover RCRMC’s negative cash position at the end of Fiscal Year 2012-13. The loan was issued in September 2013 and repaid in full in October 2013 from funds received from collections of delayed Medi-Cal reimbursements and of delayed outpatient service bills.

On November 26, 2013, the Board of Supervisors approved a temporary transfer of approximately \$26 million to RCRMC from the County’s Waste Management Enterprise Fund to pay for the Huron engagement. RCRMC is required to repay the funds, with interest calculated at the County’s pooled investment fund rate, starting in 2016 pursuant to a negotiated repayment schedule, with final maturity on June 30, 2022. If RCRMC is unable to timely repay the loan in full within 10 years of its origination date, then unpaid amounts will be payable by the County from unencumbered General Fund sources.

For Fiscal Year 2013-14, consistent with its past practice, the County contributed approximately \$10 million to RCRMC from General Fund tobacco settlement revenues and \$5 million in redevelopment pass through funds to support debt service on the main RCRMC facility and to offset operating expenses. RCRMC estimates an operating cash deficit of approximately \$54 million for Fiscal Year 2013-14. RCRMC attributes the Fiscal Year 2013-14 deficit primarily to uncompensated services provided to uninsured patients, jail inmates and Medi-Cal patients and to operating inefficiencies.

The County’s Executive Office, in collaboration with RCRMC, provides the Board of Supervisors monthly reports on its financial status. The latest report indicates that RCRMC has launched \$64 million of one-time and recurring savings in new initiatives and \$9 million in one-time non-labor and revenue savings. The latest monthly financial update indicates a projected \$64.7 million year end cash position deficit as of June 30, 2014, up from the previously projected \$83.2 million year end cash position deficit. The County has helped RCRMC meet its liquidity needs by making temporary transfers to RCRMC from the County’s available, non-General Fund resources. The Executive Office continues monitoring these issues closely.

RCRMC is projecting that its fiscal situation will somewhat stabilize in Fiscal Year 2014-15, but that it will still experience an operating cash deficit of approximately \$20 million for Fiscal Year 2014-15. RCRMC expects and that it will seek further approvals from the Board of Supervisors for temporary transfers from the County's available non-General Fund resources to meet such deficit.

RCRMC is pursuing various avenues to reduce the operating deficit, including maximizing reimbursement from available sources, implementing cost-saving measures and exploring new revenue opportunities. The Huron consulting engagement is ongoing, and is expected to improve patient service, increase efficiencies, cut costs and increase revenue collection. It is the County's intent that RCRMC's costs of providing services be recovered primarily through fees charged for services with minimal or no General Fund support.

Insurance

The County is self-insured for short-term disability, unemployment insurance, general liability, medical malpractice and workers' compensation claims. General liability claims are self-insured to \$1 million for each occurrence and the balance (to \$25 million for each occurrence) is insured through CSAC Excess Insurance Authority. Medical malpractice is self-insured for the first \$1.1 million for each claim and insured for the balance to \$20 million for each claim on an occurrence basis, through CSAC Excess Insurance Authority. Workers' compensation claims are self-insured to \$2 million for each occurrence and the balance is statutory limits (unlimited) is insured through CSAC Excess Insurance Authority. Long-term disability income claims are fully insured by an independent carrier.

The property insurance program provides insurance coverage for all risks subject to a \$50,000 per occurrence deductible; flood coverage is subject to a 2% of total value per unit per occurrence, with a \$100,000 minimum per occurrence and \$500,000 maximum per occurrence deductible within a 100-year flood zone and a \$25,000 deductible outside of a 100-year flood zone. Property in the County is categorized into four "towers" and each tower provides \$300 million in limits. Earthquake coverage (covering scheduled locations and buildings equal to or greater than \$1 million in value and lesser valued locations where such coverage is required by contract) has a sub-limit in each tower of \$80 million with an additional \$247.5 million excess rooftop limit combined for towers I through V. Earthquake is subject to a deductible equal to 5% of total value per building subject to a \$100,000 minimum. Boiler and machinery provides up to \$100 million in limits, with a \$5,000 deductible per event. The limits in each tower are shared with other counties on a per event basis. If a catastrophic event occurs and losses exceed the limits, the County would be responsible for such amounts.

The activities related to such programs are accounted for in internal service funds. Accordingly, estimated liabilities for claims filed or to be filed for incidents which have occurred through June 30, 2013 are reported in these funds. Where these funds have an unfunded liability, or insufficient reserves to cover all incurred but not reported claims, the County has developed a policy to manage the accumulated deficits at a reasonable level. Revenues of the internal service funds are primarily provided by other County funds and are intended to cover self-insured claim liabilities, insurance premiums and operating expenses. The combined cash balance in these funds as of June 30, 2013 was approximately \$183.9 million.

Litigation

There is no action, suit or proceeding known to the County be pending or threatened, restraining or enjoining the execution or delivery of the Notes or in any way contesting or affecting the validity of the foregoing or any proceedings of the County taken with respect to any of the foregoing. Although the County may, from time to time, be involved in legal or administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of its affairs, it is the opinion of the County that any currently-pending or known threatened proceedings will not materially affect the County's finances or impair its ability to meet its obligations.

The County is currently involved in a litigation brought by the Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians (“Agua Caliente”) in federal court requesting a declaration that the County’s assessment, levy, and collection of a possessory interest tax on non-tribal members on tribal and U.S. trust lands violates federal law. For Fiscal Year 2013-2014, the total possessory interest tax for Agua Caliente’s non-tribal member leases is estimated to be approximately \$28,000,000, of which \$3,300,000 is allocable to the County. Should Agua Caliente be successful, the County would be prohibited from assessing, levying, and collecting the possessory interest tax in the future. In addition, taxpayers could have the right to seek a refund of possessory interest taxes paid for the previous four years with interest. The County estimates that its total liability for such refunds would be approximately \$12 million, plus accrued interest. The County denies the allegations of the complaint and is actively defending the action.

APPENDIX B

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

(THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

County of Riverside, California

Comprehensive Annual Financial Report

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013



Paul Angulo, CPA, M.A.
County Auditor-Controller

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA

COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013



PREPARED BY THE OFFICE OF:
PAUL ANGULO, CPA, M.A.
COUNTY AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT
June 30, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTORY SECTION:	Page
Letter of Transmittal.....	v
Principal County Officials.....	xi
Organization Chart	xii
GFOA Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting for 2012	xiii
 FINANCIAL SECTION:	
Independent Auditor's Report.....	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis (Required Supplementary Information).....	3
Basic Financial Statements:	
<u>Government-wide Financial Statements:</u>	
Statement of Net Position.....	29
Statement of Activities.....	30
<u>Fund Financial Statements:</u>	
<u>Governmental Funds:</u>	
Balance Sheet.....	34
Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Net Position	37
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances.....	38
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	41
<u>Budgetary Comparison Statements:</u>	
General Fund.....	42
Transportation Special Revenue Fund	44
Flood Control Special Revenue Fund	45
<u>Proprietary Funds:</u>	
Statement of Net Position.....	46
Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Position	47
Statement of Cash Flows.....	48
<u>Fiduciary Funds:</u>	
Statement of Fiduciary Net Position	50
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position	51

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT
June 30, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION (CONTINUED):	Page
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements:	
(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	53
(2) Stewardship, Compliance and Accountability.....	66
(3) Restatements of Beginning Fund Balances/Net Position	67
(4) Cash and Investments	68
(5) Restricted Cash and Investments	71
(6) Receivables.....	72
(7) Interfund Transactions.....	74
(8) Capital Assets	78
(9) Service Concession Arrangements	81
(10) Landfill Closure and Post-closure Care Costs	83
(11) Operating Leases	84
(12) Advances from Grantors and Third Parties	85
(13) Short-Term Debt.....	86
(14) Long-Term Obligations	87
(15) Deferred Inflows of Resources.....	99
(16) Fund Balances	100
(17) Risk Management.....	102
(18) Medi-Cal and Medicare Programs.....	103
(19) Jointly Governed Organizations	103
(20) Retirement Plan	105
(21) Defined Benefit Pension Plan.....	109
(22) Post Employment Benefits Other Than Pensions.....	111
(23) Commitments and Contingencies.....	114
(24) Subsequent Events.....	115
Required Supplementary Information (other than MD&A):	
Schedules of Funding Progress and Employer Contributions.....	119
Combining and Individual Fund Statements and Budgetary Schedules:	
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Teeter Debt Service Fund	123
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Public Facilities Improvements Capital Projects Fund	124
<u>Nonmajor Governmental Funds:</u>	
Combining Balance Sheet	125
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances.....	126

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT
June 30, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION (CONTINUED):	Page
<i>Special Revenue Funds:</i>	127
Combining Balance Sheet.....	130
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances	132
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Community Services	134
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – County Service Areas.....	135
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Regional Park and Open-Space.....	136
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Air Quality Improvement.....	137
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – In-Home Support Services	138
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Perris Valley Cemetery District	139
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Other Special Revenue Fund	140
 <i>Debt Service Funds:</i>	 141
Combining Balance Sheet.....	142
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances	144
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Pension Obligation Bond	146
 <i>Capital Projects Funds:</i>	 147
Combining Balance Sheet.....	148
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances	150
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – PSEC	152
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Flood Control	153
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Regional Park and Open-Space District.....	154
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – CREST	155
 <i>Permanent Fund:</i>	 157
Balance Sheet	158
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance	159
 <u>Nonmajor Enterprise Funds:</u>	 161
Combining Statement of Net Position	162
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Position	163
Combining Statement of Cash Flows.....	164

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT
June 30, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
FINANCIAL SECTION (CONTINUED):	
<u>Internal Service Funds:</u>	165
Combining Statement of Net Position	166
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Position	168
Combining Statement of Cash Flows.....	170
<u>Fiduciary Funds:</u>	173
<u>Agency Funds:</u>	
Combining Statement of Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities.....	174
Combining Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities.....	175
 STATISTICAL SECTION (Unaudited):	
Statistical Section Table Index	177
Table 1 Net Position by Component.....	178
Table 2 Changes in Net Position	180
Table 3 Governmental Activities Tax Revenues by Source	184
Table 4 Fund Balances of Governmental Funds.....	186
Table 5 Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds.....	188
Table 6 General Government Tax Revenues by Source.....	190
Table 7 Assessed Value and Estimated Actual Value of Taxable Property	192
Table 8 Property Tax Rates – Direct and Overlapping Governments	194
Table 9 Principal Property Tax Payers	195
Table 10 Property Tax Levies and Collections.....	196
Table 11 Ratios of Outstanding Debt by Type	198
Table 12 Ratios of General Bonded Debt Outstanding	200
Table 13 Direct and Overlapping Governmental Activities Debt	202
Table 14 Legal Debt Margin Information	204
Table 15 Pledged Revenue Coverage	206
Table 16 Demographic and Economic Statistics	208
Table 17 Principal Employers	209
Table 18 Full-time Equivalent County Government Employees by Function/Program.....	210
Table 19 Operating Indicators by Function	212
Table 20 Capital Asset Statistics by Function	218

INTRODUCTORY SECTION



COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
OFFICE OF THE
AUDITOR-CONTROLLER
County Administrative Center
4080 Lemon Street, 11th Floor
P.O. Box 1326
Riverside, CA 92502-1326
(951) 955-3800
Fax (951) 955-3802

AC | AUDITOR
CONTROLLER
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Paul Angulo, CPA, M.A.
Auditor-Controller

December 13, 2013

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
Citizens of the County of Riverside
4080 Lemon Street, 5th Floor
Riverside, California 92501

Members of the Board and Citizens of Riverside County:

The Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) of the County of Riverside for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 is hereby submitted in accordance with the provision of Section 25253 of the Government Code of the State of California (the State). The report contains financial statements that have been prepared in conformity with the United States generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) prescribed for governmental entities. Responsibility for the accuracy of the data and the completeness and fairness of the presentation, including all disclosures, rests with the management of the County of Riverside (the County). To the best of our knowledge and belief, the enclosed data is accurate in all material respects and is reported in a manner that presents fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of the various funds and component units of the County of Riverside. All disclosures necessary to enable the reader to gain an understanding of the County's financial activities have been included.

The management's discussion and analysis (MD&A) immediately follows the report of the independent auditors and provides a narrative, overview, and analysis of the basic financial statements. The MD&A was designed to complement this letter of transmittal and should be read in conjunction with it.

The financial reporting entity for the County includes all the funds of the primary government--the County of Riverside as legally defined--as well as all of its component units. Component units are legally separate entities for which the primary government is financially accountable.

The County has eleven independent fiscal entities that are considered blended component units and two discretely presented component units. These entities vary widely in function and provide essential services.

For a more detailed overview of the County's component units see the MD&A and the notes to the basic financial statements.

PROFILE OF THE GOVERNMENT

Riverside County is the fourth largest county by area in the state of California. It encompasses 7,295 square miles and extends nearly 184 miles across Southern California, from the Arizona border west to within 10 miles of the Pacific Ocean. It is situated east of Los Angeles and Orange Counties, south of San Bernardino County, and north of San Diego and Imperial Counties.

There are 28 incorporated cities located within the County. The latest city to be incorporated was Jurupa Valley on July 1, 2011. The largest cities in the County are Riverside (the County seat) with a population of 311,955, Moreno Valley 198,129, Corona 156,823, Temecula 104,879, and Murrieta 105,832. Estimated population figures are

developed by the California State Department of Finance, and each year it is revised on January 1, with a revised estimate for the prior year. Total County population was 2,255,059 on January 1, 2013, an increase of 1.2 percent as compared to the revised estimate for January 1, 2012. Approximately 16 percent of the residents live in unincorporated areas.

The County is governed by a five-member Board of Supervisors, who serve four-year terms, and annually elect a Chairman and Vice-Chairman. The Supervisors represent five districts.


The First District includes areas within the cities of Riverside, Canyon Lake, Lake Elsinore, Wildomar and the unincorporated communities of Lakeland Village, Lake Mathews, Mead Valley, Santa Rosa Rancho, as well as portions of Gavilan Hills and Woodcrest.

The Second District includes the cities of Corona, Norco, approximately one-third of the City of Riverside, Eastvale, and Jurupa Valley (the newest city as of July 1, 2011). The unincorporated communities consist of Home Gardens, El Cerrito, Coronita, and Highgrove.

District Three includes the cities of Hemet, Murrieta, San Jacinto, and Temecula. Major unincorporated areas in the District include Aguanga, Anza, Idyllwild, Valle Vista, Winchester, Wine Country, and Pinyon Pines.

District Four is the largest district, covering the eastern two-thirds of the County. Within this District are the cities of Palm Springs (except the northern portion which resides in District 5), Cathedral City, Rancho Mirage, Palm Desert, Indian Wells, La Quinta, Indio, Coachella, Desert Hot Springs, and Blythe. Major unincorporated areas include Bermuda Dunes, Thousand Palms, Sky Valley, Indio Hills, Desert Edge, Mecca, Thermal, Oasis, Vista Santa Rosa, North Shore, Chiriaco Summit, Desert Center, Lake Tamarisk, Mesa Verde, Colorado River Communities, and Ripley.

The Fifth District includes the cities of Banning, Beaumont, Calimesa, Menifee, Moreno Valley, Perris, and the northern portion of Palm Springs. The unincorporated areas include Nuevo, Lakeview, Juniper Flats, Meadowbrook, Good Hope, a portion of Mead Valley, Romoland, Homeland, Green Acres, Box Springs, Pigeon Pass, Reche Canyon, San Timoteo Canyon, Oak Valley, Cherry Valley, Banning Bench, Cabazon, Palm Springs Village, and Palm Springs West.



Source: Riverside County GIS

The County provides a full range of services. These services are outlined in the table below:

Certificate, Licenses and Permits	Human Services
Birth, marriage, and death certificates, animal licensing and building permits	Assistance for Families, Veteran Services, Utility Assistance, Assistance for the Elderly
Children's Services	Libraries and Museums
Child Support Services, Mentor programs, and Children Medical Services	Edward Dean Museum, and Riverside County Law Library
Criminal Justice	Parks and Recreation
District Attorney, Probation, Public Defender, and Sheriff	Regional Parks
Education	Pets and Animal Services
Office of Education	Animal Control, and Animal Shelters
Emergency Services	Property Information
Office of Emergency Services, Early Warning Notification System, Shelter Grant program, and Homeless program	Building permit report, obtain property information via GIS, pay property taxes online, track your property tax online, record map inquiry, information on new home owners and Riverside County land information
Environment	Public and Official Records
Solid waste, liquid waste, medical waste, sewage disposal, water systems, wells, backflow devices, food services, public pools and mobile home parks, vector control, hazardous materials services, fire protection services, waste reduction, and recycling	Official recorded documents, fictitious business names search, grantor/grantee search, vital records, and court records search
Flood Control	Roads and Highways
Flood Control and water conservation	Road maintenance, land development, engineering services, and survey
Health	Taxes
Family health centers, disease control, nutrition services, family planning, health education, injury prevention, emergency medical services, mental health services, industrial hygiene, laboratory, Epidemiology, medical marijuana identification cards	Property tax portal, tax bills, Assessor-County Clerk Recorder, Treasurer-Tax Collector, and Auditor-Controller
Housing	
First time home buyer programs, low income housing, rental assistance program, homeless shelter, and neighborhood stabilization program	

FACTORS AFFECTING ECONOMIC CONDITION


State Economy

The California economy continues to work towards recovery. It is predicted that Inland Southern California will regain its status as one of the high growth regions of the country within a few years. The region currently ranks fourth in the nation for price appreciation.

The number of California homeowners entering the foreclosure process fell last quarter to the second-lowest level in seven and a half years. The drop-off is the result of a stronger job market, home price appreciation, and a variety of government foreclosure avoidance efforts, a real estate information service reported.

The California's nonfarm payroll jobs increased by 39,800 in October for a total gain of 868,300 jobs since the recovery began in February 2010, according to data released by the California Employment Development Department (EDD). The year-over-year change, October 2012 to October 2013, nonfarm payroll employment in California increased by 207,300 jobs up to 1.4 percent.

The state's unemployment rate was 10.1 percent in October 2012 and it dropped to 8.7 percent in September 2013, unchanged in October. Statewide the unemployment ranges from a low 5.0 percent in Marin County to a high of 26.3 percent in Imperial County.



Local Economy


Economist at California State University, Fullerton, projected robust growth in assessed value under a positive economic scenario. The County Assessor applied the maximum 2 percent inflation factor allowed under Prop. 13 to the assessed role, in part offsetting ongoing Prop.8 reductions, and allowing for a projected overall rise in enrolled assessed valuations of 3.95 percent this fiscal year. Also significant reductions in foreclosure-related activity,

increases in year-over-year median sales prices for residential property, and improvement within the commercial property market continue to function as stabilizing factors for the rebound of the local real estate market.

Median sale prices of residential properties in Riverside County have increased by approximately 25 percent and sales volume is up 6 percent year-over-year for August. Accordingly, properties that have not been affected by Prop. 8 assessment reductions are not expected to increase by the traditional 2 percent for the 2014-15 tax year.

Riverside County's unemployment rate has slightly improved from 12 percent in September 2012 to 10.8 percent in September 2013. The trade, transportation and utilities sector added the most nonfarm job growth in the Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario statistical area on a year-over-year basis, followed by increases in retail trade, transportation, and warehousing. Leisure and hospitality sector also added jobs as well as education and health services.

Unemployment Comparison of Neighboring Counties



Source: Employment Development Department, September 2013.

Relevant Financial Information

Debt Advisory Committee provides advice to the Board of Supervisors (the Board) on debt issuance and management.

Pension Advisory Committee provides an institutional framework to help guide policy decisions about retirement benefits.

Deferred Compensation Advisory Committee provides assurance of the financial stability of the Plan through prudent monitoring of investments and costs.

Investment Oversight Committee shall cause an annual audit to be performed, and review the annual audit report prior to submittal to the Board of Supervisors; and to review the County of Riverside (the County) investment policies.

Financial Reporting Awards

The Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) of the United States and Canada has awarded a *Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting* to the County of Riverside for its *CAFR* for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012. This was the twenty-fifth consecutive year the County has achieved this prestigious award. In

order to be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, a government entity must publish an easily readable and efficiently organized CAFR. This report must satisfy both GAAP and applicable legal requirements.

The County of Riverside has also been awarded for *Outstanding Achievement* in the preparation of the Popular Annual Financial Report (PAFR), which is also referred to as Financial Highlights for the fiscal year ended June 2012. This was the seventh consecutive year the County has achieved this award. In order to receive an award for *Outstanding Achievement in Popular Annual Financial Reporting*, a government entity must publish a PAFR, with contents conforming to program standards of creativity, presentation, understandability and reader appeal. A Certificate of Achievement is valid for a period of one year only. We believe that our current CAFR and PAFR continue to meet the Certificate of Achievement Program's requirements and we are submitting both reports to the GFOA to determine the eligibility for new certificates.

Acknowledgments

The preparation of this CAFR could not have been accomplished without the dedicated service of the entire staff of the Auditor-Controller's Office, especially the staff members of the General Accounting Division who consistently produce award winning financial reports. Special recognition goes to the staff members of the contributing component units, and the County departments for their participation in the preparation of this report.

Additionally, I would like to extend my gratitude to the Board of Supervisors and County Executive Office for their visionary leadership in making Riverside County a great place to live, work, and to conduct business. Finally, I would like to thank our independent auditors, Brown Armstrong Accountancy Corporation, for their efforts throughout this audit engagement.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Paul Angulo". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a horizontal line extending from the end of the name.

PAUL ANGULO, CPA, M.A.
RIVERSIDE COUNTY AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

List of Principal Officials As of June 30, 2013

ELECTED OFFICIALS

Board of Supervisors



KEVIN JEFFRIES
First District



JOHN F.
TAVAGLIONE
Second District



JEFF STONE
Vice Chairman
Third District



JOHN BENOIT
Chairman
Fourth District



MARION ASHLEY
Fifth District

COUNTYWIDE ELECTED OFFICIALS



PAUL ZELLERBACH
District Attorney



STANLEY SNIFF, JR.
Sheriff
Coroner
Public Administrator



PAUL ANGULO
Auditor
Controller



LARRY WARD
Assessor
Clerk
Recorder



DON KENT
Treasurer
Tax Collector


APPOINTED OFFICIALS

JAY ORR
County Executive Officer

PAMELA WALLS
County Counsel



COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE ORGANIZATION CHART





Government Finance Officers Association

**Certificate of
Achievement
for Excellence
in Financial
Reporting**

Presented to

**County of Riverside
California**

For its Comprehensive Annual
Financial Report
for the Fiscal Year Ended

June 30, 2012


A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Jeffrey R. Emer". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first letters of each word being capitalized and prominent.

Executive Director/CEO



(This Page Intentionally Left Blank)

FINANCIAL SECTION



BROWN ARMSTRONG

Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors
County of Riverside, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County of Riverside, California, (the County) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We did not audit the financial statements of the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (the Flood Control), Housing Authority of the County of Riverside (the Housing Authority), Riverside County Regional Park and Open-Space District (the Park District), Perris Valley Cemetery District (the Cemetery District), Riverside County Redevelopment Successor Agency (the Successor Agency), and Riverside County Children and Families Commission (the Commission), which represent the following percentages, respectively, of the assets and revenues of the following opinion units:

Opinion Unit	Assets	Revenues
Governmental Activities	19%	3%
Business-Type Activities	22%	15%
Aggregate Remaining Fund Information	6%	1%
Discretely Presented Component Units	47%	72%

Those financial statements were audited by other auditors whose reports thereon have been furnished to us, and our opinions, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the Flood Control, the Housing Authority, the Park District, the Cemetery District, the Successor Agency, and the Commission, are based on the reports of the other auditors.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

MAIN OFFICE

4200 TRUXTON AVENUE

SUITE 300

BAKERSFIELD, CA 93309

TEL 661.324.4971

FAX 661.324.4997

EMAIL info@bacpas.com

560 CENTRAL AVENUE

SHAFTER, CALIFORNIA 93263

TEL 661.746.2145

FAX 661.746.1218

7673 N. INGRAM AVENUE

SUITE 101

FRESNO, CALIFORNIA 93711

TEL 559.476.3592

FAX 559.476.3593

221 E. WALNUT STREET

SUITE 260

PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91101

TEL 626.204.6542

FAX 626.204.6547

5250 CLAREMONT AVENUE

SUITE 237

STOCKTON, CA 95207

TEL 209.451.4833



REGISTERED with the Public Company
Accounting Oversight Board and
MEMBER of the American Institute of
Certified Public Accountants

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, based on our audit and the reports of other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County as of June 30, 2013, and the respective changes in financial position, and, where applicable, cash flows, and the respective budgetary comparison for the general fund, the transportation special revenue fund, and the flood control special revenue fund thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matters

As disclosed in the Note 1 to the financial statements, the County implemented Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statements No. 60, 61, 62, and 63, and implemented GASB Statement No. 65 in advance during the fiscal year 2013. Among these new GASB Statements, GASB Statement No. 60, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Service Concession Arrangements*; GASB Statement No. 63, *Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position*; and GASB Statement No. 65, *Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities*, have significant impact over the County's financial statements. Our opinion is not modified with respect to the matter.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 3-28, the County's Retirement Plans schedule of funding progress on pages 119-120, and the schedule of funding progress for the County's Other Post Employment Benefit (OPEB) plan on page 121 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by GASB, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. The introductory section, combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements and respective budgetary comparison schedules, and statistical section, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements and respective budgetary comparison schedules are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements and respective budgetary comparison schedules are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The introductory and statistical sections have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 13, 2013, on our consideration of the County's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

BROWN ARMSTRONG
ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION

Brown Armstrong
Accountancy Corporation

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

It is presented as required supplementary information for the benefit of the readers of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

Management's Discussion & Analysis (Unaudited)


This section of the County of Riverside (the County)'s Comprehensive Annual Financial Report presents a narrative overview and analysis of the County's financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. We encourage readers to consider the information presented here in conjunction with the Letter of Transmittal beginning on page v and the County's basic financial statements which begin on page 29.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- At the close of fiscal year 2012-13, the County's assets and deferred outflow of resources exceeded its liabilities and deferred inflow of resources by \$4.6 billion (*net position*). The net position included \$860.7 million of unrestricted resources, which may be used to meet the County's ongoing obligations to citizens and creditors; \$644.7 million of restricted resources, which is required by external sources or through enabling legislation to be used for specific purposes; and \$3.1 billion is net investment in capital assets.
- At the close of the current fiscal year, the County's governmental funds reported combined fund balances of \$1.1 billion, a decrease of \$218.7 million in comparison with the prior year. Approximately 17.9% of this amount (\$199.9 million) is available for spending at the County's discretion (*unassigned fund balance*).
- At the end of the current fiscal year, unrestricted fund balance (the total of the *committed*, *assigned*, and *unassigned* components of *fund balance*) for the general fund was \$252.6 million, or approximately 11.0 % of total general fund expenditures.
- As of July 1, 2012, the County implemented Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement (GASB) No. 65 – *Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities*. The beginning net position restated by \$10.9 million to write off the unamortized bond issuance costs that were previously reported as assets. Furthermore, unavailable revenue in governmental funds of \$68.7 million was reclassified from liability to deferred inflows of resources which were recognized as a receivable before time requirements are met, but after all other eligibility requirements have been met.
- There is a net increase of \$8.4 million in deferred inflow of resources related to service concession arrangement (SCA) for capital assets.

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This management's discussion and analysis (MD&A) is intended to serve as an introduction to the County's basic financial statements. The illustration below shows how the required parts of this annual report are arranged and related to one another.



Management's Discussion & Analysis (*Unaudited*)

The overview section below summarizes the major feature of the financial statements and describes the structure and contents of each of the statements in more detail.

	Government-wide Statements	Fund Financial Statements		
		Governmental	Proprietary	Fiduciary
Scope	Entire County (except fiduciary funds)	The day-to-day operating activities of the County for basic governmental services	The day-to-day operating activities of the County for business-type enterprises	Instances in which the County is the trustee or agent for someone's resources
Accounting basis and measurement focus	Accrual accounting and economic resources focus	Modified accrual accounting and current financial resources focus	Accrual accounting and economic resources focus	Accrual accounting and economic resources focus
Type of asset / liability information	All assets and liabilities, both financial and capital, short-term and long-term	Current assets and liabilities that come due during the year or soon thereafter; no capital assets or debt included	All assets and liabilities, both financial and capital, short-term and long-term	All assets and liabilities, both short-term and long-term
Type of inflow / outflow information	All revenues and expenses during the year, regardless of when cash is received or paid	Revenues for which cash is received during the year or soon thereafter, expenditures when goods or services have been received and the related liability is due and payable	All revenues and expenses during the year, regardless of when cash is received or paid	All additions and deductions during the year, regardless of when cash is received or paid

Government-wide Financial Statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of County finances in a manner similar to private-sector business.

The *statement of net position* presents financial information on all of the County's assets, liabilities, deferred inflow/outflows of resources, with the difference reported as *net position*. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the County is improving or declining. The statement of net position in summary can be found on page 8, and in more detail on page 29.

The *statement of activities*, presented on page 10 in summary and on pages 30 - 31 in detail, provides information showing how the County's net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will result in cash flows in future fiscal periods. For example, property tax revenues have been recorded that have been accrued but not yet collected, and expenditures for compensated absences have been accrued, but not paid.

Both of these government-wide financial statements distinguish functions of the County that are principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues (*governmental activities*) from other functions that are intended to recover all or a significant portion of their costs through user fees and charges (*business-type activities*). The governmental activities of the County include general government, public protection, public ways and facilities, health and sanitation, public assistance, education, and recreation and cultural services. Governmental activities include five major funds, twenty nonmajor funds, and a representative allocation of the County's internal service funds. The five major governmental funds are the general fund, flood control special revenue fund, transportation special revenue fund, teeter debt service fund, and public facilities improvements capital projects fund. The business-type activities of the County include three major enterprise funds, and two nonmajor funds. The major enterprise funds are the Regional Medical Center, Waste Management, and Housing Authority.

Management's Discussion & Analysis (*Unaudited*)

The government-wide financial statements also provide information regarding the County's component units, entities for which the County (the primary government) is considered to be financially accountable. Although blended component units are legally separate entities, they are, in substance, part of the County's operations. Accordingly, the financial information from these units is combined with financial information of the primary government.

The financial information for the Palm Desert Financing Authority (PDFA) and the Children and Families Commission (the Commission), both legally separate component units whose governing bodies are appointed by and serve at the will of the County, are presented separately from the financial information of the primary government.

The blended component units are:

- County of Riverside Asset Leasing Corporation (CORAL)
- County of Riverside District Court Financing Corporation
- County of Riverside Bankruptcy Court Corporation
- Housing Authority of the County of Riverside
- In-Home Supportive Services Public Authority
- Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (Flood Control)
- Riverside County Regional Park and Open-Space District
- Riverside County Public Financing Authority
- Riverside County Service Areas
- Inland Empire Tobacco Securitization Authority
- Perris Valley Cemetery District

Fund Financial Statements, illustrated on pages 34 - 51, provide information regarding the three major categories of County funds – governmental, proprietary, and fiduciary. The focus of governmental and proprietary fund financial statements is on major funds. Major funds are determined based on minimum criteria set forth in Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 34, as amended. Like other state and local governments, the County uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. Fund accounting is also used to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts.

Governmental Funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as *governmental activities* in the government-wide financial statements. Unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements often have a budgetary orientation, are prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting, and focus primarily on the sources, uses, and balances of current financial resources. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on *near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources*, as well as on *balances of spendable resources* available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in assessing a government's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for *governmental funds* with similar information presented for *governmental activities* in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the County's near-term financing decisions. The governmental funds' balance sheet and statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances provided are accompanied by reconciliation to government-wide financial statements in order to facilitate this comparison between *governmental funds* and *governmental activities*.

The County maintains several individual governmental funds organized according to their type (general, special revenue, debt service, capital projects, and permanent funds). The governmental fund statements present the financial information of each major fund (the general fund, transportation special revenue fund, flood control special revenue fund, teeter debt service fund, and public facilities improvements capital projects fund) in separate columns.

Management's Discussion & Analysis (*Unaudited*)

Financial information for the remaining governmental funds (nonmajor funds) is combined into a single, aggregated presentation. Financial information for each of these nonmajor governmental funds is presented in the supplementary information section.

Budgetary comparison statements are also included in the fund financial statements. The statements present the County's annual estimated revenue and appropriation budgets for all governmental fund budgets except for CORAL, District Court Financing Corporation, Bankruptcy Court, Inland Empire Tobacco Securitization Authority, Public Financing Authority, and Redevelopment Agency (RDA) Housing Successor Agency. The budgetary comparison statements have been provided to demonstrate compliance with their respective budgets.

Proprietary Funds are used to account for services for which the County charges customers, either outside customers or internal departments of the County. Proprietary funds statements, found on pages 46 - 49, provide the same type of information as shown in the government-wide financial statements with more detail. The County maintains the following two types of proprietary funds:

- *Enterprise funds* are used to report the same functions presented as *business-type activities* in the government-wide financial statements. The County uses enterprise funds to account for the Regional Medical Center (RMC), Waste Management, Housing Authority, County Service Areas, and Flood Control. RMC, Waste Management, and Housing Authority financial statements are reported in separate columns of the proprietary fund statements due to the materiality criteria defined by GASB Statement No. 34. Financial information for the remaining enterprise funds (nonmajor funds) is combined into a single, aggregated presentation. Individual fund statements for County Service Areas and Flood Control are presented in the supplementary information section.
- *Internal service funds* are used to report activities that provide supplies and services for certain County programs and activities. The County uses internal service funds to account for its records and archive management, fleet services, information services, printing and mail services, supply services, Enterprise Solution Division (accounting and human resources information technology system), risk management, temporary assistance pool, Economic Development Agency (Facilities Management), and flood control equipment. Because these services predominantly benefit governmental rather than business-type functions, they have been included within the *governmental activities* in the government-wide financial statements. The internal service funds are combined into a single, aggregated presentation in the proprietary fund financial statements. Individual fund financial information for each internal service fund is provided in the supplementary information section.

Fiduciary Funds report assets held in a trustee or agency capacity for others and therefore cannot be used to support the County's programs nor be reflected in the government-wide financial statements. Fiduciary funds maintained by the County include a pension trust fund, investment trust funds, private-purpose trust funds, and agency funds. The fiduciary fund financial statements on pages 50 - 51, are presented on the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements provide additional information other than that displayed on the face of the financial statements and are essential for fair presentation of the financial information in the government-wide and fund financial statements. The notes can be found on pages 53 - 117 of this report.

Required Supplementary Information, in addition to this MD&A, presents schedules of retirement plan funding progress and employer contribution. Required supplementary information can be found on pages 119 - 121 of this report.

Combining and individual fund statements and schedules provide information for nonmajor governmental funds, nonmajor enterprise funds, internal service funds, and fiduciary funds and are presented immediately following the required supplementary information. Combining and individual fund statements and schedules can be found on pages 123 - 176 of this report.

Management's Discussion & Analysis (Unaudited)

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

As noted earlier, net position may serve as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. The table below focuses on the net position and changes in net position in the County's governmental and business-type activities. It presents an analysis of the County's net position as of June 30, 2013, in comparison to the prior fiscal year 2011-12. At the end of the current fiscal year, the County reported positive net position in all three categories: net investment in capital assets, restricted net position and unrestricted net position. Total assets and deferred outflow of resources, as indicated below, exceeded liabilities and deferred inflow of resources by \$4.6 billion representing an increase of \$192.2 million (\$181.3 million changes in net position and restatement of \$10.9 million, See Note 3), or 4.1%.

A more detailed statement can be found on Page 29 in the government-wide financial statements.


Statement of Net Position June 30, 2013 (In thousands)

	Governmental Activities		Business-type Activities		Total		Increase/ (Decrease)
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	%
Assets:							
Current and other assets	\$ 2,542,934	\$ 2,903,152	\$ 521,110	\$ 308,604	\$ 3,064,044	\$ 3,211,756	-4.6%
Capital assets	3,913,392	3,704,789	275,292	269,673	4,188,684	3,974,462	5.4%
Total assets	6,456,326	6,607,941	796,402	578,277	7,252,728	7,186,218	0.9%
Deferred outflows of resources:	26,821	-	347	-	27,168	-	0.0%
Total deferred outflows of resources	26,821	-	347	-	27,168	-	0.0%
Liabilities:							
Current liabilities	651,424	788,496	152,033	100,275	803,457	888,771	-9.6%
Long-term liabilities	1,492,824	1,543,912	333,806	311,845	1,826,630	1,855,757	-1.6%
Total liabilities	2,144,248	2,332,408	485,839	412,120	2,630,087	2,744,528	-4.2%
Deferred inflows of resources:	17,703	-	9,118	-	26,821	-	0.0%
Total deferred inflows of resources	17,703	-	9,118	-	26,821	-	0.0%
Net position:							
Net investment in capital assets	2,998,987	2,740,429	118,594	130,510	3,117,581	2,870,939	8.6%
Restricted	550,326	683,835	94,346	41,103	644,672	724,938	-11.1%
Unrestricted	771,883	851,269	88,852	(5,456)	860,735	845,813	1.8%
Total net position	\$ 4,321,196	\$ 4,275,533	\$ 301,792	\$ 166,157	\$ 4,622,988	\$ 4,441,690	4.1%

Management's Discussion & Analysis (Unaudited)

Below are the three components of net position and their respective balances as of June 30, 2013:

- Net investment in capital assets was \$3.1 billion, or 67.5%, of the County's total net position compared to \$2.9 billion, or 64.6%, for fiscal year 2011-12. This component consists of capital assets such as land and easements, structures and improvements, infrastructure, and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation. The amount is further reduced by any debt attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of the assets. The County uses these capital assets to provide services to citizens; consequently, these assets are *not* available for future spending. Although the County's investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.
- \$644.7 million, or 13.9%, of the County's total restricted net position compared to \$724.9 million, or 16.3% for fiscal year 2011-12. This component represents external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws and regulations of other governments and restrictions imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.
- \$860.7 million, or 18.6%, of the County's total net position is unrestricted that may be used to meet the County's ongoing obligations to citizens and creditors. Of this amount, \$771.9 million is from governmental activities and \$88.8 million from business-type activities, compared to prior year whereas, \$851.3 million was from governmental activities and a \$5.5 million deficit from business-type activities.



Management's Discussion & Analysis (Unaudited)

The following table provides information from the Statement of Activities of the County as of June 30, 2013, as compared to the prior year:

Statement of Activities For the year ended June 30, 2013 (In thousands)

	Governmental Activities		Business-type Activities		Total		Increase/ (Decrease)
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	%
Revenues:							
Program revenues:							
Charges for services	\$ 588,461	\$ 580,797	\$ 600,747	\$ 505,665	\$ 1,189,208	\$ 1,086,462	9.5%
Operating grants and contributions	1,503,390	1,447,694	-	-	1,503,390	1,447,694	3.8%
Capital grants and contributions	27,695	27,909	698	335.00	28,393	28,244	0.5%
General revenues:							
Property taxes	277,417	322,337	-	-	277,417	322,337	-13.9%
Sales and use taxes	29,751	26,744	-	-	29,751	26,744	11.2%
Unrestricted intergovernmental revenue	220,811	226,384	-	-	220,811	226,384	-2.5%
Investment earnings	2,035	11,801	(33)	907	2,002	12,708	-84.2%
Other	206,337	176,113	-	-	206,337	176,113	17.2%
Total revenues	2,855,897	2,819,779	601,412	506,907	3,457,309	3,326,686	3.9%
Expenses:							
General government	194,641	270,474	-	-	194,641	270,474	-28.0%
Public protection	1,065,373	1,047,202	-	-	1,065,373	1,047,202	1.7%
Public ways and facilities	89,469	84,797	-	-	89,469	84,797	5.5%
Health and sanitation	422,982	374,950	-	-	422,982	374,950	12.8%
Public assistance	807,611	827,092	-	-	807,611	827,092	-2.4%
Education	18,998	10,376	-	-	18,998	10,376	83.1%
Recreation and culture	12,274	15,806	-	-	12,274	15,806	-22.3%
Interest on long-term debt	29,453	39,098	-	-	29,453	39,098	
Regional Medical Center	-	-	473,916	417,074	473,916	417,074	13.6%
Waste Management	-	-	53,069	57,272	53,069	57,272	-7.3%
Housing Authority	-	-	90,678	91,469	90,678	91,469	-0.9%
Flood Control	-	-	2,472	2,306	2,472	2,306	7.2%
County Service Areas	-	-	459	456	459	456	0.7%
Total expenses	2,640,801	2,669,795	620,594	568,577	3,261,395	3,238,372	0.7%
Excess (deficiency) before Transfers	215,096	149,984	(19,182)	(61,670)	195,914	88,314	121.8%
Transfer in (out)	(1,049)	(11,702)	1,049	11,702	-	-	0.0%
Change in net position, before extraordinary items	214,047	138,282	(18,133)	(49,968)	195,914	88,314	121.8%
Extraordinary Items	(158,337)	502,639	154,589	-	(3,748)	502,639	-100.7%
Change in net position	55,710	640,921	136,456	(49,968)	192,166	590,953	-67.5%
Net position, beginning of year, as Restated	4,265,486	3,634,612	165,336	216,125	4,430,822	3,850,737	15.1%
Net position, end of year	\$ 4,321,196	\$ 4,275,533	\$ 301,792	\$ 166,157	\$ 4,622,988	\$ 4,441,690	4.1%

Management's Discussion & Analysis (Unaudited)

The following are specific major factors that resulted in the net position changes in governmental activities between fiscal years 2012-13 and 2011-12 as shown in the table of the previous page.

Revenues for governmental activities

Revenues from *Charges for services* increased by \$7.7 million, or 1.3%. Charges for services are revenues that arise from charges to external customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from the goods, services, or privileges provided. The increase was mainly due to law enforcement services provided to cities within the County of Riverside who have contract with the Sheriff Department for city police services.

Revenues from *Operating grants and contributions* increased by \$55.7 million, or 3.8%, due to significant changes in the following state and federal sources:

- \$19.2 million increase in state's realignment of public safety programs pursuant to Assembly Bill (AB) 118 for the reallocation of trial court security revenue from charges for services. \$11.0 million increase in state allocation of the one-half cent proposition 172 public safety sales tax funds due to the improvement in sales activity in the County as the consumer confidence rebounded. \$9.6 million increase in local revenue AB109 criminal justice program due to individuals sentenced to non-serious and non-violent crimes will serve their sentences in County jails instead of state prison. \$37.2 million increase in caseload growth for calworks and in-home support services programs due to more individuals seeking assistance primarily in food stamps and medi-cal.
- The increase was offset by \$19.1 million decrease in federal grants for nutrition information and education in Women, Infant, and Children (WIC) programs, the highway user tax was decreased as the state recaptured overpayment made in fiscal year 2011-12, and American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) program was ended.

Revenues from *Capital grants and contributions* decreased by \$214.0 thousand or 0.8%, due to a reduction in the number of projects that were awarded by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) for federal capital improvement projects.

Revenues from *Property taxes* decreased by \$44.9 million, or 13.9%, due to the fact the County no longer receiving the pass through tax increment from the former Redevelopment Agency.

Revenues from *Sales and used taxes* increased by \$3.0 million, or 11.2% was mainly generated from a strong demand in new automobiles, restaurant sales particularly in quick-service and fast casual chains, and use tax from the development of solar energy projects. The rate increased from 7.75% to 8.0%. In addition, the last city to incorporate was Jurupa Valley which received over \$6.0 million in sales tax revenue in fiscal year 2011-12, no new cities are anticipated in fiscal year 2012-13 to further decrease sales tax revenue.


Revenues from *Unrestricted intergovernmental revenue* decreased by \$5.6 million or 2.5%. The decrease was attributed to \$4.5 million received in fiscal year 2011-12 from excess of County allocation of fiscal year 2006-07 to fiscal year 2009-10 vehicle license fee collections adjusted by State Controller Office. The realignment revenue received from vehicle license fee decreased by \$1.5 million in fiscal year 2012-13.

Revenues from *Investment earnings* decreased by \$9.8 million from \$11.8 million to \$2.0 million, or 82.8%, as a result of continual declines in interest earnings reflecting rate cuts by the Federal Reserve and decline in investment pool earnings.

The significant change in *Other revenue sources* was in Property Tax – Low and Moderate Income Housing (LMIH) Residual Assets due to the result of RDA dissolution where unencumbered low and moderate income housing set aside money AB1484 was distributed to the County according to Successor Agency debt requirement and available tax increment.

Management's Discussion & Analysis (*Unaudited*)

Governmental Activities Revenue by Source For fiscal year ended June 30, 2013




Expenses for governmental activities

Total expenses for governmental activities were \$2.6 billion for the current fiscal year, a decrease of \$29.0 million or 1.1%, as compared to prior fiscal year. The following are the key components accounting for the variance:

- General government represents \$194.6 million, or 7.4%, of the total governmental activities expenses, decreased by \$75.8 million or 28.0% from prior year. The decrease resulted from administrative and operation costs incurred by the former Redevelopment Agency for low and moderate income housing related programs, and construction costs of infrastructure and public facilities.
- Public protection represents \$1.1 billion or 40.3%, of the total governmental activities expenses, increased by \$18.2 million, or 1.7% from prior year due to sheriff's payroll and benefits, and construction costs of the new detention center. Additional analysis can be found in general fund financial analysis on page 18.
- Health and sanitation represents \$423.0 million or 16.0% of the total expenses, increased by \$48.0 million or 12.8% from prior year in services and supplies caused by the expansion of Mental Health Service Act (MHSA) formerly known as Proposition 63. It was approved by California voters to provide a 1.0% tax on personal income over \$1.0 million in order to expand and transform the county mental health service system.
- \$89.5 million, or 3.4% of the total governmental activities expenses, increased by \$4.7 million or 5.5% for public ways and facilities due to roads and road improvement projects.
- \$807.6 million or 30.6% of the total expenses, decreased by \$19.5 million or 2.4% from prior year for public assistance which was mainly due to the discontinuation of programs funded by Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and the Neighborhood Stabilization Program. The remaining 2.3% represents education for \$19.0 million or 0.7%; recreation and culture for \$12.3 million or 0.5%, and interest on long-term debt for \$29.5 million or 1.1%.

Management's Discussion & Analysis (Unaudited)

Governmental Activities Expenses by Function For fiscal year ended June 30, 2013



Business-type Activities

The following are specific major factors that resulted in the net position changes in business-type activities between fiscal years 2012-13 and 2011-12 as shown in the above table.


Revenues: The County has three major business-type activities: Riverside County Regional Medical Center (RMC), Waste Management, and Housing Authority. In addition, Flood Control and County Service Areas are included in the business-type activities of the County. Business-type activities recover all or a significant portion of their costs through user fees and charges and provide services primarily to non-County entities.

For the current year, \$600.7 million or 99.9%, of business-type activities program revenue was received from charges for services, a percentage consistent with the prior fiscal year. The majority of this revenue, \$450.3 million, was received by RMC as compared to \$371.8 million for the prior fiscal year. The increase was mainly attributed to the new revenue sources being earned in the current fiscal year: \$54.1 million in federal and state funds for subsidizing the costs associated with providing care to uninsured and indigent population, \$6.8 million in Hospital Quality Assurance Fee Program, \$5.7 million from Electronic Health Record (EHR) incentive program which is a reimbursement for conversion to electronic health records.

Expenses: Total expenses for business-type activities were \$620.6 million for the fiscal year compared to \$568.6 million for the prior fiscal year. This represents an increase of \$52.0 million or 9.1%. Expenses of \$473.9 million or 76.4% were incurred by RMC in the current fiscal year, as compared to \$417.1 million or 73.4%, for the prior fiscal year. In addition, expenses for Waste Management Department expenses were \$53.1 million or 8.6%, compared to \$57.3 million or 10.1% from prior fiscal year; Housing Authority expenses were \$90.7 million or 14.6% of total expenses for business-type activities, compared to prior fiscal year's expenses of \$91.5 million or 16.1%; Flood Control and County Service Areas account for the remaining 0.5% of expenses consistent with the prior fiscal year.

Management's Discussion & Analysis (Unaudited)

Revenues and Expenses Business Type Activities For fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 (In thousands)



FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF FUND STATEMENTS

As noted earlier, the County uses *fund accounting* to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements.

Governmental Fund

The focus of the County's governmental funds is to provide information on the sources, uses, and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the County's short-term financial requirements. In particular, the total fund balance less the nonspendable amount may serve as a useful measure of a government's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year. Types of governmental funds reported by the County include the general fund, special revenue funds, capital project funds, debt service funds, and permanent fund.

As of June 30, 2013, the County's governmental funds reported combined fund balances of \$1.1 billion, a decrease of \$218.7 million in comparison with the prior year. The components of total fund balance are as follows (See Note 16 - Fund Balances for additional information):

- Nonspendable fund balance – \$5.5 million, are amounts that cannot be spent because they are either not in spendable form or are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.
- Restricted fund balance – \$508.5 million, are amounts that are constrained to being used for a specific purpose by external parties such as creditors, grantors, laws, or regulations.
- Committed fund balance – \$314.4 million, are amounts that are committed for a specific purpose. These funds require action from the Board of Supervisors to remove or change the specified use.
- Assigned fund balance – \$86.4 million, are amounts that have been set aside and are intended to be used for a specific purpose but are neither restricted nor committed. Assigned amounts cannot cause a deficit in unassigned fund balance.
- Unassigned fund balance – \$199.9 million, funds that are not reported in any other category and are available for any purpose within the general fund.

Management's Discussion & Analysis (Unaudited)

Total governmental fund revenue increased by \$18.1 million or 0.7%, from the prior fiscal year with \$2.8 billion being recognized for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. Expenditures decreased by \$40.2 million or 1.4%, from the prior fiscal year with \$2.8 billion being expended for governmental functions during fiscal year 2012-13. Overall, governmental fund balance decreased by \$218.7 million or 16.4%. In comparison, fiscal year 2011-12 had a decrease in governmental fund balance of \$432.1 million or 24.5%, over fiscal year 2010-11.

The *general fund* is the primary operating fund of the County. At the end fiscal year 2012-13, the general fund's total fund balance was \$357.2 million, as compared to \$336.6 million in fiscal year 2011-12. As a measure of the general fund's liquidity, it is useful to compare both total fund balance and spendable fund balance to total fund expenditures. The nonspendable portion of fund balance was \$3.2 million, and the spendable portion was \$354.0 million. The current year unassigned fund balance is 8.7% of the total general fund expenditures of \$2.3 billion, as compared to 7.6% of the prior year expenditures total of \$2.3 billion. The total fund balance of the general fund for the current year is 15.6% of the total general fund expenditures as compared to 14.9% for the prior year.

Transportation and Land Management Agency fund balance decreased by \$9.3 million or 8.9%, due to numerous large interchange improvement projects costs incurred during fiscal year 2012-13: North Indian Canyon Dr. Interchange, Palm Dr./Gene Autry Trail Inter-change, Goetz Rd Bridge, Van Buren Bridge and Van Buren Blvd Interchange.

Flood control fund balance decreased by \$1.3 million or 0.5%, \$256.3 million from prior year to \$254.9 million due to construction costs increased mainly in new drainage infrastructure projects and maintenance of flood control in the district's zones.

Public Facilities Improvement Capital Project fund balance decreased from \$242.5 million to \$199.6 million, 17.7% or \$42.9 million. The decrease was due to the completion of various building construction and remodeling projects and the utilization of the reserve for construction account to reimburse costs of multiple capital projects such as, Desert Hot Springs Family Care Clinic, Blythe Animal Shelter, North Shore Yacht, Smith Correctional Facility, Palm Desert Sheriff Station, and Lake Matthew Fire Station. In addition, the construction of Eastern Region Detention Center and Van Horn Youth Treatment and Education Center started during fiscal year 2012-13.

Other Governmental Funds

The other governmental funds reported a combined net decrease in fund balance of \$185.8 million. The significant change was primarily the result of transferring assets and liabilities of all housing functions to Housing Authority of the County of Riverside from Riverside County Redevelopment Successor Housing Agency.

Proprietary Funds

The County's proprietary funds financial statements provide the same type of information as the government-wide financial statements, but in more detail. The Regional Medical Center (RMC) and Waste Management are shown in separate columns of the fund statements due to materiality criteria as defined by GASB. In addition, the internal service funds are combined into a single, aggregated presentation in the proprietary fund statements with the individual fund data provided in the combining statements, which can be found in the supplemental information section.

At the end of the fiscal year, total proprietary fund net position were \$448.3 million, compared to \$310.4 million for prior fiscal year, increased by \$137.1 million or 44.1%. Of the year ended balances, unrestricted net position was as follows:

- Regional Medical Center: \$-32.6 million
- Waste Management: \$56.8 million
- Housing Authority: \$131.3 million
- Other enterprise fund activities: \$2.5 million
- Internal service fund activities: \$56.6 million

Management's Discussion & Analysis (Unaudited)


RMC's net position decreased by \$10.6 million (\$9.8 million and restatement of \$0.8 thousands) or 15.8%, from \$67.2 million to \$56.6 million. The decrease is attributable to operating expenses including employee benefit and pension expenses, medical supply purchases, and interest expense from new bond issuance.

Waste Management's net position increased from \$136.3 million to \$142.3 million. The increase resulted primarily from the reclassification of closure, post-closure, and remediation funds into liability accounts.

An increase of \$153.7 million in Housing Authority's net position attributed to the following:

- Increase in total operating revenues due to the additional income received from the Housing Successor Agency leases and short-term loans.
- Gain from sale of property as a result of transfer of land parcels, loans receivables, and cash to the Housing Authority as Successor Agency for the housing functions of the former Redevelopment Agency of the County of Riverside.
- Increase in capital contribution, which is primarily related to the site improvements and modernization projects that were completed this fiscal year.

Proprietary Funds Net Position
For fiscal year ended June 30, 2013
(In Thousands)



Management's Discussion & Analysis (Unaudited)

GENERAL FUND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Revenues and other financing sources for the general fund, including comparative amounts from the preceding year are shown in the following tabulation:

General Fund - Revenues by Source
For fiscal year ended June 30, 2013
(In Thousands)

	2013		2012		Increase / (Decrease)	
Revenues by Sources	Amount	Percent of Total	Amount	Percent of Total	Amount	Percentage of Change
Taxes	\$ 246,144	10.2%	\$ 216,746	9.3%	\$ 29,398	13.6%
Intergovernmental revenues	1,561,505	64.8%	1,487,205	63.5%	74,300	5.0%
Charges for services	374,750	15.6%	354,451	15.1%	20,299	5.7%
Other revenue	133,282	5.5%	156,017	6.7%	(22,735)	-14.6%
Other financing sources	94,019	3.9%	126,258	5.4%	(32,239)	-25.5%
Total	<u>\$ 2,409,700</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 2,340,677</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>\$ 69,023</u>	<u>2.9%</u>

General fund revenues had an overall increase of \$69.0 million, or 2.9%, from the prior year. The increase was due primarily to the changes in the following:

- An increase of \$29.4 million in *Taxes* from the distribution of property tax - LMIH residual assets as the result of RDA dissolution where unencumbered low and moderate income housing set aside money AB1484 according to successor agency debt requirement and available tax increment.
- The increase in *Intergovernmental revenues* was primarily attributed to allocation and realignment revenue from the State and Federal aids. See explanation previously discussed on page 11.
- *Charges for services* increased by \$20.3 million, or 5.7%, was primarily due to law enforcement services contracted with cities within Riverside County, and election services due to higher number of candidates running during fiscal year 2012-13 for governmental positions.
- The decrease in *Other revenue* was mainly due to a one time release of committed fund balance in fiscal year 2011-12 to support program activities related to public social services caused by the shortfall of state funding.
- The decreased in *Other financing sources* was mainly caused by the reimbursements from capital improvement program fund to finance capital projects costs in fiscal year 2011-12, and contribution from other county funds to finance debt service payments. In addition, the County no longer receives negotiated pass-through payments previously received from the former Redevelopment Agency.

Management's Discussion & Analysis (*Unaudited*)

Expenditures and other financing uses for the general fund, including comparative amounts from the preceding year, are shown in the following tabulation (in thousands):

General Fund - Expenditures by Function For fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 (In Thousands)

Expenditures by Function	2013		2012		Increase / (Decrease)	
	Amount	Percent of Total	Amount	Percent of Total	Amount	Percentage of Change
General government	\$ 103,896	4.3%	\$ 127,195	5.4%	\$ (23,299)	-18.3%
Public protection	1,043,017	43.7%	1,010,999	43.0%	32,018	3.2%
Health and sanitation	388,325	16.3%	369,165	15.7%	19,160	5.2%
Public assistance	735,057	30.8%	719,670	30.6%	15,387	2.1%
Other expenditures	22,207	0.9%	25,000	1.1%	(2,793)	-11.2%
Other financing uses	96,547	3.9%	98,045	4.2%	(1,498)	-1.5%
Total	<u>\$ 2,389,049</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 2,350,074</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 38,975</u>	<u>1.7%</u>

Total expenditures for general fund were \$2.4 billion, an increase of \$39.0 million, or 1.7%, from the prior year. Significant changes are as follows:

- A decrease of \$23.3 million, or 18.3% in *General government* was mainly due to capital project costs funded by capital improvement program and legal costs incurred in settlement agreement with French Valley Business Center LLC during fiscal year 2011-12.
- The significant increase in *Public protection* were including the jail population and additional positions as the result of implementation of AB109, health benefit contribution to Riverside Sheriff Association (RSA) Public Safety Unit members from \$204.0 per pay period to \$356.0, disbursements to the State of CA Department of Forestry for fire protection services, and the retirement contribution rate for Safety Plan increased from 21.3% to 22.5%.
- The increase in *Health and sanitation* was mainly due to higher than anticipated usage of institution for mental disease, state hospital, and children's programs, and out-of-network health and mental health services provided to Low Income Health Program (LIHP) participants.
- The increase in *Public assistance* was due to hiring caseworkers and support staffs which were caused by more individuals seeking assistance primarily in Food Stamps and Medi-Cal.
- *Other expenditures* decreased by \$4.5 million, or 18.1%, were mainly due to a decrease in principal and interest payments for capital asset leases for buildings and other purchases.

GENERAL FUND BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS

This section provides a summary of the primary factors attributing to the general fund variances between 1) the original adopted and the final amended budget, and 2) the final amended budget and the actual revenue and expenditure amounts. The budgetary comparison statement displays the details of the comparison and is included in the governmental fund statements section.

Management's Discussion & Analysis (Unaudited)

Variance between General Fund Original Adopted and Final Amended Budget

Estimated Revenue Variances

The original adopted general fund estimated revenue budget decreased by \$9.5 million, or 0.4%, from \$2.47 billion to the final amended revenue budget of \$2.46 billion. The \$9.5 million represents a decrease of \$51.3 million in charges for current services, a decrease of \$8.6 million in other revenue, offset by increases of \$23.4 million in state aid, \$14.3 million in federal aid, and \$11.6 million in taxes.

State aid: The increase in state aid of \$23.4 million, or 2.3%, was partially the result of increases of \$10.5 million for the Low Income Health Program, \$6.9 million for AB109, \$2.7 million for public health and \$1.5 million in Prop 10 funding. The increase for the LIHP will be used to cover costs associated with the out-of-network services provided by contracted and non-contracted providers for eligible LIHP participants. The increase in AB109 funding which shifts responsibility of incarcerating low level offenders from the State to Counties will add eighteen deputies, two supervisors and two accounting personnel. Lastly, the Riverside County Children and Families Commission (the Commission) awarded the Department of Public Health funding in the amount of \$2.7 million for the Nutrition and Physical Activity Self-Assessment for Child Care Program. Four positions will be added with this funding.

Federal aid: The increase in federal aid of \$14.3 million, or 2.9%, was partially the result of increases of \$5.1 million in Department of Public Social Services (DPSS) public assistance administration claims, \$3.3 in public health grants, \$2.7 Sheriff and \$2.0 Fire. DPSS increased the budget for the implementation of the Community First Choice Option, which provides home and community based attendant services and support to individuals who meet the State's nursing facility clinical eligibility standards. Public assistance administration experienced a shift in the flow of funds from the state resulting in the addition of five registered nurses and one senior public information specialist. The Sheriff received an additional \$1.0 million from the Department of Justice for a DNA grant aimed at investigating unsolved homicide cases. The Sheriff also received a \$1.1 million Indian Gaming Operation Stonegarden Grant (OPSG), intended to aid in intelligence gathering, seeking to weaken terrorist activities. The Fire Department increased their budget by rolling over the following grants from the prior fiscal year: Homeland Security and the Emergency Management Performance Grant.

Taxes: The increase in taxes of \$11.6 million, or 5.5%, was for the redevelopment – low and moderate income housing residual assets. At the direction of the State Department of Finance, the Auditor-Controller distributed the monies to the general fund, as ABX126 instructed that assets that were not essential in meeting enforceable obligations of the redevelopment agencies upon dissolution, be liquidated and distributed to taxing entities, such as the County.

Charges for current services: The net decrease of \$51.3 million, or 11.0%, for charges for current services was mainly the result of intergovernmental activities. There was also an offset of \$7.4 million due to contract increases to the following law enforcement contract cities: Coachella Valley, Eastvale, and Lake Elsinore.

Other revenue: The decrease in other revenue of \$8.6 million, or 10.2%, was primarily the result of intergovernmental activities and a reclassification of operating transfers to other financing sources. An estimate of \$3.2 million was returned to the county general fund related to a recent settlement resolving a long standing dispute for sales between fiscal years 2003 and 2012 pertaining to the tobacco master settlement agreement (MSA). Funds in the amount of \$2.2 million will be used for the Van Horn Youth Center construction and \$1.0 million will be set aside for budget stabilization. Unused Capital Improvement Program (CIP) contingency and capital funds for the Riverside Public Defender building remodel were re-appropriated to the general fund budget stabilization, with the change to bond financing of this project and completion of other projects.

Expenditure Appropriation Variances

The original adopted general fund appropriation budget of \$2.5 billion decreased by \$12.0 million, or 0.5%, during the fiscal year. The significant appropriation changes were an increase of \$20.8 million in health and sanitation, and an increase of \$18.6 million in public protection, offset by a decrease of \$44.0 million in debt service and a decrease of \$9.7 million in general government.

Management's Discussion & Analysis (*Unaudited*)

The major appropriation variances are described below.

General government: The appropriation budget decreased by \$9.9 million, or 5.0%, from the original adopted budget of \$197.2 million to \$187.3 million. The following information describes the significant factors for the variances:

- Salaries and employee benefits increased by \$2.4 million, or 3.0%. Of the \$2.4 million, \$1.4 million was attributed to Economic Development Agency (EDA) is plan to lay off all 25 positions that were initially slated for layoffs. The remainder is related to Human Resources projects exceeding budgeted payroll costs.
- Other charges increased by \$2.5 million, or 3.5%, mainly due to the use of community improvement designation funds to other county funds by the Board of Supervisors. These increases were offset by intergovernmental activities.
- Appropriation for contingencies decreased by \$13.7 million, or 68.6%. The Sheriff deferred six months of costs in the amount of \$6.0 million for the City of Jurupa Valley (the City), which will allow the City to remain incorporated, provide essential public safety, while working on the restoration of funding. \$1.1 million is to provide essential support and administrative services for court security funding per AB118 funding and SB1021 statutory changes, as these services were transferred from the superior courts to the counties. To cover the fourth quarter invoice owed per cooperative agreement with the California Department of Forestry, \$3.5 million was requested by the Fire Department. Past property tax administration fees (PTAF) are to be refunded to cities as a result of the ruling for disputes over tax calculations, with the first installment paid in April of 2013 for \$2.4 million.
- Intrafund transfers decreased by \$2.0 million, or 4.4%, mainly belongs to increases by the EDA Property Management division and Human Resources. The EDA Property Management division increased its budget by \$0.6 million to cover additional project cost being funded by the general fund. Human Resources increased budget by \$0.6 million due to a rise in arbitration and communication services.

Public protection: The appropriation budget increased by \$18.6 million, or 1.7%, from the original adopted budget of \$1.1 billion. The following information describes the significant factors for the variances:

- Salaries and employee benefits increased by \$2.5 million, or 0.3%, primarily due to Sheriff, Probation, Public Defender, and District Attorney for positions to implement AB109 criminal justice alignment, for which \$10.4 million was due to intergovernmental activities.
- Services and supplies increased by \$10.7 million, or 3.5%, mainly due to Sheriff, Probation, Public Defender, and District Attorney for implementation of AB109 criminal justice alignment. An increase of \$6.5 million for the Sheriff was distributed to staffing, facility improvement and operational costs, transportation costs, as well as program and jail alternatives reflecting a multi-disciplinary approach to realignment and reimbursement of the narrow banding Public Safety Enterprise Communication (PSEC) project. The Fire Department also added \$3.9 million for the California Department of Forestry and reimbursement for the narrow banding PSEC project.
- Capital assets increased by \$4.1 million, or 77.7%, primarily due to the Sheriff Correctional and Patrol equipment purchases related to AB109. Due to the congestion and the need for expansion, the correctional facilities increased security throughout the jail system.

Health and sanitation: The appropriation budget increased by \$20.8 million, or 4.8%, from the original adopted budget of \$430.1 million to \$450.8 million. The following information describes the significant factors for the variances:

- Salaries and employee benefits increased by \$3.7 million, or 1.8%, as a result of a funding increase from the state, for example, five registered nurse positions and one senior public information specialist were added to the Department of Public Health.
- Services and supplies increased by \$6.0 million, or 6.6%, mainly due increase related to fiscal year 2011-12 approved encumbrance items. In addition, Public Health received funding for the replacement equipment for 19 Women, Infant and Children (WIC) Supplemental Nutrition Program in addition to a host of other funding grants.

Management's Discussion & Analysis (Unaudited)

- Other charges increased by \$9.9 million, or 5.0%, mainly due to the \$10.5 million for the Low Income Health Program and the transfer of \$7.0 million in funding from Mental Health to the Regional Medical Center, offset by intergovernmental activity.

Variance between General Fund Actual Revenues and Expenditures and Final Amended Budget

During the year, the general fund had a positive budget variance of approximately \$92.1 million resulting from unexpended appropriations of \$236.7 million, or 9.4%, and overestimated revenue of \$144.6 million, or 5.9%. The following contributed to the variance:

Revenue Variances

General fund actual revenues of \$2.3 billion were 5.9%, or \$144.6 million, less than the final amended revenue budget of \$2.5 billion. Other revenue, Charges for services, Federal aid, State aid and Rents and concessions were all less than the final budget with taxes offsetting with an increase to the final budget.

Other revenue: Actual revenues of \$26.3 million were less than the final amended budget of \$75.7 million by \$49.4 million, or 65.3%. This was primarily due to intergovernmental activities, which was offset by a reduction in contributions to other funds such as CORAL.

Charges for current services: Actual revenues of \$374.8 million were less than the final amended budget of \$414.5 million by \$39.8 million, or 9.6%. A majority of the variance is due to Fire Protection contract services. These services are budgeted at their full cost without factoring in any salary savings. Actuals were less than the final budget by \$22.6 million due to those savings. Public Health actuals were less than budget by \$15.1 million which was attributed to Low Income Health Program (LIHP) patients being seen in clinics rather than the Ambulatory Care Centers and the reduction in Medi-Cal rebates due to the LIHP program. EDA programs were less than budgeted by \$8.7 million, as a result of electricity reduction due to solar projects and loss of Successor Agency (former Redevelopment) funded projects. The Probation Department experience \$2.9 million less in revenue than what was budgeted, as the result of pretrial services that were transferred to the Superior Court of California as of July 1, 2012. These items were all offset by Intergovernmental activities.

Federal aid: Actual revenues of \$478.8 million were less than the final amended budget of \$508.2 million by \$29.4 million, or 5.8%. The following departments received revenue less than budgeted amounts: DPSS, Mental Health, Probation, Public Health and the Fire Department. Actual reimbursements for Public Assistance Claims were less than budgeted by \$16.4 million. Mental Health revised claims after year-end thus actuals were lower than budgeted amounts while Probation and Fire experienced a lower than expected reimbursable claim amount. Lastly, Public Health actuals were lower by \$3.0 million due to the late start of the California Visiting Nurse program.

State aid: Actual revenues of \$1.0 billion were less than the final amended budget of \$1.03 billion by \$24.8 million, or 2.4%. The following describes the significant factors for the variances: Due to a decrease in the amount of state funding received, contributions to Health and Mental Health Agencies also decreased by \$16.5 million. Expenditures related to the Mental Health Services Act were less than budget by \$9.0 million. The implementation of AB109 was slower than anticipated, which resulted in less actual expenditures than budgeted for Mental Health, Probation and the Sheriff Department. Public Health and DPSS received state funding greater than budgeted amounts. This was due to unanticipated realignment revenue received and increased caseload growth for DPSS.

Rents and concessions: Actual revenues of \$3.7 million were less than the final amended budget of \$25.3 million by \$21.7 million, or 85.5% as a result of amounts budgeted to the Capital Finance Administration but no activity throughout the fiscal year.

Taxes: Actual revenues of \$246.1 million were greater than the final amended budget of \$222.7 million by \$23.4 million, or 10.5% and this was the result of ABX126, the sale of redevelopment assets for dissolved agencies.

Management's Discussion & Analysis (*Unaudited*)

Expenditure Variances

General fund actual expenditures of \$2.3 billion were 9.4%, or \$236.7 million, less than the final amended appropriation budget of \$2.6 billion. General government, public assistance, public protection, health and sanitation, and debt service were the five most significant factors attributing to the unexpended appropriations as follows:

General government: Actual expenditures of \$103.9 million were less than the final amended budget of \$187.3 million by \$83.4 million, or 44.5%. The following describes the significant factors for the variances:

- Salaries and employee benefits were \$4.9 million, or 5.8%, below budget. Savings were achieved from delaying the layoff of EDA staff while other departments exercised conservative hiring practices.
- Services and supplies were \$5.7 million, or 8.5%, less than budgeted due to decrease in Executive Office subfund activity, lower utility costs and EDA's anticipated time of use rate that did not materialize as expected during fiscal year 2012-13.
- Other charges were \$66.6 million, or 87.8%, less than budgeted primarily due to intergovernmental activities. Other savings were the result of decreases in contributions to other funds, and differences in the variable rate for CORAL lease payments.

Public protection: Actual expenditures of \$1.0 billion were less than the final amended budget of \$1.1 billion by \$43.4 million, or 4.0%. The following describes the significant factors for the variances:

- Salaries and employee benefits were \$14.6 million, or 2.0%, less than budgeted primarily due to Probation, Sheriff, and the Department of Child Support Services (DCSS). Probation's savings of \$10.7 million is a result of over 230 unfilled positions. Sheriff had savings of \$4.5 from unfilled positions which were offset by changes in contract Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) rates and overtime law enforcement services at the Presidential Summit in Rancho Mirage when President Barack Obama met with Chinese President Xi Jinping. DCSS also experienced savings of \$2.1 million from the department's salary savings through staff attrition, recruitment issues and unfilled positions. Offset by intergovernmental activities.
- Services and supplies were \$13.7 million, or 4.3%, less than budgeted mainly due to Sheriff, Fire, District Attorney, Probation, Animal Services, Code Enforcement, and Executive Office. Sheriff had savings of \$4.6 million primarily due internal service cost variances as well as contract deferrals. Fire had savings of \$1.3 million due to an increase in contracted rates with the State of California offset by non-asset grant purchases. District Attorney had savings of \$2.4 million due to less than expected cost for software maintenance, office supplies, and other miscellaneous expenditures. Probation had savings of \$3.0 million primarily due to the implementation of AB109 criminal justice realignment. Probation planned for new leases to accommodate the anticipated growth and additional Post Release Community Supervision Accountability Teams (PRCSAT) related expenditures however many of these items were encumbered at year-end and re-allocated to fiscal year 2013-14. Code Enforcement had savings of \$0.8 million mainly due to fewer than expected abatements. Executive Office had savings of \$0.8 million primarily from less than expected projects costs for AB233 in trial court funding.
- Other charges were \$9.6 million, or 21.7%, less than budgeted primarily due to the Sheriff, Probation and Fire. The Sheriff's savings of \$7.0 million was mainly the result of capital project deferrals. Probation's Juvenile Hall Division had savings of \$2.1 million unexpended costs that were encumbered for fiscal year 2013-14. Executive Office had savings of \$0.9 million due to less expenditure for AB233 in trial court funding.
- Capital assets were \$4.3 million, or 45.0%, less than budgeted due to the County Clerk-Recorder delay in replacing the Recorder System (CARDS) for \$2.3 million along with the cancellation of the Sheriff's video visitation project which was cancelled, as the radio were not received by year end.

Health and sanitation: Actual expenditures of \$388.3 million were less than the final amended budget of \$450.8 million by \$62.5 million, or 13.9%.

Management's Discussion & Analysis (Unaudited)

The following describes the significant factors for the variances:

- Salaries and employee benefits were \$22.1 million, or 10.3%, less than budgeted amounts. Mental Health Treatment experienced an \$8.8 million savings as a result of vacancies in preparation for increased service demands due to the Affordable Care Act. \$4.1 million in additional budgeted Mental Health positions were not filled until late fiscal year 2012-13 resulting in additional savings. Public Health and Ambulatory care generated savings of \$9.0 million due to vacant positions.
- Services and supplies were \$10.0 million, or 10.3%, less than budgeted primarily due to a \$5.5 million savings in the Public Health Agency and a \$4.5 million savings in Mental Health. In fiscal year 2012-13, the Community Health Agency Administration was dissolved and separated into smaller departments such as Public Health, Environmental Health, and Animal Control. The savings was a result of the shifting of budgets between the departments and the allocation of expenditures among them. There were also savings for the Maddy Emergency Medical Services (EMS) program to County physicians and hospitals for their share of uncompensated emergency medical costs. Mental Health experienced lower actual expenditures due to lower than anticipated number of licenses required for outside vendors to access their new Electronic Management of Records System.
- Other charges were \$25.5 million, or 12.3%, less than budgeted primarily due to Executive Office, Mental Health, and the Medical Indigent Services Program (MISP). Executive Office received \$16.5 million less than expected allocation from the State which was based on actual vehicle license revenue. Therefore, less was available to distribute for the Executive Office's contribution to health and mental health. Mental Health had savings of \$7.8 million mainly due the ending of SB 90 placement services in fiscal year 2012-13, placement of clients in other facilities and reduced contractor costs due to programmatic service delivery costs. There was a saving of \$3.8 million for the transition of medically indigent patients to LIHP payments. These savings were offset by intergovernmental activities.

Public assistance: Actual expenditures of \$735.1 million were less than the final amended budget of \$762.4 million by \$27.3 million, or 3.6%. The following describes the significant factors for the variances:

- Salaries and employee benefits were \$8.0 million, or 3.2%, less than budgeted mainly due DPSS decreasing the level of temporary staff and vacancies.
- Services and supplies were \$10.2 million, or 13.1%, less than budgeted primarily due to DPSS lower than expected facility charges with the cancellation or delay of large projects, including Norco and Perris Self Sufficiency, unpurchased software and savings from the C-IV Service Center Technology Deployment project.
- Other charges were \$9.0 million, or 2.1%, less than budgeted primarily due to a decrease in caseloads for Stage 1 childcare services, CalWorks, ad Foster Care Programs. DPSS also had a \$3.3 million from the delay in the implementation of the Child Welfare Service (CWS) Counseling Assessment Referral Treatment (CART) Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) and the In-Home Supportive Services MOE which was not effective until December 2012.

Debt service: Actual expenditures of \$19.6 million were less than the final amended budget of \$41.1 million by \$21.5 million, or 52.4%, primarily due to a decrease in principal and interest payments for capital asset leases for buildings and other purchases.

CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

Capital Assets

As of June 30, 2013, the County's capital assets for both its governmental and business-type activities amounted to \$4.2 billion (net of accumulated depreciation). The capital assets include land and easements, land improvements, construction in progress, equipment, and infrastructure. The County's infrastructure is comprised of channels, storm drains, levees, basins, roads, traffic signals, bridges, runways, parks, park trails, and landfill liners. The County's capital assets increased by 5.4%, or \$214.2 million, from \$4.0 billion in fiscal year 2011-12 to \$4.2 billion in fiscal year 2012-13.

Management's Discussion & Analysis (*Unaudited*)

Construction in progress experienced a decrease from a 24.1% in fiscal year 2011-12 to a 9.8% increase in fiscal year 2012-13, as the last major projects funded with capital improvement plan funds are underway or nearing completion and no new redevelopment projects were initiated. There are more worthy projects than there is debt capacity, which is currently reserved for jail construction and existing projects. This fiscal year an increase in equipment of 23.4% took place and 9.8% in structures and improvements, as major general fund projects that were underway were completed and equipment was distributed to respective departments for the Public Safety Enterprise Communication (PSEC) project, such as the Sheriff Department.

In fiscal year 2012-13, new major projects budgeted for construction and design included the following: The new Alternate Emergency Operations Center, Communications Hub and Tower Relocation project in Indio, with a budget amount of \$11.1 million. The Indio prime communication site will relocate to the County Sheriff's Station at Doctor Carreon Boulevard. It will meet required communication coverage objectives to immediate areas in and around the City of Indio, and will also provide the required network linkages to other sites within the PSEC system, consisting of approximately 14,000 square feet of improvements and a new tower that will measure 150 feet in height. The County was awarded grant funding for the expansion of the East County Detention Center, resulting in the demolition of four courtrooms and the creation of two projects which entail four new courtrooms strategically located to better serve the needs of the court. Two new courtrooms for \$7.8 million will be adjacent to Southwest Juvenile Hall and will provide courtrooms solely for juvenile cases and \$2.6 million for two 6,415 square feet new courtrooms at the Larson Justice Center, to alleviate the need to utilize existing criminal courtrooms for family law and community court cases. The County's Transportation Improvement Program budgeted for three major projects. The ramp improvement for \$4.0 million on Interstate 10 at Hobson Way, west of the inspection station will improve traffic flow and enhance safety, as well as \$2.5 million for the bike lane and multi-purpose trail improvements along the Santa Ana River from the Prado Basin near Highway 71 to the Green River Golf Course. The resurfacing of Stanford Street from Thornton Avenue to Florida Avenue and a traffic signal in the intersection of Stanford Street and Mayberry Avenue in the East Hemet community for \$1.5 million.

Construction in Progress

Additions to Construction in Progress for fiscal year 2012-13:

In fiscal year 2012-13, additions in the amount of \$270.1 million consisted of costs related to existing projects and new projects.

Existing project costs include the following:

- Roads and signal infrastructure additions were \$90.1million.
- The EDA incurred \$50.3 million for projects such as the East County Detention Center consisting of 1,250 new beds up from 353 beds, as Riverside County has the fastest growing population and the detention center bed growth has not kept pace with the population growth. Approximately 10,000 square feet for the Jurupa Valley Sheriff's Evidence Warehouse and 14,335 square feet for the Rubidoux Child Development Center.
- Public Safety Enterprise Communication (PSEC) experienced \$16.7 million.
- Library projects for \$11.7 million include the renovation to the Palm Desert Library, which will include a new audio-visual section, computer area, and additional visitor space.
- CREST project incurred an additional \$5.5 million towards the new integrated property tax management system.

New project costs include the following:

- Transportation and Land Management Agency experienced the addition of \$30.9 million in infrastructure.
- PSEC sustained \$25.6 million towards the cell towers.
- Flood Control incurred \$19.8 million in new cost for storm drains and channels.
- The EDA cost was \$4.8 million for projects such as the addition of 12 new offices on 14th Street and improvement for the Department of Mental Health and the new classroom and special training facility space dedicated to the Sheriff and Fire Department at the Ben Clark Training Center.

Management's Discussion & Analysis (*Unaudited*)

Construction in Progress Transfers

Completed construction in progress projects of approximately \$169.5 million were transferred from construction in progress to other designated capital asset accounts during fiscal year 2012-13. The major projects were as follows:

- \$148.2 million was transferred to structures and improvements. Examples include, the 77,000 square foot Thermal Sheriff Station for \$41.2 million, which is comprised of a forensic laboratory, a 12,000 gallon fueling station, and a 12,000 square foot hanger. Perris Valley's Big League of Dreams Sports Park for \$26.8 million, consists of six baseball fields, four of which are designed to look like scaled down versions of the Dodger, Angel, and Yankee stadiums, as well as Fenway Park. The completion of the state of the art Northwest Riverside County/City Animal Shelter, which accommodates for 400 dogs, 200 cats, 12 horses, and a variety of other animals for \$26.7 million. Cabazon's Civic Center for \$16.0 million, provides the benefit of a 13,026 square feet child development center, a 5,470 square feet library, an administration building for Cabazon Water District and many other amenities. \$11.4 million for the Mead Valley Library Project, consisting of a 22,000 square foot library, reading rooms, a photovoltaic system, amphitheater, and street improvements.
- \$12.2 million was transferred to infrastructure. The Day Creek Channel, Stage 6, Phase 2 located in Jurupa Valley and the Calimesa Avenue L Storm Drain were completed by the Flood Control and Water Conservation District at a cost of \$10.9 million. Regional Park and Open Space District incurred \$1.0 million, primarily design and development for ventures such as the Santa Ana River Trail (SART) and the Prado Dam linkage. Land acquisition for the expansion of the Highgrove Trails to serve the increased demand for recreational trails resulting from large population increases in western Riverside County and the Highgrove area in particular, as well as for future infrastructure intended to connect city water and sewer to Mayflower Park, situated alongside the Colorado River in Blythe.

Land and Easements

Additions of \$19.6 million in land were processed this fiscal year. Approximately 37.4 acres of land located on the southwest corner of Highway 111 and Oasis Street in Indio was acquired for \$13.0 million. Currently the National Date Festival Fair Grounds buildings occupy 33 acres of the land and 4.21 acres is used by the existing County Jail buildings in Indio, which are subject to demolition as part of the New East County Detention Center Project. The Flood Control District had land additions of \$4.4 million, for which \$1.1 million was for the construction of flood control improvements with appurtenances for the Homeland/Romoland Master Drainage Plan Line A Stage 3. The project traverses the cities of Perris and Menifee and consists of new road crossings, mostly an interim unlined open channel with the intent to provide immediate flooding relief for the area east of the I-215 freeway, in particular for the area adjacent to Encanto Road.

Service Concession Arrangements

Pursuant to GASB Statement Number 60, Waste Management reports \$8.8 million for the Edom Hill Transfer Station Building and Improvements Service Concession Arrangement. The 30,000 square foot facility provides transfer capabilities for the County of Riverside solid waste processing and follows the closure of the adjacent Edom Hill Landfill. It includes a Household Hazardous Waste operation and a 460,000 gallon water tank for fire protection.

Depreciable Capital Assets

The following is a breakdown of the additions, retirements, and transfers which make up the balance of depreciable capital assets:

Additions to Depreciable Assets:

Total fiscal year 2012-13 depreciable capital asset current year additions of \$81.3 million were comprised of the following:

- Infrastructure in the amount of \$37.1 million consisting of donated roads in the amount of \$28.4 million and \$8.4 million in flood storm drains and channels.

Management's Discussion & Analysis (Unaudited)

- Equipment in the amount of \$42.9 million distributed as follows:

Equipment leased	\$ 25.9 million
Equipment field	7.4 million
Computer and office equipment	3.3 million
Miscellaneous equipment	3.6 million
Equipment vehicles	2.7 million

Retirements of Depreciable Assets:

Retirement of depreciable assets totaled \$25.1 million. Equipment was retired ranging from the categories of computer and office equipment to vehicle and leased equipment in the amount of \$18.3 million. This figure includes \$11.0 million in vehicles sent to surplus for auction sales and approximately \$2.2 million in phone system equipment, making way for the converged network project to reduce communication cost. Structures and improvements experienced \$6.6 million, such as the demolition of the 11th Street jail. The structure was approximately 30,000 square feet, which posed a health and safety hazard and is intended to be replaced by a pedestrian plaza immediately adjacent to the historic Riverside County Courthouse.

Depreciable Transfers:

Completed construction in progress transferred for approximately \$169.5 million as noted above.

Depreciation Note:

In the government-wide financial statements, depreciable capital assets are depreciated from the acquisition date to the end of the fiscal year. However, in the fund financial statements of the governmental funds, depreciable capital assets are accounted for as expenditures when payments are made. This fiscal year, depreciable capital assets for governmental and business-type activities combined incurred \$137.5 million in depreciation.

Analysis of Capital Assets:

Capital assets for the governmental and business-type activities are presented below to illustrate changes from the prior year:

Capital Assets (net of depreciation, in thousands)

	Governmental Activities		Business-type Activities		Total		Increase/ (Decrease)
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	%
Infrastructure	\$ 1,415,087	\$ 1,436,036	\$ 43,844	\$ 47,366	\$ 1,458,931	\$ 1,483,402	-1.6%
Land and easements	469,522	449,978	21,358	21,351	490,880	471,329	4.1%
Land improvements	87	88	3,080	3,662	3,167	3,750	-15.5%
Structures and improvements	1,103,314	988,262	121,056	126,899	1,224,370	1,115,161	9.8%
Equipment	110,155	84,889	12,118	14,206	122,273	99,095	23.4%
Construction in progress	815,227	745,536	65,006	56,189	880,233	801,725	9.8%
Concession Arrangements	-	-	8,830	-	8,830	-	0.0%
Total Outstanding	\$ 3,913,392	\$ 3,704,789	\$ 275,292	\$ 269,673	\$ 4,188,684	\$ 3,974,462	5.4%

Additional information on the County's capital assets can be found in Note 8 of this report.

Management's Discussion & Analysis (Unaudited)

Debt Administration

Per Board of Supervisors policy, the County's Debt Advisory Committee reviews all debt issuances of the County and its financing component unit organizations and advises the Board of Supervisors accordingly. Net bonded debt per capita equaled \$359.0 million as of June 30, 2013. The calculated legal debt limit for the County is \$2.5 billion.

The following are credit ratings maintained by the County:

	<u>Moody's Investors Services, Inc.</u>	<u>Standard & Poor's Corp.</u>	<u>Fitch</u>
Long-term lease debt	Aa3	AA	AA-
Issuer credit	Aa3	AA	AA-

The County issued tax-exempt Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes (TRANs) to provide needed cash to cover the projected intra-period cash flow deficits of the County's General Fund during the fiscal year July 1 through June 30. In fiscal year 2012-13, the County issued \$250.0 million in TRANs to satisfy short-term cash flow needs. In December 1993, the Board of Supervisors formally passed a resolution necessary for the County to adopt the Teeter Plan (the alternate method of property tax distribution). The plan required the "buy-out" of delinquent taxes and the annual advance of unpaid taxes to participating agencies. For fiscal year 2012-13, funding for the County's ongoing obligation under Teeter was accomplished through the sale of Tax-Exempt Commercial Paper Notes. During fiscal year 2012-13, the County retired \$79.0 million of the \$171.3 million principal amount outstanding at June 30, 2012. The County then issued \$50.5 million of Series D notes, leaving an outstanding balance of \$142.8 million at June 30, 2013. This amount includes funding to advance \$52.9 million fiscal year 2011-12 delinquencies and refunding of \$89.90 million of prior years' property taxes that remain delinquent. The County's General Fund is pledged to the repayment of the Series B delinquent taxes.

The table below provides summarized information (including comparative amounts from the preceding year) for the County's outstanding long-term liabilities as of June 30, 2013.

County's Outstanding Debt Obligations (In Thousands)

	Governmental Activities		Business-type Activities		Total		Increase/ (Decrease)
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	%
Loan payable	\$ 4,420	\$ 4,925	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,420	\$ 4,925	-10.3%
Bonds payable	744,460	750,492	143,710	121,061	888,170	871,553	1.9%
Certificate of participation	282,095	309,511	-	-	282,095	309,511	-8.9%
Capital leases	67,748	100,995	7,224	12,055	74,972	113,050	-33.7%
Total outstanding	\$ 1,098,723	\$ 1,165,923	\$ 150,934	\$ 133,116	\$ 1,249,657	\$ 1,299,039	-3.8%

Outstanding Debt: The County of Riverside's total debt decreased by 3.8% or \$49.4 million during the current fiscal year primarily due to scheduled retirements of outstanding debt. Additional information on the County's long-term debt can be found in Note 14 of this report.

Management's Discussion & Analysis (Unaudited)

ECONOMIC FACTORS AND THE FISCAL YEAR 2013-14 BUDGET OUTLOOK

Economists' forecasts remain relatively unchanged for the upcoming fiscal year. There will be slow growth, if any, over the near future. Riverside County's budget plans for fiscal year 2013-14 eliminate the use of one-time reserves to fund ongoing operating costs. Reports of improving job and housing markets produce confirmation that economic recovery is under way and that the worst is in the past.

Fiscal year 2013-14 discretionary revenue is expected to increase by approximately 3.0% (\$16.4 million) when compared to fiscal year 2012-13. The following table reflects anticipated discretionary revenue totals and sources for fiscal year 2013-14.

Source	Final Budget Estimate (In Thousands)
Taxes	\$ 216,203
Other Taxes	42,658
Licenses, Permits, Franchise Taxes	5,000
Fines, Forfeitures, Penalties	24,006
Use of Money and Property	2,603
State	195,666
Federal	2,050
Charges for services	629
Miscellaneous	97,844
Total	<u>\$ 586,659</u>

The County's employee retirement benefit contribution rate for fiscal year 2013-14 for miscellaneous members is 15.0% and the Safety contribution rate is 23.4%. The employer rate for both plans is subject to changes in future years, as it continues to reflect changes in investment return and the County's growth rate, among other factors. Fiscal year 2014-15 rates are projected at 14.5% (Miscellaneous) and 21.9% (Safety). Additional information regarding the County's retirement plans are included in Notes 20, 21, and 22 of the financial statements and schedules of retirement funding progress are included in the required supplementary information section.

The fiscal year 2013-14 assessment roll value increased by 4.0%, yielding a total property tax roll of \$213.0 billion, compared to \$204.9 billion in fiscal year 2012-13. The \$8.1 million increase included a reduction in foreclosure related activity, increase in both residential sales prices and volume, a full 2.0% increase in inflation factor, and a slight increase in some commercial properties.

REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the County's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the County of Riverside, Office of the Auditor-Controller, County Administrative Center, 4080 Lemon Street - 11th Floor, P.O. Box 1326, Riverside, CA 92502-1326 Phone: (951) 955-3800; Fax: (951) 955-3802; website: www.auditorcontroller.org.

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Statement of Net Position
June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Primary Government			Component Units	
	Governmental Activities	Business-type Activities	Total	Children and Families Commission	Palm Desert Financing Authority
ASSETS:					
Cash and investments (Note 4)	\$ 1,090,226	\$ 142,589	\$ 1,232,815	\$ 43,522	\$ -
Receivables, net (Notes 1 and 6)	403,805	181,187	584,992	4,252	-
Internal balances (Note 7)	60,577	(60,577)	-	-	-
Inventories	6,569	8,418	14,987	-	-
Prepaid items and deposits	6,344	5,390	11,734	-	-
Restricted cash and investments (Notes 4 and 5)	456,157	137,050	593,207	-	12,163
Other noncurrent receivables (Note 6)	41,356	-	41,356	-	42,702
Loans receivable (Note 6)	-	72,037	72,037	-	-
Pension asset, net (Notes 20 and 21)	451,501	648	452,149	-	-
OPEB asset, net (Note 22)	26,399	-	26,399	-	-
Land held for resale	-	34,368	34,368	-	-
Capital assets (Note 8):					
Nondepreciable assets	1,284,749	95,194	1,379,943	-	-
Depreciable assets, net	2,628,643	180,098	2,808,741	-	-
Total assets	<u>6,456,326</u>	<u>796,402</u>	<u>7,252,728</u>	<u>47,774</u>	<u>54,865</u>
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES:					
Defeasance of debt	-	347	347	-	-
Interest rate swap (Note 14)	26,821	-	26,821	-	-
Total deferred outflows of resources	<u>26,821</u>	<u>347</u>	<u>27,168</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
LIABILITIES:					
Current Liabilities:					
Cash overdrawn (Note 4)	-	21,647	21,647	-	-
Accounts payable	107,241	17,242	124,483	2,666	1
Salaries and benefits payable	66,455	12,799	79,254	94	-
Due to other governments	27,071	97,688	124,759	13	-
Interest payable	8,960	870	9,830	-	532
Deposits payable	352	89	441	-	-
Advances from grantors and third parties (Note 12)	271,093	-	271,093	-	-
Notes payable (Note 13)	142,840	-	142,840	-	-
Other liabilities	591	1,698	2,289	-	-
Interest rate swap (Note 14)	26,821	-	26,821	-	-
Long-term liabilities (Note 14) :					
Due within one year	178,450	36,947	215,397	161	5,070
Due beyond one year	1,314,374	296,859	1,611,233	118	51,175
Total liabilities	<u>2,144,248</u>	<u>485,839</u>	<u>2,630,087</u>	<u>3,052</u>	<u>56,778</u>
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES:					
Teeter tax loss reserve (Note 15)	17,703	-	17,703	-	-
Grants received in advance (Note 15)	-	722	722	-	-
Service concession arrangement (Note 9)	-	8,396	8,396	-	-
Total deferred inflows of resources	<u>17,703</u>	<u>9,118</u>	<u>26,821</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
NET POSITION:					
Net investment in capital assets	2,998,987	118,594	3,117,581	-	-
Restricted for:					
Children's programs	-	-	-	44,722	-
Community development	173,461	-	173,461	-	-
Debt service	106,440	53,609	160,049	-	-
Health and sanitation	25,373	10,308	35,681	-	-
Public protection	79,493	-	79,493	-	-
Public ways and facilities	152,854	-	152,854	-	-
Other programs	12,705	30,429	43,134	-	-
Unrestricted	771,883	88,852	860,735	-	(1,913)
Total net position	<u>\$ 4,321,196</u>	<u>\$ 301,792</u>	<u>\$ 4,622,988</u>	<u>\$ 44,722</u>	<u>\$ (1,913)</u>

The notes to the basic financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Statement of Activities
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

FUNCTION/PROGRAM ACTIVITIES:	Expenses	Program Revenues	
		Charges for Services	Operating Grants and Contributions Capital Grants and Contributions
Primary government:			
Governmental activities:			
General government	\$ 194,641	\$ 138,851	\$ 123,043 \$ -
Public protection	1,065,373	339,379	287,413 -
Public ways and facilities	89,469	51,004	48,516 27,514
Health and sanitation	422,982	47,558	265,409 -
Public assistance	807,611	2,719	771,230 -
Education	18,998	364	7,072 -
Recreation and cultural services	12,274	8,586	707 181
Interest on long-term debt	29,453	-	- -
Total governmental activities	<u>2,640,801</u>	<u>588,461</u>	<u>1,503,390</u> <u>27,695</u>
Business-type activities:			
Regional Medical Center	473,916	450,340	- 698
Waste Management Department	53,069	58,302	- -
Housing Authority	90,678	90,015	- -
Flood Control	2,472	1,735	- -
County Service Areas	459	355	- -
Total business-type activities	<u>620,594</u>	<u>600,747</u>	<u>-</u> <u>698</u>
Total primary government	<u>\$ 3,261,395</u>	<u>\$ 1,189,208</u>	<u>\$ 1,503,390</u> <u>\$ 28,393</u>
Component units:			
Children and Families Commission	\$ 22,194	\$ -	\$ 21,739 \$ -
Palm Desert Financing Authority	9,607	8,635	- -
Total Component Units	<u>\$ 31,801</u>	<u>\$ 8,635</u>	<u>\$ 21,739</u> <u>\$ -</u>
General revenues:			
Taxes:			
Property taxes			
Sales and use taxes			
Other taxes			
Unrestricted intergovernmental revenue			
Investment earnings (loss)			
Other			
Transfers			
Total general revenues and transfers			
Changes in net position before extraordinary items			
Extraordinary Item			
Extraordinary gain (loss), RDA Successor dissolution			
Changes in net position			
NET POSITION, BEGINNING OF YEAR, AS RESTATED (Note 3)			
NET POSITION, END OF YEAR			

The notes to the basic financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

Net (Expenses) Revenues and Changes in Net Position

Primary Government			Component Units		
Governmental Activities	Business-type Activities	Total	Children and Families Commission	Palm Desert Financing Authority	
\$ 67,253	\$ -	\$ 67,253			FUNCTION/PROGRAM ACTIVITIES:
(438,581)	-	(438,581)			Primary government:
37,565	-	37,565			Governmental activities:
(110,015)	-	(110,015)			General government
(33,662)	-	(33,662)			Public protection
(11,562)	-	(11,562)			Public ways and facilities
(2,800)	-	(2,800)			Health and sanitation
(29,453)	-	(29,453)			Public assistance
(521,255)	-	(521,255)			Education
					Recreation and cultural services
					Interest on long-term debt
					Total governmental activities
-	(22,878)	(22,878)			Business-type activities:
-	5,233	5,233			Regional Medical Center
-	(663)	(663)			Waste Management Department
-	(737)	(737)			Housing Authority
-	(104)	(104)			Flood Control
-	(19,149)	(19,149)			County Service Areas
(521,255)	(19,149)	(540,404)			Total business-type activities
					Total primary government
			\$ (455)	\$ -	Component units:
			-	(972)	Children and Families Commission
			(455)	(972)	Palm Desert Financing Authority
					Total Component Units
					General revenues:
277,417	-	277,417	-	-	Taxes:
29,751	-	29,751	-	-	Property taxes
37,883	-	37,883	-	-	Sales and use taxes
220,811	-	220,811	-	-	Other taxes
2,035	(33)	2,002	(26)	28	Unrestricted intergovernmental revenue
168,454	-	168,454	263	-	Investment earnings (loss)
(1,049)	1,049	-	-	-	Other
735,302	1,016	736,318	237	28	Transfers
214,047	(18,133)	195,914	(218)	(944)	Total general revenues and transfers
(158,337)	154,589	(3,748)	-	-	Changes in net position before extraordinary items
55,710	136,456	192,166	(218)	(944)	Extraordinary Item
4,265,486	165,336	4,430,822	44,940	(969)	Extraordinary gain (loss), RDA Successor dissolution
\$ 4,321,196	\$ 301,792	\$ 4,622,988	\$ 44,722	\$ (1,913)	Changes in net position
					NET POSITION, BEGINNING OF YEAR, AS RESTATED (Note 3)
					NET POSITION, END OF YEAR

The notes to the basic financial statements are an integral part of this statement.



(This Page Intentionally Left Blank)

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS



(This Page Intentionally Left Blank)

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

Balance Sheet Governmental Funds

June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES:	General	Transportation	Flood Control	Teeter Debt Service
Assets:				
Cash and investments (Note 4)	\$ 128,655	\$ 145,644	\$ 256,683	\$ -
Accounts receivable (Notes 1 and 6)	9,167	1,463	525	-
Interest receivable (Note 6)	687	53	130	20
Taxes receivable (Note 6)	10,931	22	1,357	83,276
Due from other governments (Note 6)	308,532	12,015	1,334	-
Due from other funds (Note 7)	9,071	-	52	35
Inventories	2,059	1,031	-	-
Prepaid items and deposits	818	2,600	1,971	-
Restricted cash and investments (Notes 4 and 5)	307,452	-	1,806	67,984
Advances to other funds (Note 7)	3,342	-	-	-
Total assets	<u>780,714</u>	<u>162,828</u>	<u>263,858</u>	<u>151,315</u>
Deferred outflows of resources:	-	-	-	-
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	<u>\$ 780,714</u>	<u>\$ 162,828</u>	<u>\$ 263,858</u>	<u>\$ 151,315</u>
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES:				
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$ 24,234	\$ 38,241	\$ 4,231	\$ -
Salaries and benefits payable	57,519	1,804	1,037	-
Due to other governments	23,377	1,553	1,820	-
Due to other funds (Note 7)	9,190	72	37	8,475
Deposits payable	19	-	-	-
Advances from grantors and third parties (Note 12)	242,271	26,856	-	-
Teeter notes payable (Note 13)	-	-	-	142,840
Advances from other funds (Note 7)	-	-	-	-
Total liabilities	<u>356,610</u>	<u>68,526</u>	<u>7,125</u>	<u>151,315</u>
Deferred inflows of resources (Note 15)	<u>66,855</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1,808</u>	<u>-</u>
Fund balances (Note 16):				
Nonspendable	3,247	1,044	1	-
Restricted	101,440	79,127	-	-
Committed	42,183	1,310	253,117	-
Assigned	10,460	12,821	1,807	-
Unassigned	199,919	-	-	-
Total fund balances	<u>357,249</u>	<u>94,302</u>	<u>254,925</u>	<u>-</u>
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances	<u>\$ 780,714</u>	<u>\$ 162,828</u>	<u>\$ 263,858</u>	<u>\$ 151,315</u>

The notes to the basic financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

Public Facilities Improvements Capital Projects	Other Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds	ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES:
			Assets:
\$ 203,494	\$ 126,976	\$ 861,452	Cash and investments (Note 4)
-	1,498	12,653	Accounts receivable (Notes 1 and 6)
89	124	1,103	Interest receivable (Note 6)
-	1,511	97,097	Taxes receivable (Note 6)
15	8,570	330,466	Due from other governments (Note 6)
-	33	9,191	Due from other funds (Note 7)
-	-	3,090	Inventories
-	587	5,976	Prepaid items and deposits
-	78,915	456,157	Restricted cash and investments (Notes 4 and 5)
-	1,700	5,042	Advances to other funds (Note 7)
203,598	219,914	1,782,227	Total assets
-	-	-	Deferred outflows of resources:
\$ 203,598	\$ 219,914	\$ 1,782,227	Total assets and deferred outflows of resources
			LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES:
			Liabilities:
\$ 4,035	\$ 4,539	\$ 75,280	Accounts payable
-	2,150	62,510	Salaries and benefits payable
-	313	27,063	Due to other governments
3	191	17,968	Due to other funds (Note 7)
-	333	352	Deposits payable
-	1,966	271,093	Advances from grantors and third parties (Note 12)
-	-	142,840	Teeter notes payable (Note 13)
-	1,700	1,700	Advances from other funds (Note 7)
4,038	11,192	598,806	Total liabilities
-	-	68,663	Deferred inflows of resources (Note 15)
			Fund balances (Note 16):
-	1,168	5,460	Nonspendable
153,404	174,552	508,523	Restricted
1,912	15,914	314,436	Committed
44,244	17,088	86,420	Assigned
-	-	199,919	Unassigned
199,560	208,722	1,114,758	Total fund balances
\$ 203,598	\$ 219,914	\$ 1,782,227	Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances

The notes to the basic financial statements are an integral part of this statement.



(This Page Intentionally Left Blank)

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Net Position June 30, 2013 (Dollars in Thousands)

Fund balances - total governmental funds (page 35) \$ 1,114,758

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:

Capital assets used in governmental activities are not current financial resources and therefore are not reported in the governmental funds. 3,880,708

Net OPEB and pension assets are not current financial resources and therefore are not reported in the governmental funds. 477,900

Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenue cannot be recognized until it is available to liquidate liabilities of the current period; under accrual accounting, revenue must be recognized as soon as earned, regardless of its availability. Any liability of earned but unavailable revenue must be eliminated in the government-wide financial statements. 50,960

Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable, are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported in the governmental funds.

Bonds Payable	\$ 744,460	
Capital lease obligations	55,648	
Certificates of participation	282,095	
Loans payable	4,420	
Accrued interest payable	8,960	
Accreted interest payable	94,661	
Accrued remediation cost	2,793	
Compensated absences	<u>156,628</u>	(1,349,665)

Internal service funds are used by management to charge the costs of equipment, fleet management, printing, information technology, supply services, risk management, and temporary assistance to individual funds. Since internal service funds predominantly service government activities, the assets and liabilities of these funds are included as governmental activities in the statement of net position.

146,535

Net position of governmental activities (page 29) \$ 4,321,196

The notes to the basic financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances
Governmental Funds
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	General	Transportation	Flood Control	Teeter Debt Service
REVENUES:				
Taxes	\$ 246,144	\$ 6,492	\$ 40,226	\$ -
Licenses, permits, and franchise fees	16,442	2,324	-	-
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties	85,241	-	-	-
Use of money and property:				
Investment earnings (loss)	1,676	(243)	(217)	(155)
Rents and concessions	3,670	-	180	-
Aid from other governmental agencies:				
Federal	478,791	28,609	-	-
State	1,001,545	41,025	619	-
Other	81,169	4,115	-	-
Charges for services	374,750	41,175	5,946	-
Other revenue	26,253	22,566	14,937	4
Total revenues	<u>2,315,681</u>	<u>146,063</u>	<u>61,691</u>	<u>(151)</u>
EXPENDITURES:				
Current:				
General government	103,895	-	-	469
Public protection	1,043,017	5,545	62,825	-
Public ways and facilities	-	161,842	-	-
Health and sanitation	388,325	-	-	-
Public assistance	735,057	-	-	-
Education	564	-	-	-
Recreation and culture	346	-	-	-
Debt service:				
Principal	14,464	568	-	-
Interest	5,112	46	-	-
Cost of issuance	-	-	-	-
Capital outlay	1,721	-	-	-
Total expenditures	<u>2,292,501</u>	<u>168,001</u>	<u>62,825</u>	<u>469</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	23,180	(21,938)	(1,134)	(620)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers in	92,297	18,763	-	703
Transfers out	(96,547)	(6,088)	(200)	(83)
Issuance of refunding bonds	-	-	-	-
Premium on long-term debt	-	-	-	-
Redemption of refunded debt	-	-	-	-
Capital leases	1,721	-	-	-
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>(2,529)</u>	<u>12,675</u>	<u>(200)</u>	<u>620</u>
Net change in fund balances before Extraordinary loss	<u>20,651</u>	<u>(9,263)</u>	<u>(1,334)</u>	<u>-</u>
EXTRAORDINARY ITEMS:				
Extraordinary loss	-	-	-	-
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	<u>20,651</u>	<u>(9,263)</u>	<u>(1,334)</u>	<u>-</u>
Fund balances, beginning of year	<u>336,598</u>	<u>103,565</u>	<u>256,259</u>	<u>-</u>
FUND BALANCES, END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 357,249</u>	<u>\$ 94,302</u>	<u>\$ 254,925</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

The notes to the basic financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

Public Facilities Improvements Capital Projects	Other Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds	
\$ -	\$ 54,304	\$ 347,166	REVENUES:
-	32	18,798	Taxes
-	1,140	86,381	Licenses, permits, and franchise fees
			Fines, forfeitures, and penalties
(209)	1,518	2,370	Use of money and property:
344	15,052	19,246	Investment earnings (loss)
			Rents and concessions
28	61,902	569,330	Aid from other governmental agencies:
-	4,296	1,047,485	Federal
24,144	22,692	132,120	State
9,741	32,662	464,274	Other
908	28,161	92,829	Charges for services
34,956	221,759	2,779,999	Other revenue
			Total revenues
			EXPENDITURES:
			Current:
35,406	68,472	208,242	General government
-	6,010	1,117,397	Public protection
799	14,826	177,467	Public ways and facilities
-	5,232	393,557	Health and sanitation
-	63,793	798,850	Public assistance
-	18,255	18,819	Education
-	16,244	16,590	Recreation and culture
			Debt service:
-	40,331	55,363	Principal
-	22,830	27,988	Interest
-	378	378	Cost of issuance
-	23,706	25,427	Capital outlay
36,205	280,077	2,840,078	Total expenditures
(1,249)	(58,318)	(60,079)	Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures
			OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):
15,449	104,362	231,574	Transfers in
(57,148)	(73,743)	(233,809)	Transfers out
-	17,640	17,640	Issuance of refunding bonds
-	759	759	Premium on long-term debt
-	(18,155)	(18,155)	Redemption of refunded debt
-	-	1,721	Capital leases
(41,699)	30,863	(270)	Total other financing sources (uses)
(42,948)	(27,455)	(60,349)	Net change in fund balances before Extraordinary loss
-	158,337	158,337	EXTRAORDINARY ITEMS:
(42,948)	(185,792)	(218,686)	Extraordinary loss
242,508	394,514	1,333,444	NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES
\$ 199,560	\$ 208,722	\$ 1,114,758	Fund balances, beginning of year
			FUND BALANCES, END OF YEAR

The notes to the basic financial statements are an integral part of this statement.



(This Page Intentionally Left Blank)

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and
Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the
Statement of Activities
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds (page 39) \$ (218,686)

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:

Governmental funds report capital outlay and other capital projects as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense.

Expenditures for capital assets	\$ 349,490	
Less loss on disposal of capital assets	(26,850)	
Less current year depreciation	<u>(111,061)</u>	211,579

Prepaid pension costs and OPEB costs are expended in the governmental funds when paid but are recognized as a financial resource in the statement of net position. 11,439

Long-term debt proceeds provide current financial resources to governmental funds, but issuing debt increases long-term liabilities in the statement of net position.

Repayment of bond principal is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but the repayment reduces long-term liabilities in the statement of net position.

Proceeds in excess of principal payments		63,809
--	--	--------

Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenue cannot be recognized until it is available to liquidate liabilities of the current period; under accrual accounting, revenue must be recognized as soon as earned, regardless of its availability. Also, any liability of earned but unavailable revenue must be eliminated in the government-wide financial statements. 904

Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.

Change in accrued interest	35	
Change in accreted interest	(15,838)	
Change in long-term compensated absences	<u>995</u>	(14,808)

Internal service funds are used by management to charge the costs of certain activities to individual funds. The net income (loss) of the internal service funds is reported with governmental activities.

		<u>1,473</u>
Change in net position of governmental activities (page 31)	<u>\$</u>	<u>55,710</u>

The notes to the basic financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Budgetary Comparison Statement
General Fund
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variance With
	Original	Final	Amounts	Final Budget Over (Under)
REVENUES:				
Taxes	\$ 211,112	\$ 222,742	\$ 246,144	\$ 23,402
Licenses, permits, and fees	17,707	18,324	16,442	(1,882)
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties	85,195	85,222	85,241	19
Use of money and property:				
Investment earnings	3,113	3,113	1,676	(1,437)
Rents and concessions	25,194	25,343	3,670	(21,673)
Aid from other governmental agencies:				
Federal	493,961	508,235	478,791	(29,444)
State	1,002,869	1,026,300	1,001,545	(24,755)
Other government	80,642	80,782	81,169	387
Charges for services	465,778	414,521	374,750	(39,771)
Other revenue	84,233	75,678	26,253	(49,425)
Total revenues	<u>2,469,804</u>	<u>2,460,260</u>	<u>2,315,681</u>	<u>(144,579)</u>
EXPENDITURES:				
Current:				
General government:				
Salaries and employee benefits	82,292	84,720	79,825	(4,895)
Services and supplies	67,466	67,721	61,990	(5,731)
Other charges	73,339	75,871	9,238	(66,633)
Capital assets	505	1,148	520	(628)
Intrafund transfers	(46,412)	(48,445)	(47,678)	767
Appropriation for contingencies	20,000	6,282	-	(6,282)
Total general government	<u>197,190</u>	<u>187,297</u>	<u>103,895</u>	<u>(83,402)</u>
Public protection:				
Salaries and employee benefits	720,938	723,396	708,800	(14,596)
Services and supplies	304,287	315,007	301,321	(13,686)
Other charges	44,096	44,433	34,790	(9,643)
Capital assets	5,332	9,473	5,209	(4,264)
Intrafund transfers	(6,790)	(6,057)	(7,103)	(1,046)
Total public protection	<u>1,067,863</u>	<u>1,086,252</u>	<u>1,043,017</u>	<u>(43,235)</u>
Health and sanitation:				
Salaries and employee benefits	210,766	214,468	192,338	(22,130)
Services and supplies	90,913	96,880	86,919	(9,961)
Other charges	197,250	207,136	181,617	(25,519)
Capital assets	894	1,425	536	(889)
Intrafund transfers	(69,765)	(69,082)	(73,085)	(4,003)
Total health and sanitation	<u>\$ 430,058</u>	<u>\$ 450,827</u>	<u>\$ 388,325</u>	<u>\$ (62,502)</u>

(Continued)

The notes to the basic financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Budgetary Comparison Statement
General Fund (Continued)
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variance With
	Original	Final	Amounts	Final Budget Over (Under)
Public assistance:				
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 248,402	\$ 248,402	\$ 240,445	\$ (7,957)
Services and supplies	78,177	78,181	67,934	(10,247)
Other charges	434,150	435,961	426,949	(9,012)
Capital assets	100	115	19	(96)
Intrafund transfers	(294)	(294)	(290)	4
Total public assistance	760,535	762,365	735,057	(27,308)
Education:				
Salaries and employee benefits	289	289	279	(10)
Services and supplies	304	299	285	(14)
Total education	593	588	564	(24)
Recreation and culture:				
Services and supplies	-	419	328	(91)
Other charges	-	139	18	(121)
Capital assets	-	1	-	(1)
Total recreation and culture	-	559	346	(213)
Debt service:				
Principal	80,191	36,144	14,464	(21,680)
Interest	4,997	4,997	5,112	115
Total debt service	85,188	41,141	19,576	(21,565)
Capital outlay	-	-	1,721	1,721
Total expenditures	2,541,427	2,529,029	2,292,501	(236,528)
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	(71,623)	(68,769)	23,180	91,949
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers in	-	92,297	92,297	-
Transfers out	-	(96,547)	(96,547)	-
Capital leases	-	-	1,721	1,721
Total other financing sources (uses)	-	(4,250)	(2,529)	1,721
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	(71,623)	(73,019)	20,651	93,670
Fund balance, beginning of year	336,598	336,598	336,598	-
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	\$ 264,975	\$ 263,579	\$ 357,249	\$ 93,670

The notes to the basic financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Budgetary Comparison Schedule
Transportation Special Revenue Fund
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variance with
	Original	Final	Amounts	Final Budget
				Over (Under)
REVENUES:				
Taxes	\$ 5,100	\$ 5,100	\$ 6,492	\$ 1,392
Licenses, permits, and franchise fees	2,530	2,530	2,324	(206)
Use of money and property:				
Investment earnings (loss)	443	443	(243)	(686)
Aid from other governmental agencies:				
Federal	17,650	17,650	28,609	10,959
State	44,466	44,466	41,025	(3,441)
Other	5,514	5,514	4,115	(1,399)
Charges for services	76,085	58,711	41,175	(17,536)
Other revenue	5,458	4,558	22,566	18,008
Total revenues	<u>157,246</u>	<u>138,972</u>	<u>146,063</u>	<u>7,091</u>
EXPENDITURES:				
Current:				
Public protection	7,530	6,445	5,545	(900)
Public ways and facilities	152,048	154,598	161,842	7,244
Debt service:				
Principal	-	597	568	(29)
Interest	-	49	46	(3)
Total expenditures	<u>159,578</u>	<u>161,689</u>	<u>168,001</u>	<u>6,312</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	(2,332)	(22,717)	(21,938)	779
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers in	-	18,763	18,763	-
Transfers out	-	(6,088)	(6,088)	-
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>-</u>	<u>12,675</u>	<u>12,675</u>	<u>-</u>
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	(2,332)	(10,042)	(9,263)	779
Fund balance, beginning of year	<u>103,565</u>	<u>103,565</u>	<u>103,565</u>	<u>-</u>
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 101,233</u>	<u>\$ 93,523</u>	<u>\$ 94,302</u>	<u>\$ 779</u>

The notes to the basic financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Budgetary Comparison Statement
Flood Control Special Revenue Fund
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variance with
	Original	Final	Amounts	Final Budget Over (Under)
REVENUES:				
Taxes	\$ 40,182	\$ 40,182	\$ 40,226	\$ 44
Use of money and property:				
Investment earnings (loss)	1,507	1,507	(217)	(1,724)
Rents and concessions	170	170	180	10
Aid from other governmental agencies:				
Federal	1	1	-	(1)
State	589	589	619	30
Charges for services	4,261	4,261	5,946	1,685
Other revenue	17,543	17,543	14,937	(2,606)
Total revenues	<u>64,253</u>	<u>64,253</u>	<u>61,691</u>	<u>(2,562)</u>
EXPENDITURES:				
Current:				
Public protection	<u>161,047</u>	<u>160,847</u>	<u>62,825</u>	<u>(98,022)</u>
Total expenditures	<u>161,047</u>	<u>160,847</u>	<u>62,825</u>	<u>(98,022)</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	(96,794)	(96,594)	(1,134)	95,460
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers out	<u>-</u>	<u>(200)</u>	<u>(200)</u>	<u>-</u>
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>-</u>	<u>(200)</u>	<u>(200)</u>	<u>-</u>
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	(96,794)	(96,794)	(1,334)	95,460
Fund balance, beginning of year	<u>256,259</u>	<u>256,259</u>	<u>256,259</u>	<u>-</u>
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 159,465</u>	<u>\$ 159,465</u>	<u>\$ 254,925</u>	<u>\$ 95,460</u>

The notes to the basic financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

Statement of Net Position

Proprietary Funds

June 30, 2013

(Dollars in Thousands)

	Business-type Activities - Enterprise Funds					Governmental Activities
	Regional Medical Center	Waste Management	Housing Authority	Other	Total	Internal Service Funds
ASSETS:						
Current assets:						
Cash and investments (Note 4)	\$ 10	\$ 110,809	\$ 29,113	\$ 2,657	\$ 142,589	\$ 228,774
Accounts receivable - net (Notes 1 and 6)	56,411	3,723	180	303	60,617	3,192
Interest receivable (Note 6)	-	83	-	4	87	103
Taxes receivable (Note 6)	-	-	-	14	14	-
Due from other governments (Note 6)	113,039	5,252	2,164	14	120,469	547
Due from other funds (Note 7)	9,050	-	-	-	9,050	112
Inventories	8,178	240	-	-	8,418	3,479
Land held for sale	-	-	34,368	-	34,368	-
Prepaid items and deposits	5,386	-	4	-	5,390	368
Restricted cash and investments (Notes 4 and 5)	53,804	52,153	28,374	2,719	137,050	-
Total current assets	245,878	172,260	94,203	5,711	518,052	236,575
Noncurrent assets:						
Loans Receivable (Note 6)	-	-	72,037	-	72,037	-
Pension asset, net (Note 20)	-	648	-	-	648	-
Capital assets (Note 8):						
Nondepreciable assets	66,256	23,635	5,303	-	95,194	896
Depreciable assets	119,062	51,580	9,428	28	180,098	31,788
Total noncurrent assets	185,318	75,863	86,768	28	347,977	32,684
Total assets	431,196	248,123	180,971	5,739	866,029	269,259
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OR RESOURCES:						
Defeasance of debt (Note 15)	-	-	347	-	347	-
Total deferred outflows of resources	-	-	347	-	347	-
LIABILITIES:						
Current liabilities:						
Cash overdrawn (Note 4)	21,647	-	-	-	21,647	-
Accounts payable	11,661	2,606	135	2,840	17,242	31,961
Salaries and benefits payable	12,049	723	-	27	12,799	3,945
Due to other governments	96,836	5	847	-	97,688	8
Due to other funds (Note 7)	306	-	-	12	318	67
Interest payable	864	-	6	-	870	-
Deposits payable	-	38	-	51	89	-
Other liabilities	-	570	954	174	1,698	591
Accrued closure and post-closure costs (Notes 10 and 2)	-	6,978	-	-	6,978	-
Accrued remediation costs (Note 23)	-	834	-	-	834	-
Compensated absences (Notes 1 and 14)	13,341	1,042	116	15	14,514	4,972
Capital lease obligations (Note 14)	3,946	-	-	-	3,946	6,952
Bonds payable (Note 14)	10,530	-	145	-	10,675	-
Estimated claims liabilities (Notes 14 and 17)	-	-	-	-	-	22,933
Total current liabilities	171,180	12,796	2,203	3,119	189,298	71,429
Noncurrent liabilities:						
Compensated absences (Note 2)	6,873	1,564	1,042	69	9,548	4,128
Advances from other funds (Note 7)	-	-	-	-	-	3,342
Accrued closure and post closure care costs (Note 10)	-	47,147	-	-	47,147	-
Accrued remediation costs (Note 10 & 23)	-	35,831	-	-	35,831	-
Capital lease obligations (Notes 1 and 2)	3,277	-	-	-	3,277	5,148
Bonds payable (Note 14)	132,150	-	885	-	133,035	-
Notes payable	-	-	6,795	-	6,795	-
Estimated claims liabilities (Notes 14 and 17)	-	-	-	-	-	107,986
OPEB obligation, net (Note 22)	-	113	-	-	113	-
Other long-term liabilities (Note 14)	61,113	-	-	-	61,113	-
Total noncurrent liabilities	203,413	84,655	8,722	69	296,859	120,604
Total liabilities	374,593	97,451	10,925	3,188	486,157	192,033
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES:						
Grants received in advance (Note 15)	-	-	722	-	722	-
Service concession arrangement (Note 9)	-	8,396	-	-	8,396	-
Total deferred inflows of resources	-	8,396	722	-	9,118	-
NET POSITION:						
Net investment in capital assets	35,415	75,215	7,936	28	118,594	20,584
Restricted for debt service	53,609	-	-	-	53,609	-
Restricted for health and sanitation	-	10,308	-	-	10,308	-
Restricted other	193	-	30,463	41	30,697	-
Unrestricted	(32,614)	56,753	131,272	2,482	157,893	56,642
Total net position	\$ 56,603	\$ 142,276	\$ 169,671	\$ 2,551	371,101	\$ 77,226
Adjustments to reflect the consolidation of internal service fund activities related to enterprise funds					(69,309)	
Net position of business-type activities					\$ 301,792	

The notes to the basic financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Position
Proprietary Funds
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Business-type Activities - Enterprise Funds					Governmental Activities
	Regional Medical Center	Waste Management	Housing Authority	Other	Total	Internal Service Funds
OPERATING REVENUES:						
Net patient revenue (Notes 1 and 18)	\$ 392,069	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 392,069	\$ -
Charges for services	34,442	56,436	7,626	1,843	100,347	206,364
Other revenue	23,829	1,866	82,389	247	108,331	34,291
Total operating revenues	450,340	58,302	90,015	2,090	600,747	240,655
OPERATING EXPENSES:						
Cost of material used	-	212	-	-	212	1,851
Personnel services	250,284	15,565	8,906	1,031	275,786	74,374
Communications	2,166	222	-	-	2,388	3,995
Insurance	5,996	196	366	-	6,558	8,736
Maintenance of building and equipment	10,584	1,239	827	99	12,749	15,822
Insurance claims	-	-	-	-	-	108,391
Supplies	78,024	1,831	-	24	79,879	30,024
Purchased services	74,098	16,112	-	891	91,101	18,859
Depreciation and amortization	9,275	5,181	1,306	12	15,774	10,624
Rents and leases of equipment	3,872	47	-	8	3,927	51,280
Public assistance	-	5	71,614	-	71,619	-
Utilities	3,530	283	612	114	4,539	1,684
Remediation costs (recovery)	-	(764)	-	-	(764)	-
Other	11,142	12,056	6,679	34	29,911	4,858
Total operating expenses	448,971	52,185	90,310	2,213	593,679	330,498
Operating income (loss)	1,369	6,117	(295)	(123)	7,068	(89,843)
NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES):						
Investment income (loss)	(47)	96	(87)	5	(33)	(259)
Interest expense	(13,214)	-	(368)	-	(13,582)	(1,500)
Gain (loss) on disposal of capital assets	(36)	(45)	-	-	(81)	18
Other nonoperating revenues / (expenses)	-	-	-	-	-	(8)
Extraordinary items, net gain	-	-	154,589	-	154,589	-
Total nonoperating revenues (expenses)	(13,297)	51	154,134	5	140,893	(1,749)
Income (loss) before capital contributions and transfers	(11,928)	6,168	153,839	(118)	147,961	(91,592)
Premium contributions	698	-	-	-	698	78,627
Transfers in	5,000	-	-	-	5,000	6,487
Transfers out	(3,579)	(215)	(157)	-	(3,951)	(5,301)
CHANGE IN NET POSITION	(9,809)	5,953	153,682	(118)	149,708	(11,779)
Net position, beginning of the year, as previously reported	67,233	136,323	15,989	2,669		89,005
Adjustments to beginning net position (Note 3)	(821)	-	-	-		-
Net position, beginning of the year	66,412	136,323	15,989	2,669		89,005
NET POSITION, END OF YEAR	\$ 56,603	\$ 142,276	\$ 169,671	\$ 2,551		\$ 77,226
Adjustment to reflect the consolidation of internal service fund activities related to enterprise funds					(13,252)	
Change in net position of business-type activities					\$ 136,456	

The notes to the basic financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Statement of Cash Flows
Proprietary Funds
For the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Business-type Activities - Enterprise Funds					Governmental Activities
	Regional Medical Center	Waste Management	Housing Authority	Other	Total	Internal Service Funds
Cash flows from operating activities						
Cash receipts from customers / other funds	\$ 393,842	\$ 58,441	\$ 88,283	\$ 2,077	\$ 542,643	\$ 241,321
Cash paid to suppliers for goods and services	(159,171)	(21,986)	(79,576)	(1,147)	(261,880)	(230,239)
Cash paid to employees for services	(247,581)	(14,892)	(8,909)	(1,050)	(272,432)	(73,895)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(12,910)	21,563	(202)	(120)	8,331	(62,813)
Cash flows from noncapital financing activities						
Other Non-Operating Expenses	-	-	-	-	-	(8)
Transfers received	5,000	-	-	-	5,000	6,487
Transfers paid	(3,579)	(215)	(157)	-	(3,951)	(5,301)
Net cash provided by (used in) noncapital financing activities	1,421	(215)	(157)	-	1,049	1,178
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities						
Acquisition of Assets from RDA dissolution	-	-	(106,405)	-	(106,405)	-
Gain (Loss) on Disposal of Capital Assets	(36)	(45)	154,589	-	154,508	18
Acquisition and construction of capital assets	(6,998)	(13,152)	(1,243)	-	(21,393)	(7,648)
Principal paid on capital leases	(4,832)	-	-	-	(4,832)	(3,000)
Premium contributions	698	-	-	-	698	78,627
Acquisition on bonds payable	22,367	-	282	-	22,649	-
Interest paid on long-term debt	(12,759)	-	(369)	-	(13,128)	(1,500)
Net cash provided by (used in) capital and related financing activities	(1,560)	(13,197)	46,854	-	32,097	66,497
Cash flows from investing activities						
Interest received on investments	(47)	93	(87)	5	(36)	(259)
Net cash provided by investing activities	(47)	93	(87)	5	(36)	(259)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(13,096)	8,244	46,408	(115)	41,441	4,603
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	45,263	154,718	11,079	5,491	216,551	224,171
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	<u>\$ 32,167</u>	<u>\$ 162,962</u>	<u>\$ 57,487</u>	<u>\$ 5,376</u>	<u>\$ 257,992</u>	<u>\$ 228,774</u>

The notes to the basic financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Statement of Cash Flows
Proprietary Funds
For the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Business-type Activities - Enterprise Funds					Governmental Activities
	Regional Medical Center	Waste Management	Housing Authority	Other	Total	Internal Service Funds
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities						
Operating income (loss)	\$ 1,369	\$ 6,117	\$ (295)	\$ (123)	\$ 7,068	\$ (89,843)
Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities						
Depreciation and amortization	9,275	5,181	1,306	12	15,774	10,624
Decrease (Increase) accounts receivable	(871)	347	(76)	(46)	(646)	(224)
Decrease (Increase) due from other funds	(8,283)	4	-	-	(8,279)	88
Decrease (Increase) due from other governments	(47,344)	(212)	(1,309)	33	(48,832)	802
Decrease (Increase) deferred outflow of resources	-	-	(347)	-	(347)	-
Decrease (Increase) inventories	(727)	34	-	-	(693)	143
Decrease (Increase) prepaid items and deposits	846	-	(2)	-	844	79
Increase (Decrease) accounts payable	(1,070)	196	135	63	(676)	14,428
Increase (Decrease) due to other funds	79	-	-	10	89	(19)
Increase (Decrease) due to other governments	30,077	-	829	(10)	30,896	(50)
Increase (Decrease) deposits payable	-	-	-	2	2	-
Increase (Decrease) accrued closure costs	-	1,588	-	-	1,588	-
Increase (Decrease) accrued remediation costs	-	(764)	-	-	(764)	-
Increase (Decrease) other liabilities	1,036	3	(1,162)	(42)	(165)	199
Increase (Decrease) estimated claims liability	-	-	-	-	-	481
Increase (Decrease) deferred inflows of resources	-	8,396	722	-	9,118	-
Increase (Decrease) salaries and benefits payable	710	30	-	(11)	729	87
Increase (Decrease) compensated absences	1,993	96	(3)	(8)	2,078	392
Decrease (Increase) pension assets, net	-	547	-	-	547	-
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	<u>\$ (12,910)</u>	<u>\$ 21,563</u>	<u>\$ (202)</u>	<u>\$ (120)</u>	<u>\$ 8,331</u>	<u>\$ (62,813)</u>
Noncash investing, capital, and financing activities:						
Capital lease obligations	<u>\$ 611</u>					<u>\$ 4,604</u>
Acquisition of Assets from RDA dissolution			<u>\$ 106,405</u>			

The notes to the basic financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Statement of Fiduciary Net Position
Fiduciary Funds
June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Pension Trust	Investment Trust	Private- Purpose Trust	Agency Funds
ASSETS:				
Cash and investments (Note 4)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 155,261	\$ 226,773
Federal agency	18,211	2,172,800	-	-
Cash and equivalent	2,645	315,575	-	-
Commercial paper	1,272	151,761	-	-
Negotiable CDs	663	79,052	-	-
Municipal bonds	211	25,151	-	-
Bond - U.S. Treasury	3,262	389,144	-	-
Local agency obligation	3	307	-	-
Accounts receivable	113	12,596	1,307	47
Interest receivable	-	1,454	45	38
Taxes receivable	-	31	-	36,133
Due from other governments	-	-	1,816	350
Due from other funds	-	-	5	-
Advance to other funds	-	-	5,517	-
Land held for sale	-	-	50,468	-
Total assets	<u>26,380</u>	<u>3,147,871</u>	<u>214,419</u>	<u>263,341</u>
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES:				
Deferred charge on refunding	-	-	5,351	-
LIABILITIES:				
Accounts payable	-	-	17,725	132,561
Salaries and benefits payable	-	-	-	6
Due to other governments	-	-	2,600	130,774
Note payable	-	-	790,643	-
Interest payable	-	-	9,791	-
Accreted interest payable	-	-	3,713	-
Other long-term liabilities	-	-	5,690	-
Total liabilities	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>830,162</u>	<u>\$ 263,341</u>
NET POSITION:				
Held in trust for pension benefits, external pool participants, and other purposes	<u>\$ 26,380</u>	<u>\$ 3,147,871</u>	<u>\$ (610,392)</u>	

The notes to the basic financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position
Fiduciary Funds
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Pension Trust	Investment Trust	Private- Purpose Trust
ADDITIONS:			
Employer contributions	\$ 946	\$ -	\$ -
Employee contributions	1,332	-	-
Contributions to pooled investments	-	21,594,435	-
Contributions to private-purpose trust	-	-	23,253
Investment income	-	-	43
Total additions	<u>2,278</u>	<u>21,594,435</u>	<u>23,296</u>
DEDUCTIONS:			
Distributions from pension trust	-	-	-
Distributions from pooled investments	-	21,161,499	-
Distributions from private-purpose trust	-	-	85,180
Administrative and other expenses	24	-	-
Total deductions	<u>24</u>	<u>21,161,499</u>	<u>85,180</u>
Change in net position	2,254	432,936	(61,884)
Net position held in trust, beginning of the year	<u>24,126</u>	<u>2,714,935</u>	<u>(548,508)</u>
Net position held in trust, end of the year	<u><u>\$ 26,380</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 3,147,871</u></u>	<u><u>\$ (610,392)</u></u>

The notes to the basic financial statements are an integral part of this statement.



(This Page Intentionally Left Blank)

**BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-
NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL
STATEMENTS**

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements
June 30, 2013

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Reporting Entity

The County of Riverside (the County) is a legal subdivision of the State of California charged with general governmental powers. The County's powers are exercised through a five member Board of Supervisors (the Board), which, as the governing body of the County, is responsible for the legislative and executive control of the County. Services provided by the County include general government, public protection, public ways and facilities, health and sanitation, public assistance, education, and recreation and culture.

Component Units are legally separate organizations for which the elected officials of the County are either financially accountable or for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the County are such that exclusion would cause the County's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete. Blended component units, although legally separate entities, are, in substance, part of the County's operations. The discretely presented component unit is reported in a separate column in the government-wide financial statements to emphasize that it is legally separate from the County.

In conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, the financial statements of thirteen component units have been included and combined with financial data of the County. Eleven component units have an integral relationship with and serve as an extension of the County. Using the criteria of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 61, *The Financial Reporting Entity*, management has determined that each entity is presented as a blended component unit due to the composition of each governing board and the control of the day-to-day activities through the budget process. Two component units are presented discretely. Each blended and discretely presented component unit has a June 30 fiscal year-end.

Blended Component Units

Housing Authority of the County of Riverside (Housing Authority) The Board is the governing body of the Housing Authority. Among its duties, it approves the Housing Authority's budget, rates and charges for the use of facilities, and appoints the management. The Housing Authority is reported as a proprietary fund type.

Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (Flood Control) The Board is the governing body of Flood Control. Among its duties, it approves Flood Control's budget, tax rates, contracts, and appoints the management. Flood Control is reported as both governmental and proprietary fund types.

Riverside County Regional Park and Open-Space District (Park District) The Board is the governing board of the Park District. Among its duties, it approves the Park District's budget, contracts, fees and charges for park use, and appoints the management. The Park District is reported as both governmental and fiduciary fund types.

County of Riverside Asset Leasing Corporation (CORAL) The Board appoints the governing board of CORAL and CORAL provides services entirely to the County through the purchase of land and construction of facilities, which are then leased back to the County. CORAL is reported as a governmental fund type.

Riverside County Service Areas (CSAs) The Board is the governing body of the CSAs. Among its duties, it approves the CSAs' budgets, approves parcel fees, and appoints the management. The CSAs are reported as either governmental or proprietary fund types.

Riverside County Public Financing Authority (Public Financing Authority) The Board is the governing body of the Public Financing Authority. The Public Financing Authority was formed for the purpose of assisting in financing public improvements of the County, the Riverside County Redevelopment Successor Agency and other local agencies. The Public Financing Authority is reported as a governmental fund type.

County of Riverside District Court Financing Corporation (District Corporation) The Board is the governing body of the District Corporation. The District Corporation assists the County by providing for the acquisition, construction and renovation of U.S. District Court facilities. The District Corporation is reported as a governmental fund type.

County of Riverside Bankruptcy Court Corporation (Bankruptcy Court) The Board is the governing body of the Bankruptcy Court. The Bankruptcy Court assists the County by providing for the acquisition, construction and renovation of public facilities and improvements. The Bankruptcy Court is reported as a governmental fund type.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Blended Components (Continued)

In-home Support Services Public Authority (IHSS PA) The Board is the governing body of the IHSS PA. The IHSS PA acts as the employer of record for purposes of collective bargaining for Riverside In-home Supportive Services providers and performs other IHSS PA functions as required and retained by the County. The IHSS PA is reported as a governmental fund type.

Perris Valley Cemetery District (the District) The Board is the governing body of the District. The District is a public cemetery district operating under the provisions of the Health and Safety Code of the State of California. The District was created in July 1927 for the purpose of operating a public cemetery for the residents of Perris Valley. The District is reported as a governmental fund type.

Inland Empire Tobacco Securitization Authority (the Authority) The Board appoints two of the three members of the governing board of the Authority. The San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors appoints the third member. The Authority was created by a Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement (the Agreement) effective as of July 18, 2007 between Riverside County and San Bernardino County. The Authority was created for the purpose of securitizing the payments to be received by the County of Riverside from the nation-wide Tobacco Settlement Agreement (the Payments) for such purposes, but not limited to, issuance, sale, execution and delivery of bonds secured by those Payments or the lending of money based on thereof, or to securitize, sell, purchase or otherwise dispose of some or all of such Payments of the County. The Authority is a blended component unit of the County because the Authority is providing services solely to the County and the County's Board has the ability to impose its will by removing the Authority's governing Board at will. The Authority is reported as a governmental fund type.

Discretely Presented Component Units

Riverside County Children and Families Commission (the Commission) The Riverside County Board of Supervisors established First 5 Riverside, also known as Riverside County Children and Families Commission, in 1999 under the provisions of the California Children and Families Act of 1998. The Commission was formed to develop, adopt, promote, and implement early childhood development programs.

A governing Board of nine members, that administers the Commission, is appointed by the County Board of Supervisors. The Commission includes one member of the County Board of Supervisors. The Commission is a component unit of the County because the County's Board has the ability to remove some of the Commission's governing Board at will. It is discretely presented because its governing body is not substantially the same as the County's governing body and it does not provide services entirely or exclusively to the County.

Palm Desert Financing Authority The Palm Desert Financing Authority (the Authority) is a joint powers authority between the County of Riverside and Palm Desert Successor Agency (the Agency) established on January 1, 2002 under Section 6502 of the Joint Powers Act, California Government Code Section 6500. The County and the Agency agreed to create the Authority for the purpose of establishing a vehicle to reduce local borrowing costs, promote greater use of existing and new financial instruments and mechanisms, and assist local agencies in the financing of public capital improvements. Although the Authority is a legally separate entity, in substance under Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement (GASB) Statement No. 61, the County is financially accountable for the Authority's issuance of the lease revenue bond that is under the Authority's management (2008 Series A).

The Authority's Commission is the governing body of the Authority, which consists of the County Executive Officer, one member of the County Board of Supervisors, the Executive Director of the Agency and a member of the Agency's Board. It is discretely presented because its governing body is not substantially the same as the County's governing body.

Additional detailed financial information for each of the discretely presented component units can be obtained from the Auditor-Controller's Office at the Robert T. Anderson Administrative Center, 4080 Lemon Street - 11th Floor, P.O. Box 1326, Riverside, CA 92502-1326.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Presentation of Financial Information Related to County Fiduciary Responsibilities

The basic financial statements also include an Investment Trust fund to account for cash and investments held by the County Treasurer for numerous self-governed school and special districts. The financial reporting for these governmental entities, which are independent of the County, is limited to the total amount of cash and investments and other assets. School and special district boards that are separately elected and that are independent of the County Board of Supervisors, administer activities of the school districts and special districts. The County Auditor-Controller makes disbursements upon the request of the responsible self-governed special district officers. The Board has no effective authority to govern, manage, approve budgets, assume financial accountability, establish revenue limits, or appropriate surplus funds available in these entities. Therefore, these entities are fiscally independent of the County. Twenty-eight cities and numerous self-governed special districts provide services to the residents of the County. The operations of these entities have been excluded from the basic financial statements since each entity conducts its own day-to-day operations and is controlled by its own governing board.

Basis of Presentation

Government-wide Financial Statements

The statement of net position and statement of activities display information about the primary government (the County) and its component units. These statements include the financial activities of the overall government, excluding fiduciary activities. These statements distinguish between the *governmental* and *business-type activities* of the County and between the County and its discretely presented component units. Governmental activities, which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from business-type activities that rely, to a significant extent, on fees charged to external parties.

The statement of activities presents a comparison between direct expenses and program revenues for each segment of the business-type activities of the County and for each function of the County's governmental activities. Direct expenses are those that are specifically associated with a program or function and, therefore, are clearly identifiable to a particular function. Expenses by function have been adjusted for any internal service profit/loss existing at fiscal year-end. In addition, 32.8% or \$14.4 million, of the County's \$43.8 million indirect costs, allocated through the Countywide Cost Allocation Program (COWCAP), have been included in the expenses of those functions which can obtain reimbursement through State and Federal Programs or other charges. Program revenues include (1) charges paid by the recipients of goods or services offered by the programs and (2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular program. Revenues that are not classified as program revenues, including all taxes, are presented instead as general revenues.

Fund Financial Statements

The fund financial statements provide information about the County's funds, including fiduciary funds and blended component units. Separate statements for each fund category – *governmental*, *proprietary*, and *fiduciary* – are presented. The emphasis of fund financial statements is on major governmental and enterprise funds, each displayed in a separate column. All remaining governmental and enterprise funds are separately aggregated and reported as nonmajor funds.

Proprietary funds distinguish *operating* revenues and expenses from *nonoperating* items. Proprietary fund *operating* revenues, such as charges for services, result from exchange transactions associated with the principal activity of the fund. Exchange transactions are those in which each party receives and gives up essentially equal values. *Nonoperating* revenues, such as subsidies and investment earnings, result from nonexchange transactions or ancillary activities. *Operating* expenses include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All expenses not meeting this definition are reported as *nonoperating* expenses.

The County reports the following major governmental funds:

General Fund is the County's primary operating fund. It is used to account for all revenues and expenditures necessary to carry out the basic governmental activities of the County that are not accounted for through other funds. For the County, the general fund includes such activities as general government, public protection, health and sanitation, public assistance, education, and recreation and culture services.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Basis of Presentation (Continued)

Transportation Fund accounts for revenue consist primarily of the County's share of highway user taxes and are supplemented by Federal funds, vehicle code fines, and fees and reimbursements for engineering services provided. The fund was established to provide for maintenance and construction of roadways and for specialized engineering services to other governmental units and the public.

Flood Control Special Revenue Fund accounts for revenues and expenditures related to providing flood control in various geographical zones. The fund is primarily financed by ad valorem property taxes, developer fees, and local cooperative agreements.

Teeter Debt Service Fund accounts for revenue from collection of delinquent taxes, which is then used to pay principal of the debt issued to finance the Teeter Plan.

Public Facilities Improvements Capital Project Fund accounts for revenues and expenditures related to the acquisition and construction of public buildings and park or recreational facilities. Revenues are obtained from State funding, sale of capital assets, contributions, and from other funds when allocated by the Board of Supervisors.

The County reports the following major enterprise funds:

Regional Medical Center (RMC) accounts for the maintenance of physical plant facilities and quality care to all patients in accordance with accreditation standards, the bylaws, rules and regulations of the medical staff, and the RMC. Revenue for this fund is primarily from charges for services, and secondarily from the County's general fund.

Waste Management Department (Waste Management) accounts for solid waste revenues, expenses, and the allocation of net income for solid waste projects initiated for the public's benefit. The fund facilitates management and accounting of solid waste projects. Waste Management prepares and maintains the County's Solid Waste Management Plan, provides environmental monitoring in accordance with State and Federal mandates, and administers landfill closure and acquisition.

Housing Authority was established to provide affordable, decent, safe housing opportunities to low and moderated income families including elderly and handicapped persons, while supporting programs to foster economic self-sufficiency.

The County reports the following additional fund types:

Internal Service Funds account for the County's records management and archives, fleet services, information services, printing services, supply services, purchasing, Riverside County Information Technology (RCIT) Enterprise Solutions Division project (accounting, purchasing, and human resources information system), risk management, temporary assistance pool, custodial services, maintenance services, real estate, and flood control equipment on a cost-reimbursement basis. Internal Service Funds are presented in summary form as part of the proprietary fund financial statements. In the government-wide financial statements, the changes in net position at the end of the fiscal year, as presented in the statements of activities, were allocated to the functions of both the governmental and business-type activities, to reflect the entire activity for the year. Since the predominant users of the internal services are the County's governmental activities, the asset and liability balances of the internal service funds are consolidated into the governmental activities column at the government-wide level.

Pension Trust Fund accounts for resources held in trust for the members and beneficiaries of a defined benefit pension plan for County employees not eligible for social security or California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) participation. The County's pension trust fund uses the economic resources measurement focus and accrual basis of accounting.

Investment Trust Fund accounts for the external portion of the County Treasurer's investment pool. External investment pool participants include entities legally separate from the County, such as school and special districts governed by local boards, regional boards, and authorities. This fund accounts for assets, primarily cash and investments, held or invested by the County Treasurer and the related County liability to disburse these monies on demand to the related external entities. The County's investment trust fund uses the economic resources measurement focus and accrual basis of accounting.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Basis of Presentation (Continued)

Private-Purpose Trust Fund accounts for resources held and administered by the County in a fiduciary capacity for individuals, private organizations, or other governments based on trust arrangements. The fund includes the Redevelopment Successor Agency, public guardian conservatorship, public social service foster care, and maintenance and children's trust. The County's private-purpose trust fund uses the economic resources measurement focus and accrual basis of accounting.

Agency Funds account for assets held by the County in a custodial capacity. These funds only involve the receipt, temporary investment, and remittance to individuals, private organizations, or other governments and include property taxes and special assessments collected on behalf of cities, special districts, and other taxing agencies. The County's agency funds have an accrual basis of accounting but no measurement focus.

The government-wide, proprietary, pension trust, investment trust, and private-purpose trust fund financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred, regardless of when the related cash flows take place. Non-exchange transactions, in which the County gives (or receives) value without directly receiving (or giving) equal value in exchange, include property and sales taxes, grants, entitlements, and donations. On an accrual basis of accounting, revenue from property taxes is recognized in the fiscal year for which the taxes are levied. Revenues from sales taxes are recognized when the underlying transactions occur. Revenues from grants, entitlements, and donations are recognized in the fiscal year in which all eligible requirements have been satisfied.

Governmental fund type financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under this method, revenues and other governmental fund type financial resources (e.g., bond issuance proceeds) are recognized when they become both measurable and available. Revenues are considered available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. Property and sales taxes are considered available for the year levied and are accrued when received within sixty days after fiscal year-end. Revenue received from expenditure driven (cost-reimbursement) grants, as defined by GASB Statement No. 33, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Non-exchange Transactions*, are considered available and accrued if expected to be received within twelve months after fiscal year-end. All other revenue streams are considered available and accrued if they are expected to be received within ninety days after the fiscal year-end. Since revenue from these sources are not available to meet current period liabilities, these sources are financed through proceeds received from Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes (TRANs) which are outstanding for a twelve month period. General capital assets acquisitions are reported as expenditures in governmental fund statements. Proceeds of general long-term debt and capital leases are reported as other financing sources.

Reconciliations are presented to explain the adjustments necessary to reconcile the fund financial statements to the government-wide financial statements. These reconciliations are presented because governmental fund financial statements are presented on a different measurement focus and basis of accounting than the government-wide financial statements for governmental activities.

Cash and Investments

The County pools cash resources of its various funds to facilitate the management of cash. Cash applicable to a particular fund is readily identifiable. The balance of the pooled cash account is available to meet current operating requirements. Cash in excess of current requirements is invested in various interest-bearing securities and disclosed as part of the County's pooled investments.

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the County considers all highly liquid investments (including restricted cash and investments) with an original maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

Securities, including U.S. Treasury and Agency securities, are carried at fair value/cost based on current market prices on a monthly basis. Repurchase agreements are carried at fair value based on quoted market prices, except for repurchase agreements maturing within 90 days of June 30, 2013, which are carried at cost. Bond anticipation notes are carried at fair value/cost. Commercial paper is carried at amortized cost/cost. Investments in bankers' acceptances and nonparticipating guaranteed investment contracts are carried at cost. Participating guaranteed

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Basis of Presentation (Continued)

Cash and Investments (Continued)

investment contracts are carried at fair value based on net realizable value. Mutual funds are carried at fair value based on the funds' share price. Local Agency Obligations are carried at cost based on the value of each participating dollar.

The fair value of a participant's position in the pool is not the same as the value of the pooled shares. The method used to determine the value of participants' equity withdrawn is based on the book value, amortized cost, and accrued interest of the participants' percentage participation at the date of such withdrawal.

State law requires that the County Treasurer hold all operating monies of the County, school districts, and certain special districts. Collectively, these mandatory deposits constituted approximately 76.8% of the funds on deposit in the County Treasury. In addition, the Auditor-Controller determined districts and agencies constituting approximately 23.2% of the total funds on deposit in the County Treasury represented discretionary deposits.

Receivables

The RMC accounts receivable are reported at their gross value and, where appropriate, are reduced by contractual allowances and the estimated uncollectible amounts. The estimated allowance for uncollectibles and allowance for contractals are \$245.1 million and \$738 million, respectively. The RMC has contracted with a Medi-Cal managed care plan to provide services to patients enrolled with Medicare and Medi-Cal programs. The RMC receives a fixed monthly premium payment for each patient enrolled. Revenue under this agreement is recognized in the period in which the RMC is required to provide services.

Property Taxes

All jurisdictions within California derive their taxing authority from the State Constitution and various legislative provisions contained in the Government Code and the Revenue and Taxation Code. Property is assessed by the County Assessor and State Board of Equalization at 100.0% of full cash or market value (with some exceptions) pursuant to Article XIII A of the California State Constitution and statutory provisions. The total for fiscal year 2012-13 gross assessed valuation of the County was \$205.1 billion.

The property tax levy to support general operations of the various local government jurisdictions is limited to 1.0% of the full cash value of taxable property and distributed in accordance with statutory formulas.

Amounts needed to finance the annual requirements of voter-approved debt (approved by the electorate prior to June 30, 1978) are excluded from this limitation and are calculated and levied each fiscal year. The rates are formally adopted by either the Board or the city councils and, in some instances, the governing board of a special district.

The County is divided into tax rate areas, which are unique combinations of various jurisdictions servicing a specific geographic area. The rates levied within each tax rate area vary only in relation to levies assessed as a result of voter-approved indebtedness.

Property taxes are levied on both real and personal property and are recorded as receivables at the date of levy. Secured property taxes are levied on or before the first business day of September of each year. These taxes become a lien on real property on January 1 proceeding the fiscal year for which taxes are levied. Tax payments can be made in two equal installments; the first is due November 1 and are delinquent with penalties after December 10; the second is due February 1 and are delinquent with penalties after April 10. Secured property taxes that are delinquent and unpaid as of June 30th are declared to be tax defaulted and are subject to redemption penalties, costs, and interest when paid. If the delinquent taxes are not paid at the end of five (5) years, the property is sold at public auction and the proceeds are used to pay the delinquent amounts due and any excess is remitted, if claimed, to the taxpayer.

Supplemental tax liens are created when there is a change in ownership of property or upon completion of new construction. Tax bills for these new tax liens are issued throughout the fiscal year and contain various payments and delinquent dates but are generally due within one year. If the new tax liens are lower, the taxpayer receives a tax refund rather than a tax bill.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Basis of Presentation (Continued)

Property Taxes (Continued)

Unsecured personal property taxes are not a lien against real property. These taxes are due on January 1, and become delinquent, if unpaid, on August 31.

During fiscal year 1993-94, the County authorized an alternative property tax distribution method referred to as the “Teeter Plan.” This method allows for a 100.0% distribution of the current secured property tax levy to entities electing the alternative method, as compared to the previous method where only the current levy less any delinquent taxes was distributed. This results in the general fund receiving distributions of approximately 50.0-55.0% in December, 40.0-45.0% in April and the remaining balance in the fall of each year. The Teeter Plan also provides that all of the payments of redemption penalties and interest on delinquent secured property taxes of participating agencies flow to a tax loss reserve fund (TLRF). Any amounts on deposit in the TLRF greater than 1.0% of the tax levy for participating entities may flow to the County general fund. For fiscal year 2012-13, \$32 million was transferred from the TLRF to the general fund.

Prepaid Items and Inventories

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items. The prepaid assets recorded in the governmental funds do not reflect current appropriable resources and thus, an equivalent portion of fund balance is reserved.

Inventories, which consist of materials and supplies held for consumption, are valued at the lower of cost (on a first-in, first-out basis) or market in the proprietary funds. Inventories for all governmental funds are valued at average cost. The consumption method is used to account for inventories. Under the consumption method, inventories are recorded as expenditures when consumed rather than when purchased. Material amounts of inventory are reported as assets of the respective fund. Reported inventories of governmental funds are equally offset by a fund balance reservation to indicate that portion of fund balance not available for future appropriation.

Capital Assets

Capital assets (including infrastructure) are recorded at historical cost or at estimated historical cost if actual historical cost is not available. Contributed capital assets are valued at their estimated fair market value on the date contributed. Capital assets include public domain (infrastructure) general capital assets consisting of certain improvements including roads, bridges, traffic signals, park trails and improvements, flood control channels, storm drains, dams, and basins. The capitalization threshold for equipment is \$5.0 thousand; buildings, land and land improvements are \$1.0 dollar; and, infrastructure and intangibles are \$150.0 thousand. Betterments result in more productive, efficient or long-lived assets. Significant betterments are considered capital assets when they result in an improvement of \$2.5 thousand or more.

Capital assets used in operations are depreciated or amortized (assets under capital leases) using the straight-line method over the lesser of the capital lease period or their estimated useful lives in the government-wide financial statements and proprietary funds.

The estimated useful lives are as follows:

Infrastructure	
Flood channels	99 years
Flood storm drains	65 years
Flood dams and basins	99 years
Roads	20 years
Traffic signals	10 years
Parks trails and improvements	20 years
Bridges	50 years
Buildings	25-50 years
Improvements	10-20 years
Equipment	3-20 years

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Basis of Presentation (Continued)

Capital Assets (Continued)

Maintenance and repairs are charged to operations when incurred. Betterments and major improvements, which significantly increase values, change capacities, or extend useful lives, are capitalized. Upon sale or retirement of capital assets, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the respective accounts and any resulting gain or loss is included in the changes in financial position.

Interest is capitalized on construction in progress in the proprietary funds. Interest capitalized is the total interest cost from the date of the borrowing net of any allowable interest carried on temporary investments of the proceeds of those borrowings until the specified asset is ready for its intended use. The RMC capitalizes net interest expense as a cost of property constructed. The RMC capitalized \$37.1 thousand for the year ending June 30, 2013.

Leases

The County leases various assets under both operating and capital lease agreements. For governmental funds, assets under capital leases and the related lease obligations are reported in the government-wide financial statements. For proprietary funds, the assets and related lease obligations are recorded in the appropriate enterprise or internal service fund and the government-wide financial statements.

Restricted Assets

The County maintains various restricted asset accounts as a result of debt agreements and certain State statutes. The agreements authorizing the issuance of CORAL and Housing Authority obligations include certain covenants pertaining to the disposition of bond proceeds for construction, acquisition, and bond redemption purposes. Waste Management has restricted assets to meet requirements of state and federal laws and regulations to finance closure and post-closure maintenance activities at landfill sites. The general fund has restricted assets for program money where use is legally or contractually restricted.

Employee Compensated Absences

County policy permits employees in some bargaining units to accumulate earned, but unused vacation, holiday, and sick pay benefits. Vacation and holiday pay are accrued when incurred. For other bargaining units, annual leave is earned and accrued, but not vacation or sick leave. Proprietary funds report accrued vacation and holiday pay as a liability of the individual fund while governmental funds record amounts that are due and payable at year-end as a liability of the fund and amounts due in the future as a liability in the government-wide financial statements. At June 30, 2013, the amount of accrued vacation, holiday pay, and sick leave reported in the government-wide statement of net position was \$189.6 million.

The County allows unlimited accumulation of sick leave. Upon service retirement, disability retirement, or death of an employee or officer, and subject to the provisions of any applicable agreement between the employing agency and the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS), unused accumulated sick leave for most employees with at least 5 but less than 15 years of service shall be credited at the rate of 50.0% of current salary value thereof provided however, that the total payment shall not exceed a sum equal to 960 hours of full pay.

Unused accumulated sick leave for employees with more than fifteen or more years of service shall be credited at the rate of the current salary value provided however, that the total payment shall not exceed a sum equal to 960 hours of full pay. In addition, the employee may also elect to place the payable amount of sick leave into a VEBA (Voluntary Employee Beneficiary Association) account which may be used for future health care costs.

Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources

Pursuant to GASB Statement Number 63 and GASB Statement Number 65, the County recognizes deferred outflows and inflows of resources. The deferred outflow of resources is defined as a consumption of net position by the government that is applicable to the future reporting period. A deferred inflow of resources is defined as an acquisition of net position by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period. A deferred inflow of resources is defined as an acquisition of net position by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period. Refer to Note 15 for a detailed listing of the deferred inflows of resources the County has recognized.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Basis of Presentation (Continued)

Long-term Debt

The County reports long-term debt of governmental funds in the government-wide statement of net position. Certain other governmental fund obligations not expected to be financed with current available financial resources are also reported in the government-wide statement of net position. Long-term debt and other obligations financed by proprietary funds are reported as liabilities in the appropriate proprietary fund and the government-wide statement of net position.

In the government-wide financial statements and proprietary fund financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the applicable governmental activities, business-type activities, or proprietary fund statement of net position. Bond premiums and discounts, bond issuance costs, and deferred losses on refundings are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the effective interest method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount, and deferred losses on refundings.

In the fund financial statements, governmental funds recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs, during the current period. Issuance costs are reported as debt service expenditures whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received.

Landfill Closure and Post-Closure Care Costs

Waste Management provides for closure and post-closure care costs over the life of the operating landfills as the permitted airspace of the landfill is used. Accordingly, the entire closure and post-closure care cost is recognized as expense by the time the landfills are completely filled. Waste Management also recognizes as expense closure and post-closure care costs for inactive landfills that have been closed under state and federal regulations.

Waste Management, under state and federal regulations, may be required to perform corrective action for contaminate releases at any of its active or inactive landfills. Waste Management provides for remediation costs for landfills upon notification from the local water quality board that a specific landfill is considered to be in the evaluation monitoring phase. Upon notification, Waste Management provides for these costs based on the most recent cost study information available.

Interfund Transactions

Interfund transactions are reflected as loans, services provided reimbursements, or transfers. Loans are reported as receivables and payables, as appropriate. These transactions are subject to elimination upon consolidation and are referred to as either “due to/due from other funds” (the current portion of interfund loans) or “advances to/advances from other funds” (the noncurrent portion of interfund loans). Any residual balances outstanding between the governmental activities and the business-type activities are reported in the government-wide financial statements as “internal balances.” Advances between funds, as reported in the governmental fund financial statements, are offset by a fund balance reserve account in applicable governmental funds to indicate that they are neither available for appropriation nor available as financial resources.

Services provided, deemed to be at market or near market rates, are treated as revenues and expenditures/expenses. Reimbursements occur when one fund incurs a cost, charges the appropriate benefiting fund and reduces its related cost as a reimbursement. All other interfund transactions are treated as transfers. Transfers between governmental or proprietary funds are netted as part of the reconciliation to the government-wide presentation.

Net Position

The government-wide financial statements and proprietary fund financial statements utilize a net position presentation. Net position are categorized as net investment in capital assets, restricted net position, or unrestricted net position.

Net Investment in Capital Assets – This category groups all capital assets, including infrastructure, into one component of net position. Accumulated depreciation and the outstanding balances of debt that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of these assets reduce the balance in this category.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Basis of Presentation (Continued)

Restricted Net Position – This category presents external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, laws and regulations of other governments, or restrictions imposed by law through constitutional provisions or legislation.

Unrestricted Net Position – This category represents net position of the County, not restricted for any project or other purpose.

Fund Equity

In the fund financial statements, fund equity may be categorized as nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned. All of the County's governmental fund balances will be comprised of the following categories:

- Nonspendable fund balance – amounts that cannot be spent because they are either not in spendable form or they are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.
- Restricted fund balance – amounts that are constrained to being used for a specific purpose by external parties such as creditors, grantors, laws, or regulations.
- Committed fund balance – amounts that are committed can only be used for specific purposes determined by formal action from the Board, the County's highest level of decision-making authority.
- Assigned fund balance – amounts that have been set aside and are intended to be used for a specific purpose but are neither restricted nor committed. Authority to assign: assignments within the general fund must be established by the County Executive Officer or an Executive Officer designee. Assigned amounts cannot cause a deficit in unassigned fund balance.
- Unassigned fund balance – funds that are not reported in any other category and are available for any purpose within the general fund.

The Board establishes, modifies or rescinds fund balance commitments and assignments by passage of an ordinance or resolution (ordinances and resolutions are considered of equal authority with respect to fund balance). This is done through adoption of the budget and subsequent budget amendments that occur throughout the year.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the County's policy to use restricted fund balance resource first, followed by the unrestricted resources in the committed and unassigned fund balances, as they are needed.

No formal action is required to remove an assignment. Assignments within the general fund must be established by the County Executive Officer or an Executive Officer designee.

The general fund is the only fund that will have an unassigned fund balance.

Fund Balance Policy

On September 13, 2011, the Board approved Policy B-30, Governmental Fund Balance and Reserve Policy to ensure fund balance is accurately classified and reported on the annual financial statements per GASB Statement No. 54. This policy applies to governmental fund types which include the general fund, special revenue funds, capital projects funds, debt service funds, and permanent funds.

The purpose of this policy is to establish the guidelines for:

- The use of reserves with a restricted purpose versus an unrestricted purpose when both are available for expenditures.
- The establishment of stabilization arrangements for governmental funds.
- The minimum fund balance allowable for governmental funds.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Basis of Presentation (Continued)

Spending Prioritization for Fund Categories

When an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted (committed, assigned, or unassigned) amounts are available, it shall be the policy of the Board to consider restricted amounts to be reduced first. When an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which amounts in any of the unrestricted fund balance classifications could be used, it shall be the policy of the Board that committed amounts would be reduced first, followed by assigned amounts, and then unassigned amounts.

Minimum Fund Balance Policy for Governmental Funds

Establishing guidelines for minimum fund balance for governmental funds is essential to ensuring a prudent level of fund balance is maintained for unanticipated expenditures, delays in revenue receipt, or revenue shortfalls.

Unassigned Fund Balance - General Fund

The County shall maintain a minimum unassigned fund balance in its general fund of at least 25.0% of the fiscal year's estimated discretionary revenue. A significant portion of the minimum unassigned fund balance may be used for one-time or short-term expenditures caused by an economic crisis and should be designated within an "Economic Uncertainty" account. Use of these funds should be as the last resort in balancing the County budget.

During the initial implementation of this policy, the Executive Office will develop a plan to ensure fund balance is at the minimum level within three years. The plan for accomplishing this will be included with the recommended budget submitted to the Board for approval each fiscal year. Following the initial implementation of the policy, if fund balance drops below the established minimum levels, the Executive Office will develop a plan to replenish the balance to established minimum levels within two years and submit the plan to the Board for approval.

Fund Balance - Special Revenue Funds

Special revenue fund balances shall be kept at the higher of the minimum level dictated by the funding source or an amount that does not fall below zero. In the event that the fund balance drops below the established minimum levels, the department with primary responsibility for expending the special revenue will develop a plan to replenish the balance to established minimum levels within two years and submit the plan to the Board for approval.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of basic financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Committed Fund Balance - Disaster Relief

The County shall commit a portion of the general fund for disaster relief. The use of these funds will be restricted to one-time or short-term expenditures that are the result of a natural disaster or act of terrorism. The funds restricted for this purpose shall be at least 2.0% of discretionary revenue or \$15.0 million, whichever is greater.

Current Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statements

Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 60

In November of 2010, GASB issued Statement No. 60, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Service Concession Arrangements*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by addressing issues related to service concession arrangements (SCAs), which are a type of public-private or public-public partnership. As used in this statement, an SCA is an arrangement between a transferor (a government) and an operator (governmental or nongovernmental entity) in which (1) the transferor conveys to an operator the right and related obligation to provide services through the use of infrastructure or another public asset (a "facility") in exchange for significant consideration and (2) the operator collects and is compensated by fees from third parties. The requirements of this statement are effective for financial statements for periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The provisions of this statement generally are required to be applied retroactively for all periods presented.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Basis of Presentation (Continued)

Current Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statements (Continued)

Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 61

In November of 2010, GASB issued Statement No. 61, *The Financial Reporting Entity: Omnibus—an amendment of GASB Statements No. 14 and No. 34*. The objective of this statement is to improve guidance for including, presenting, and disclosing information about component units and equity interest transactions of a financial reporting entity. The requirements of Statement No. 14, *The Financial Reporting Entity*, and the related financial reporting requirements of Statement No. 34, *Basic Financial Statements—and Management’s Discussion and Analysis—for State and Local Governments*, were amended to better meet user needs and to address reporting entity issues that have arisen since the issuance of those statements. The provisions of this statement are effective for financial statements for periods beginning after June 15, 2012.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No.62

In December of 2010, GASB issued Statement No. 62, *Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance*. The codification incorporates into the GASB’s authoritative literature certain accounting and financial reporting guidance that is included in the following pronouncements issued on or before November 30, 1989, which does not conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements:

1. Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statements and Interpretations
2. Accounting Principles Board Opinions
3. Accounting Research Bulletins of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants’ (AICPA) Committee on Accounting Procedure. No new generally accepted accounting principles were released in this statement. Statement No. 62 is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No.63

In June of 2011, GASB issued Statement No. 63, *Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position*. The objective of this statement is to provide financial reporting guidance for deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources. Previously, GASB Concepts Statement No. 4, *Elements of Financial Statements*, introduced and defined those elements as a consumption of net position by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period, and an acquisition of net position by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period, respectively. Previous financial reporting standards do not include guidance for reporting those financial statement elements, which are distinct from assets and liabilities. Concepts Statement No. 4 also identifies net position as the residual of all other elements presented in a statement of financial position. Statement No. 63 amends the net position reporting requirements in Statement No. 34 and other pronouncements by incorporating deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources into the definitions of the required components of the residual measure and by renaming that measure as net position, rather than net assets. Statement No. 63 is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 65

In March of 2012, GASB issued Statement No. 65, *Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities*. The objective of this statement is to establish accounting and financial reporting standards that reclassify, as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources, certain items that were previously reported as assets and liabilities and recognizes, as outflows of resources or inflows of resources, certain items that were previously reported as assets and liabilities. Concept Statement No. 4 introduced and defined the elements included in financial statements, including deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources. In addition, it provides that reporting a deferred outflow of resources or a deferred inflow of resources should be limited to those instances identified by the Board in authoritative pronouncements that are established after applicable due process. GASB Statement No. 65 also provides other financial reporting guidance related to the impact of the financial statement elements deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources. Statement No. 65 is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The County has elected to early implement this statement.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Future Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statements

Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 66

In March of 2012, GASB issued Statement No. 66, *Technical Correction – 2012 – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 10 and No. 62*. The objective of this statement is to improve accounting and financial reporting for a governmental financial reporting entity by resolving conflicting guidance that resulted from the issuance of two pronouncements, Statements No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, and No. 62, *Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre-November 30, 1989 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements*. Statement No. 66 amends Statement No. 10, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Risk Financing and Related Insurance Issues*, by removing the provisions that limits fund based reporting of an entity's risk financing activities to the general fund and the internal service fund type. As a result, it will cause governments to base their decisions about fund type classification on the nature of the activity to be reported. Statement No. 66 also amends Statement No. 62 by modifying the specific guidance on accounting for (1) operating lease payments that vary from a straight-line basis, (2) the difference between the initial investment (purchase price) and the principal amount of a purchased loan or group loans, and (3) servicing fees related to mortgage loans that are sold when the stated service fee rate differs significantly from a current (normal) servicing fee rate. Statement No. 66 is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The County has elected not to early implement this statement.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 67

In June of 2012, GASB issued Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans- an amendment of GASB Statement No. 25*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans. This resulted from a comprehensive review of the effectiveness of existing standards of accounting and financial reporting for pensions with regard to providing decision-useful information, supporting assessments of accountability and interperiod equity, and creating additional transparency. Statement No. 67 amends the requirements of Statement No. 25, *Financial Reporting for Defined Benefit Pension Plans and Note Disclosures for Defined Contribution Plans*, and Statement No. 50, *Pension Disclosures*, as they relate to pension plans that are administered through trusts or equivalent arrangements (hereafter jointly referred to as trusts) that meet certain criteria. Statement No. 67 is effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2013. The County has elected not to early implement this statement.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 68

In June of 2012, GASB issued Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions- an amendment of GASB Statement No. 27*. The objective of this statement is to improve accounting and financial reporting by state and local governments for pensions. The statement also improves information provided by state and local governmental employers about financial support for pensions that is provided by other entities. This resulted from a comprehensive review of the effectiveness of existing standards of accounting and financial reporting for pensions with regard to providing decision-useful information, supporting assessments of accountability and interperiod equity, and creating additional transparency. GASB Statement No. 68 replaces the requirements of GASB Statement No. 27, *Accounting for Pensions by State and Local Governmental Employers*, as well as the requirements of Statement No. 50, *Pension Disclosures*, as they relate to pensions that are provided through pension plans administered as trusts or equivalent arrangements (hereafter jointly referred to as trusts) that meet certain criteria. Statement No. 68 is effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2014. The County has elected not to early implement this statement.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 69

In January of 2013, GASB issued Statement No. 69, *Government Combinations and Disposals of Government Operations*. The objective of this statement is to establish accounting and financial reporting standards related to government combinations and disposals of government operations. As used in this Statement, the term government combinations include a variety of transactions referred to as mergers, acquisitions, and transfers of operations. Statement No. 69 is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2013. The County has elected not to early implement this statement.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Future Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statements (Continued)

Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 70

In October of 2013, GASB issued Statement No. 70, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Non-Exchange Financial Guarantees*. The objective of this Statement is to improve accounting and financial reporting by state and local governments that extend and receive non-exchange financial guarantees. This Statement requires a government that extends a non-exchange financial guarantee to recognize a liability when qualitative factors and historical data, if any, indicate that it is more likely than not that the government will be required to make a payment on the guarantee. Statement No. 70 is effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2013. The County has elected not to early implement this statement.

NOTE 2 – STEWARDSHIP, COMPLIANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY

Budgetary Data

On or before October 2 of each fiscal year, after conducting public hearings concerning the proposed budget, the County Board of Supervisors (the Board) adopts a budget in accordance with the provisions of Sections 29000-29144 and 30200 of the Government Code of the State of California (the Government Code), commonly known as the County Budget Act, and Board Resolution No. 90-338. Annual budgets are adopted on the modified accrual basis of accounting in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Budgeted governmental funds consist of the general fund, major funds, and some nonmajor funds (all special revenue funds, certain debt service funds, and certain capital projects funds). Annual budgets are not adopted for the following debt service funds: CORAL, District Court Financing Corporation, Bankruptcy Court, Inland Empire Tobacco Securitization Authority; the CORAL Capital Projects Fund; RDA Housing Successor Agency; Riverside County Public Financing Authority and the Perris Valley Cemetery Permanent Fund.

As adopted by the Board, expenditures are controlled by the County at the budgetary unit level, which is the organization level, for each appropriation (object) class. The appropriation classes are salaries and benefits, services and supplies, other charges, capital assets, transfers out, and intrafund transfers. The separately prepared Expenditure by Appropriation – Budget and Actual report, showing budgetary comparisons at the object level of control, is available in the Auditor-Controller's Office.

Each year the original budget, as published in a separate report titled the "Adopted Budget," is adjusted to reflect increases or decreases in revenues and changes in fund balance. These changes are offset by an equal change in available appropriations. The County Executive Officer is authorized by the Board to transfer appropriations between appropriation classes within the same budgetary unit. Transfers of appropriations between budgetary units require approval of the Board (legal level of control). Any deficiency of budgeted revenues and other financing sources over expenditures and other financing uses is financed by beginning available fund balances as provided for in the County Budget Act. All annual appropriations lapse at year-end.

Budgetary comparison statements are prepared for the general fund, special revenue funds, certain debt service funds, and certain capital projects funds. The budgetary comparison statements are a part of the basic financial statements. Each budgetary comparison statement provides three separate types of information: (1) the original budget; (2) the final amended budget, which included legally authorized changes regardless of when they occurred; and (3) the actual amount of inflows and outflows in the budget-to-actual comparison.

Excess of expenditures over appropriations

For the year ended June 30, 2013, expenditures exceeded appropriations in capital outlay by \$1.7 million in the general fund. This excess of expenditures resulted from the acquisition of \$1.7 million of capital leases. Accordingly, this is being funded by other financing sources-capital leases. The expenditure exceeded appropriations in Special Revenue Transportation Fund by \$6.3 million. This excess of expenditures resulted from the overestimated reimbursement from other departments within the same fund.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 3 – RESTATEMENTS OF BEGINNING FUND BALANCES / NET POSITION

The County's beginning net position has been restated to reflect the cumulative effect of prior year adjustments. A summary of the restatements as of June 30, 2013 is as follows (in thousands):

Government-wide:

	Primary Government	
	<u>Governmental Activities</u>	<u>Business-Type Activities</u>
Government-wide net position, as of June 30, 2012, as previously reported	\$ 4,275,533	\$ 166,157
Fund financial statements:		
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	-	(821)
Government-wide financial statements:		
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	<u>(10,047)</u>	<u>-</u>
Net position as of June 30, 2012, as restated	<u>\$ 4,265,486</u>	<u>\$ 165,336</u>

Fund Financials:

Description	Proprietary Funds			
	<u>Enterprise Funds</u>			<u>Nonmajor Enterprise Funds</u>
	<u>Regional Medical Center</u>	<u>Waste Management</u>	<u>Housing Authority</u>	<u>Other Enterprise Funds</u>
Net position as of June 30, 2012, as previously reported	\$ 67,233	\$ 136,323	\$ 15,989	\$ 2,669
Prior Period Adjustment:				
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	<u>(821)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Net position, as of June 30, 2012, as restated	<u>\$ 66,412</u>	<u>\$ 136,323</u>	<u>\$ 15,989</u>	<u>\$ 2,669</u>

As of July 1, 2012, the County implemented *GASB Statement No. 65 – Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities* and restated the beginning net position by \$10.9 million to write off the unamortized bond issuance costs that were previously reported as assets. These amounts should be an expense in the year they are incurred. The County classified these items as a cumulative effect of changes in accounting principle in the current fiscal year.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 4 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

As of June 30, 2013, cash and investments are classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows (in thousands):

	Governmental Activities	Business-Type Activities	Discretely Presented Component Unit	Fiduciary Funds	Total
Cash and investments	\$ 1,090,226	\$ 120,942	\$ 43,522	\$ 3,542,091	\$ 4,796,781
Restricted cash and investments	456,157	137,050	12,163	-	605,370
Total cash and investments	<u>\$ 1,546,383</u>	<u>\$ 257,992</u>	<u>\$ 55,685</u>	<u>\$ 3,542,091</u>	<u>\$ 5,402,151</u>

As of June 30, 2013, cash and investments consist of the following (in thousands):

Deposits	\$ 150,997
Investments	<u>5,251,154</u>
Total Cash and Investments	<u>\$ 5,402,151</u>

Investments Authorized by the California Government Code and the County's Investment Policy

The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized for the County by the California Government Code or the County's investment policy, whichever is more restrictive. The table also identifies certain provisions of the California Government Code or the County's investment policy, (where more restrictive that address interest rate, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk.) A copy of the County's investment policy can be found at www.treasurer-tax.co.riverside.ca.us.

Authorized investment type	Maximum Maturity	Maximum Percentage of Portfolio	Maximum Investment in One Issuer
Municipal Bonds (MUNI)	3 Years	15%	5% **
U.S. Treasury	5 Years	100%	N/A
Local Agency Obligations (LAO)	3 Years	2.5%	2.50%
Federal Agencies	5 Years	100%	N/A
Commercial Paper (CP)	270 Days	40%	5% *
Certificate & Time deposits (NCD & TCD)	1 Year	25%	5% *
Repurchase Agreements (REPO)	45 Days	40% / 25%	20%
Reverse Repurchase Agreements ***	60 Days	10%	10%
Medium Term Notes	3 Years	20%	5% *
CalTRUST Short Term Fund	Daily Liquidity	1%	1%
Money Market Mutual Funds	Daily Liquidity	20%	None
Local Agency Investment Fund	Daily Liquidity	Max \$50M	N/A
Cash/Deposit Account	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Maximum of 5% per issuer (rated AAA/Aaa) in combined commercial paper, certificate & time deposits, and medium term notes.

** For credit rated below AA-/Aa3 2% maximum in one issuer only for State of California debt

*** Only for emergency liquidity purposes

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 4 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

Investments Authorized by the California Government Code and the County's Investment Policy (Continued)

As of June 30, 2013, the County and Component Units had the following investments (in thousands):

	Fair Market Value	Interest Rate Range	Maturity	Weighted Average Maturity (Years)	Minimum Legal Rating	Rating (1) June 30, 2013	% of Portfolio
County Treasurer Investments							
Federal Home Loan Bank	\$ 1,133,198	0.100 - 1.500%	07/13 - 06/18	1.35	N/A	AAA/Aaa	22.87%
Federal National Mortgage Association	1,110,332	0.100 - 1.350%	08/13 - 05/18	3.14	N/A	AAA/Aaa	22.41%
Federal Home Loan Mortgage	588,571	0.160 - 1.465%	08/13 - 11/16	1.42	N/A	AAA/Aaa	11.88%
US Treasury Bills and Bonds	615,330	0.156 - 0.255%	09/13 - 07/14	0.62	N/A	AA+/Aaa	12.42%
Federal Farm Credit Bonds	421,335	0.130 - 1.300%	08/13 - 04/16	0.97	N/A	AAA/Aaa	8.50%
Negotiable Certificate of Deposits	125,000	0.140 - 0.160%	07/13 - 09/13	0.17	A1/P1	AA-/Aa1	2.52%
Commercial Paper	239,970	0.120 - 0.180%	07/13 - 08/13	0.08	A1/P1	AA+/Aa2	4.84%
Money Market Mutual Funds	445,000	0.048 - 0.081%	07/13	0.00	AAA	AAA/Aaa	8.98%
Municipal Bonds	39,770	0.300 - 1.190%	11/13 - 05/16	1.42	AA-/Aa3	AA+/Aaa	0.80%
Farmer Mac	182,283	0.180 - 0.750%	01/14 - 04/18	1.25	N/A	N/R	3.68%
CalTRUST	54,000	0.342%	07/13	0.00	N/A	AAA/Aaa	1.09%
Local Agency Obligations	485	0.878%	06/20	6.96	N/A	N/R	0.01%
Total County Treasurer Investments	<u>4,955,274</u>						<u>100.00%</u>
Investments Outside the County Treasury							
Blended Component Unit Investments							
Money Market Mutual Funds (2)	107,099	0.010 - 0.389%	07/13	0.00	AA-/Aa2	AAA/Aaa	37.75%
Investment Agreements	125,637	2.385 - 4.460%	12/14 - 11/36	6.47	AA-/Aa2	AA+/Aaa	44.28%
Money Market Mutual Funds (3)	46,300	0%	N/A	0.00	N/A	N/R	16.32%
Local Agency Investment Funds	4,681	0.250%	07/13	0.00	N/A	N/R	1.65%
Total Blended Component Units	<u>283,717</u>						<u>100.00%</u>
Discretely Presented Component Units							
Palm Desert Financing Authority							
Local Agency Investment Funds	7,243	0.250%	07/13	0.00	N/A	NR	59.56%
Money Market Mutual Funds (2)	4,920	0.010%	07/13	0.48	AAA	AAA	40.45%
Total Discretely Presented Component Units	<u>12,163</u>						<u>100.00%</u>
Total Investments	<u>\$ 5,251,154</u>						

(1) Investment ratings are from S&P and Moody's

(2) Government Code requires money market mutual funds to be rated

(3) Housing Authority and Inland Empire Tobacco Securitization Authority do not require money market mutual funds to be rated

Investment in State Investment Pool

The County of Riverside is a voluntary participant in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) that is regulated by California Government Code Section 16429 under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California. The State Treasurer's Office reports its investments at fair value. The fair value of securities in the State Treasurer's pooled investment program, including LAIF, generally is based on quoted market prices. The State Treasurer's Office performs a quarterly fair market valuation of the pooled investment program portfolio and a monthly fair market valuation of all securities held against carrying cost. These valuations and financial statements are posted to the State Treasurer's Office website at www.treasurer.ca.gov. The fair value of the County's investment in this pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements at amounts based upon the County's prorate share of the fair value provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF, which are recorded on an amortized cost basis. As of June 30, 2013, CORAL has \$2.5 million, Housing Authority has \$1.5 million, Regional Medical Center has \$0.7 million and Palm Desert Financing Authority has \$7.2 million in LAIF.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 4 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

Investments Authorized by Debt Agreements

Investments of debt proceeds held by bond trustees are governed by provisions of the debt agreements of respective component units, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the County's investment policy. These provisions allow for the acquisition of investment agreements with maturities of up to 30 years.

Disclosures Relating to Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the measurement of how changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the more sensitive to changes in market interest rates of its fair value. One of the ways the County Treasurer manages its exposure to interest rate risk is by purchasing a combination of shorter term and longer term investments and by timing cash flows from maturities so a portion of the portfolio is maturing or coming close to maturity to ensure the cash flow and liquidity required for operations.

Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The Custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty (e.g. broker-dealer) to a transaction, a government will be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party. Neither the California Government Code nor the County's investment policy contain legal or policy requirements that would limit the County's exposure to custodial credit risk for deposits or investments except for the following provision for deposits: the California Government Code requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by state or local government units by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under State law (unless so waived by the governmental unit). The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 110% of the total amount deposited by the public agencies. California law also allows financial institutions to secure County deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150% of the secured public deposits. GASB Statement No. 40 requires that a disclosure is made with respect to custodial credit risks relating to deposits. The County has cash deposits with fiscal agents in excess of federal depository insurance limits held in collateralized accounts with securities held by Union Bank in the amount of \$319.6 million. Investment securities are registered and held in the name of Riverside County.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The investment policy of the County contains certain limitations on the amount that can be invested in any one issuer beyond that stipulated by the California Government Code. In accordance with GASB Statement No. 40, *Deposits and Investment Risk Disclosures*, the County should provide information about the concentration of credit risk associated with their investments in any one issuer that represent 5% or more of total County investments. These investments are identified on the investment table on page 69.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 5 – RESTRICTED CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The amount of assets restricted by legal and contractual requirements at June 30, 2013 is as follows (in thousand):

General Fund	
Restricted Program Money	\$ 307,452
Total General Fund	<u>307,452</u>
Flood Control	
Restricted Program Money	1,806
Total Flood Control	<u>1,806</u>
Teeter Debt	
Teeter Commercial Paper Notes	67,984
Total Teeter Debt	<u>67,984</u>
Other Governmental Funds	
1985 Certificates	18,456
1990 Monterey Avenue	133
1997 Historic Court House	280
2000 Southwest Justice Center	542
2003 A Historic Courthouse	1,356
2003 B Capital Facilities	423
2005 A Capital Improvement Family Law	3,522
2005 B Historic Refunding	2,789
2006 A Capital Improvements	2,188
2007 A Public Safety & Refunding	9,275
2008A Southwest Justice Center	912
2009 Larson Justice Center	2,667
2009 Public Safety & Woodcrest Lib Refunding	4,835
2012 CAC Annex	2,551
Bankruptcy Court	6,958
District Court Financing Corporation	1,026
Inland Empire Tobacco Securitization Authority	19,611
Public Financing Authority	1,391
Total Other Governmental Funds	<u>78,915</u>
Regional Medical Center	
1993 Hospital Bonds	53,804
Total Regional Medical Center	<u>53,804</u>
Waste Management	
Remediation costs	23,027
Closure and post-closure care costs	28,092
Customer deposits	431
Deferred revenue	565
Deposit payable	38
Total Waste Management	<u>52,153</u>
Housing Authority	
Housing Authority Bond	28,374
Total Housing Authority	<u>28,374</u>
Other Enterprise Funds	
Restricted Program Money - Flood	2,719
Total Other Enterprise Funds	<u>2,719</u>
Discretely Presented Component Unit	
Palm Desert Financing Authority	12,163
Total Discretely Presented Component Unit	<u>12,163</u>
Total Restricted Cash and Investments	<u><u>\$ 605,370</u></u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 6– RECEIVABLES

Receivables at year-end of major individual funds, nonmajor funds, and internal service funds in the aggregate, including the applicable allowances for uncollectible accounts are as follows (in thousands):

Receivables					Total
Governmental activities:					Governmental
	Accounts	Interest	Taxes	Due From Other Govts	Activities
General fund	\$ 9,167	\$ 687	\$ 10,931	\$ 308,532	\$ 329,317
Transportation	1,463	53	22	12,015	13,553
Flood Control	525	130	1,357	1,334	3,346
Teeter debt service	-	20	83,276	-	83,296
Public facilities improvements	-	89	-	15	104
Nonmajor governmental funds	1,498	124	1,511	8,570	11,703
Internal service funds	3,192	103	-	547	3,842
Total receivables	\$ 15,845	\$ 1,206	\$ 97,097	\$ 331,013	\$ 445,161

Receivables					Due From	Allowance for	Total
Business-type activities:	Accounts	Interest	Taxes	Loans	Other Govts	uncollectibles	Business-type
							Activities
Regional Medical Center	\$ 1,039,531	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 113,039	\$ (983,120)	\$ 169,450
Waste Management	3,723	83	-	-	5,252	-	9,058
Housing Authority	180	-	-	72,037	2,164	-	74,381
Nonmajor funds	303	4	14	-	14	-	335
Total receivables	\$ 1,043,737	\$ 87	\$ 14	\$ 72,037	\$ 120,469	\$ (983,120)	\$ 253,224



(This Page Intentionally Left Blank)

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 7 – INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS

(a) Interfund Receivables/ Payables

The composition of interfund balances as of June 30, 2013 is as follows (in thousands):

Due to/from other funds :

Payable Fund	Receivable Fund			
	General Fund	Flood Control	Teeter Debt Service	Other Governmental Funds
General Fund				
Delinquent property tax	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 35	\$ -
Interfund activity	-	-	-	33
Medical services	-	-	-	-
Total General Fund	-	-	-	-
Transportation	-	-	-	-
Interfund activity	72	-	-	-
Total Transportation	-	-	-	-
Flood Control	-	-	-	-
Interfund activity	-	-	-	-
Total Flood Control	-	-	-	-
Teeter Debt Service	-	-	-	-
Delinquent property tax	8,475	-	-	-
Total Teeter Debt Service	-	-	-	-
Public Facilities Improvements Capital Projects	-	-	-	-
Interfund activity	3	-	-	-
Total Public Facilities Imprv Cap projects	-	-	-	-
Nonmajor Governmental Funds	-	-	-	-
Interfund activity	191	-	-	-
Total Nonmajor Governmental Funds	-	-	-	-
Regional Medical Center	-	-	-	-
Interfund activity	1	-	-	-
Law enforcement	305	-	-	-
Total Regional Medical Center	-	-	-	-
Other Enterprise Funds	-	-	-	-
Interfund activity	-	11	-	-
Total Other Enterprise Funds	-	-	-	-
Internal Service Funds	-	-	-	-
Interfund activity	24	41	-	-
Total Internal Service Funds	-	-	-	-
Total Receivable	<u>\$ 9,071</u>	<u>\$ 52</u>	<u>\$ 35</u>	<u>\$ 33</u>

These interfund balances result from the time lag between the dates that (1) interfund goods and services are provided or reimbursable expenditures occur, and (2) payments between funds are made.

Advances to/from other funds:

The General Fund advanced \$3.3 million to the Economic Development Agency for the internal service fund start up costs.

The Regional Park and Open-Space District Special Revenue Fund advanced \$700 thousand to the Regional Park and Open-Space District Capital Projects Fund for the purpose of land improvements being constructed throughout the County Parks.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 7 – INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

(a) Interfund Receivables/ Payables (Continued)

Receivable Fund			
Regional Medical Center	Internal Service Funds	Total Payable	
			General Fund
\$ -	\$ -	\$ 35	Delinquent property tax
-	72	105	Interfund activity
9,050		9,050	Medical services
-	-	9,190	Total General Fund
-	-		Transportation
-	-	72	Interfund activity
-	-	72	Total Transportation
-	-		Flood Control
-	37	37	Interfund activity
-	-	37	Total Flood Control
-	-		Teeter Debt Service
-	-	8,475	Delinquent property tax
-	-	8,475	Total Teeter Debt Service
-	-		Public Facilities Improvements Capital Projects
-	-	3	Interfund activity
-	-	3	Total Public Facilities Imprv Cap Projects
-	-		Nonmajor Governmental Funds
-	-	191	Interfund activity
-	-	191	Total Nonmajor Governmental Funds
-	-		Regional Medical Center
-	-	1	Interfund activity
-	-	305	Law enforcement
-	-	306	Total Regional Medical Center
-	-		Other Enterprise Funds
-	1	12	Interfund activity
-	-	12	Total Other Enterprise Funds
-	-		Internal Service Funds
-	2	67	Interfund activity
-	-	67	Total Internal Service Funds
<u>\$ 9,050</u>	<u>\$ 112</u>	<u>\$ 18,353</u>	Total Receivable

Advances to/from other funds (Continued):

The Open-Space District Capital Projects Fund advanced \$1 million to the Regional Park and Open-Space District Special Revenue Fund as an operating cash loan.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 7 – INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

Transfers

(b) Between Funds within the Governmental Activities:¹

Transfer-Out	Transfers In			
	General Fund	Transportation	Teeter Debt Service	Public Facilities Improvements Capital Projects
General Fund				
Capital projects	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,060
Debt service	-	-	703	-
Operating contribution	-	-	-	-
Pension obligation	-	-	-	-
Reimbursement	-	1,936	-	-
Total General Fund	-	-	-	-
Transportation	-	-	-	-
Capital projects	-	-	-	727
Pension obligation	-	-	-	-
Reimbursement	1,803	-	-	-
Total Transportation	-	-	-	-
Flood Control	-	-	-	-
Capital projects	-	-	-	-
Total Flood Control	-	-	-	-
Teeter Debt Service	-	-	-	-
Debt service	83	-	-	-
Total Teeter Debt Service	-	-	-	-
Public Facilities Improvements Capital Projects	-	-	-	-
Capital projects	291	14,186	-	-
Debt service	18,344	-	-	-
Operating contribution	12,200	-	-	-
Reimbursement	2,897	-	-	-
Total Public Facilities Imprv Cap Projects	-	-	-	-
Nonmajor Governmental Funds	-	-	-	-
Capital projects	9	1,335	-	2,662
Debt service	-	-	-	-
Fire	27,154	-	-	-
Pension obligation	159	-	-	-
Reimbursement	28,517	1,306	-	-
Total Nonmajor Governmental Funds	-	-	-	-
Regional Medical Center	-	-	-	-
Debt service	-	-	-	-
Pension obligation	-	-	-	-
Total Regional Medical Center	-	-	-	-
Waste Management	-	-	-	-
Pension obligation	-	-	-	-
Total Waste Management	-	-	-	-
Housing Authority	-	-	-	-
Pension obligation	-	-	-	-
Total Housing Authority	-	-	-	-
Internal Service Funds	-	-	-	-
Business Services	-	-	-	-
Pension obligation	-	-	-	-
Reimbursement	840	-	-	-
Total Internal Service Funds	-	-	-	-
Total Transfers In	<u>\$ 92,297</u>	<u>\$ 18,763</u>	<u>\$ 703</u>	<u>\$ 15,449</u>

1) These transfers were eliminated in the consolidation, by column, for the Governmental and Business-type Activities.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 7 – INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

Transfers (Continued)

(b) Between Governmental and Business-type Activities:

Transfers In				
Other Governmental Funds	Regional Medical Center	Internal Service Funds	Total Transfers Out	
				General Fund
\$ 7,928	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 19,988	Capital projects
43,163	-	-	43,866	Debt service
423	-	500	923	Operating contribution
19,994	-	-	19,994	Pension obligation
6,964	-	2,876	11,776	Reimbursement
-	-	-	96,547	Total General Fund
-	-	-		Transportation
-	-	-	727	Capital projects
777	-	-	777	Pension obligation
2,781	-	-	4,584	Reimbursement
-	-	-	6,088	Total Transportation
-	-	-		Flood Control
200	-	-	200	Capital projects
-	-	-	200	Total Flood Control
-	-	-		Teeter Debt Service
-	-	-	83	Debt service
-	-	-	83	Total Teeter Debt Service
-	-	-		Public Facilities Improvements Capital Projects
4,229	5,000	-	23,706	Capital projects
-	-	-	18,344	Debt service
-	-	-	12,200	Operating contribution
1	-	-	2,898	Reimbursement
-	-	-	57,148	Total Public Facilities Imprv Cap Projects
-	-	-		Nonmajor Governmental Funds
-	-	-	4,006	Capital projects
1,966	-	-	1,966	Debt service
-	-	-	27,154	Fire
636	-	-	795	Pension obligation
9,999	-	-	39,822	Reimbursement
-	-	-	73,743	Total Nonmajor Governmental Funds
-	-	-		Regional Medical Center
-	-	-	-	Debt service
3,579	-	-	3,579	Pension obligation
-	-	-	3,579	Total Regional Medical Center
-	-	-		Waste Management
215	-	-	215	Pension obligation
-	-	-	215	Total Waste Management
-	-	-		Housing Authority
157	-	-	157	Pension obligation
-	-	-	157	Total Housing Authority
-	-	-		Internal Service Funds
-	-	3,111	3,111	Business Services
1,350	-	-	1,350	Pension obligation
-	-	-	840	Reimbursement
-	-	-	5,301	Total Internal Service Funds
<u>\$ 104,362</u>	<u>\$ 5,000</u>	<u>\$ 6,487</u>	<u>\$ 243,061</u>	Total Transfers In

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 8 – CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2013 was as follows (in thousands):

	Balance July 1, 2012	Prior Period Adjustments	Additions	Retirements	Transfers	Balance June 30, 2013
Governmental activities:						
<i>Capital assets, not being depreciated:</i>						
Land & easements	\$ 449,978	\$ -	\$ 19,577	\$ (33)	\$ -	\$ 469,522
Construction in progress	745,536	-	259,323	(21,560)	(168,072)	815,227
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	1,195,514	-	278,900	(21,593)	(168,072)	1,284,749
<i>Capital assets, being depreciated:</i>						
Infrastructure						
Flood channels	252,969	-	1,783	-	5,170	259,922
Flood storm drains	376,325	-	6,627	-	5,706	388,658
Flood dams and basins	31,215	-	-	-	-	31,215
Roads	1,602,764	-	28,438	-	-	1,631,202
Traffic signals	18,972	-	1	-	-	18,973
Bridges	104,983	-	217	-	-	105,200
Runways	22,148	-	-	-	-	22,148
Parks trails and improvements	11,254	-	15	-	1,016	12,285
Land improvements	110	-	-	-	-	110
Structures and improvements	1,328,103	-	1,250	(6,641)	148,210	1,470,922
Equipment	382,041	-	40,490	(17,521)	7,970	412,980
Total capital assets, being depreciated	4,130,884	-	78,821	(24,162)	168,072	4,353,615
Less accumulated depreciation for:						
Infrastructure	(984,594)	-	(69,922)	-	-	(1,054,516)
Land improvements	(22)	-	(1)	-	-	(23)
Structures and improvements	(339,841)	-	(29,570)	1,803	-	(367,608)
Equipment	(297,152)	-	(22,192)	16,519	-	(302,825)
Total accumulated depreciation	(1,621,609)	-	(121,685)	18,322	-	(1,724,972)
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	2,509,275	-	(42,864)	(5,840)	168,072	2,628,643
Governmental activities capital assets, net	\$ 3,704,789	\$ -	\$ 236,036	\$ (27,433)	\$ -	\$ 3,913,392

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 8 – CAPITAL ASSETS (CONTINUED)

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2013 was as follows (in thousands):

	Balance July 1, 2012	Prior Period Adjustments	Additions	Retirements	Transfers	Balance June 30, 2013
Business-type activities:						
<i>Capital assets, not being depreciated:</i>						
Land & easements	\$ 21,351	\$ -	\$ 7	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 21,358
Construction in progress	56,189	-	10,793	(550)	(1,426)	65,006
Concession Arrangements	-	-	8,830	-	-	8,830
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	77,540	-	19,630	(550)	(1,426)	95,194
<i>Capital assets, being depreciated:</i>						
Land improvements	11,662	-	-	-	-	11,662
Infrastructure-landfill liners	55,226	-	-	-	-	55,226
Infrastructure-other	23,323	-	68	(158)	268	23,501
Structures and improvements	219,902	-	12	-	-	219,914
Equipment	77,554	-	2,427	(738)	1,158	80,401
Total capital assets, being depreciated	387,667	-	2,507	(896)	1,426	390,704
Less accumulated depreciation for:						
Land improvements	(8,000)	-	(582)	-	-	(8,582)
Infrastructure-landfill liners	(23,943)	-	(2,710)	-	-	(26,653)
Infrastructure-other	(7,240)	-	(1,084)	94	-	(8,230)
Structures and improvements	(93,003)	-	(5,855)	-	-	(98,858)
Equipment	(63,348)	-	(5,543)	608	-	(68,283)
Total accumulated depreciation	(195,534)	-	(15,774)	702	-	(210,606)
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	192,133	-	(13,267)	(194)	1,426	180,098
Business-type activities capital assets, net	\$ 269,673	\$ -	\$ 6,363	\$ (744)	\$ -	\$ 275,292

Depreciation

Depreciation expense was charged to governmental functions as follows (in thousands):

General government	\$ 26,566
Public protection	15,532
Health and sanitation	1,579
Public assistance	1,187
Public ways and facilities	62,275
Recreation and culture	1,232
Education	2,690
Depreciation on capital assets held by the County's internal service funds is charged to the various functions based on their use of the assets	10,624
Total depreciation expense – governmental functions	<u>\$ 121,685</u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 8 – CAPITAL ASSETS (CONTINUED)

Depreciation expense was charged to the business-type functions as follows (in thousands):

Regional Medical Center	\$ 9,275
Waste Management	5,181
Housing Authority	1,306
County Service Areas	3
Flood Control	9
Total depreciation expense – business-type functions	<u>\$ 15,774</u>

Capital Leases

Leased Property under capital leases by major class (in thousands):

	<u>Governmental</u>	<u>Business-Type</u>
Land	\$ 2,223	\$ -
Structures and Improvements	88,505	-
Equipment	143,635	15,357
Less: Accumulated amortization	<u>(106,137)</u>	<u>(10,000)</u>
Total leased property, net	<u>\$ 128,226</u>	<u>\$ 5,357</u>

Discretely Presented Component Unit

Activity for the Riverside County Children and Families Commission for the year ended June 30, 2013,
was as follows (in thousands):

	Balance July 1, 2012	Additions	Retirements	Balance June 30, 2013
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Equipment	\$ 77	\$ -	\$ (33)	\$ 44
Total capital assets, being depreciated	<u>77</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(33)</u>	<u>44</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Equipment	<u>(77)</u>		33	(44)
Total accumulated depreciation	<u>(77)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>(44)</u>
Total capital assets, net	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 9 – SERVICE CONCESSION ARRANGEMENTS (SCA)

GASB Statement Number 60, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Service Concession Arrangements (SCA)* defines an SCA as a type of public-private or public-public partnership. As used in Statement Number 60, an SCA is an arrangement between a government (the transferor) and an operator in which all of the following criteria are met:

- a) The transferor conveys to the operator the right and related obligation to provide public service through the use and operation of a capital assets (referred to in the statement as a “facility”) in exchange for significant consideration, such as an up-front payment, installment payments, a new facility, or improvements to an existing facility.
- b) The operator collects and is compensated by fees from third parties.
- c) The transferor determines or has the ability to modify or approve what services the operator is required to provide, to whom the operator is required to provide the services, and the prices or rates that can be charged for the services.

The County has determined that the following arrangements meet the criteria set forth above (where the County is the transferor) and therefore included these SCA in the County’s financial statements. GASB 60 also provides guidance on accounting treatment if the County were acting as an operator of another government’s facility. The County has determined that there are no incidences where the County would qualify as such an operator.

McIntyre Park Campground

On October 15, 1985, and as later amended, the Park District (“the Park”) entered into an agreement with California East Coast, Inc. (the “company”), under which the company will operate and collect user fees from a campground, camp store, boat launch and recovery ramp, day-use area and marina fuel station through a lease with the Park at McIntyre County Park through the year 2047. The company will pay the Park between ten and seventeen percent of the revenues it earns from the operation of the campground. The company is required to operate and maintain the campground in accordance with the Lease Contract. The Park reports the campground as a capital asset with a carrying amount of \$51.6 thousand at year-end. The Park has received no upfront payments or installment payments that are required to be reported as a deferred inflow of resources on the financial statements. The Park also has no contractual obligations to sacrifice financial resources that meet the criteria to be recognized as a liability.

Riviera RV Resort

On or about January 1, 1970, and as later amended, the County of Riverside and later the Park entered into an agreement with Cavan Inc., now Destiny RV, LLC who assigned its lease rights to Riviera-Reynolds (the “company”). Under the terms of the agreement, the company is permitted to engage in the operation of a travel trailer park, rental of spaces in the park, food service operations including a grocery store, boat launching ramp and other associated camping functions through June 2013. The company will pay the Park the greater of \$3.0 hundred or seven percent of gross receipts earned from operation of the RV Park. The Park reports the RV Park as a capital asset with a carrying amount of \$131.4 thousand at year-end. The Park has received no upfront payments or installment payments that are required to be reported as a deferred inflow of resources on the financial statements. The Park also has no contractual obligations to sacrifice financial resources that meet the criteria to be recognized as a liability.

Edom Hill Transfer Station

On November 2, 2002, the Waste Management Department entered into a 30-year agreement with Burrtec Recovery and Transfer LLC (Burrtec), under which Burrtec has the rights to construct the Edom Hill Transfer Station in order to serve the traditional users/wasteshed of the closed Edom Hill Landfill and operate the transfer station.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 9 – SERVICE CONCESSION ARRANGEMENTS (SCA) (continued)

A summary of the important details and capital assets pertaining to this SCA can be found below.

	Date SCA Entered Into	Term of SCA	Expiration of SCA	Revenue Sharing	Minimum Rent Payment (per month)
McIntyre Park Campground	10/15/1985	62 years	10/15/1947	Between 10.0% and 17.0% of the revenues it earns from the operation of the campground.	\$ -
Riviera RV Resort	1/1/1970	43 years	6/30/2013	Greater of \$300 or 7.0% of gross receipts earned from operation of the RV park.	-
Edom Hill Transfer Station	11/2/2002	30 years	11/2/2032	Service Fee ranging from \$4.41 to \$4.13 per ton, Disposal fee of \$23.00 per ton, and City Mitigation Fee of \$1 per ton for all incoming solid waste	-
					<u>\$ -</u>

Capital assets balance for the SCA for the year ended June 30, 2013 and over the term of the agreement are as follows:

	Structures & Structure Improvements
McIntyre Park Campground	\$ 131
Riviera RV Resort	52
Edom Hill Transfer Station	8,830
	<u>\$ 9,013</u>

The deferred inflows of resources activity for the SCA for the year ended June 30, 2013 are as follows:

	Balance July 1, 2012	Additions/ Restatements	Amortization¹	Balance June 30, 2013
SCA Capital Assets				
McIntyre Park Campground ²	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Riviera RV Resort ²	-	-	-	-
Edom Hill Transfer Station	-	8,830	(434)	8,396
Total Deferred inflows	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 8,830</u>	<u>\$ (434)</u>	<u>\$ 8,396</u>

¹ Amortization calculate using straight-line method for the term of the agreement for the SCA

² No upfront payments received or installment payments that are required to be reported as a deferred inflow of resources

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 10 - LANDFILL CLOSURE AND POST-CLOSURE CARE COSTS

State and Federal laws and regulations require Waste Management to place a final cover on all active landfills when closed and to perform certain maintenance and monitoring functions at the landfill site for 30 years after closure. Waste Management will recognize the remaining estimated cost of \$16.9 million as the remaining estimated capacity of 16.4 million tons is filled. Waste Management expects all currently permitted landfill capacities to be filled by 2087. The total estimate of \$71.0 million is based on what it would cost to perform all closure and post-closure care costs at present value. Actual costs may be different due to inflation, changes in technology, or changes in regulations.

Cumulative expenses, percentage of landfill capacity used to date, and the estimated remaining landfill life by operating landfill are as follows (in thousands):

Facility Name (City)	Cumulative Expense	Capacity Used as of June 30, 2013 %	Estimated Years Remaining
Anza (Anza)	\$ 1,503	100.0	-
Badlands (Moreno Valley)	12,636	56.5	11
Blythe (Blythe)	8,171	35.7	34
Coachella (Coachella)	3,506	100.0	-
Desert Center (Desert Center)	1,535	69.5	74
Double Butte (Winchester)	3,215	100.0	-
Edom Hill (Cathedral City)	11,017	100.0	-
Highgrove (Riverside)	1,801	100.0	-
Lamb Canyon (Beaumont)	18,853	72.9	8
Mead Valley (Perris)	3,236	100.0	-
Mecca II (Mecca)	3,602	99.4	24
Oasis (Oasis)	<u>1,898</u>	73.8	50
	<u>\$ 70,973</u>		

Waste Management is required by State and Federal laws and regulations to make annual contributions to a trust fund to finance closure and post-closure care. Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR) requires solid waste landfill operators to demonstrate the availability of financial resources to conduct closure and post-closure maintenance activities.

In accordance with sections 22228 and 22245 of the CCR, the County has implemented Pledge of Revenue agreements between the County and the California Department of Resources, Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle) for six active landfills and six closed landfills to demonstrate financial responsibility for post-closure maintenance costs. Waste Management has determined that the projected net revenues, after current operating costs, from tipping fees during the thirty-year period of post-closure care maintenance will, during each year of this period, be greater than the yearly monitoring and post-closure care maintenance costs for each landfill. It is agreed that the amount of these Pledge of Revenue agreements may increase or decrease to match any adjustments to the identified cost estimates, which is mutually agreed to by the Waste Management and the CalRecycle. Waste Management complies with these requirements and investments of \$28.1 million are held for these purposes at June 30, 2013 and are classified as Restricted Assets in the Statement of Net Position. Waste Management expects that future inflation costs will be paid from interest earnings on these annual contributions. However, if interest earnings are inadequate or additional post-closure requirements are determined (due to changes in technology or applicable laws or regulations) these costs may need to be covered by charges to future landfill users.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 11 – OPERATING LEASES

The following is a schedule of future minimum rental payments required under operating leases entered into by the County that have initial or remaining non-cancelable lease terms in excess of one year as of June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

Year Ending June 30		
2014	\$	33,468
2015		27,709
2016		22,206
2017		19,517
2018		10,635
2019-2023		11,203
2024-2028		1,238
2029-2033		1,245
2034-2038		1,198
2039-2043		616
Total minimum payments	\$	<u>129,035</u>

Total rental expenditure/expense for the year ended June 30, 2013 was \$92.4 million, of which \$3.9 million was recorded in the enterprise funds.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 12– ADVANCES FROM GRANTORS AND THIRD PARTIES

Under both the accrual and modified accrual basis of accounting, revenue may be recognized only when earned. Therefore, the government-wide Statement of Net Position as well as governmental and enterprise funds defer revenue recognition in connection with resources that have been received as of year-end, but not yet earned. Assets recognized in connection with a transaction before the earnings process is complete are offset by a corresponding liability for advances from grantors and third parties.

The balance as of June 30, 2013 of Advances from grantors and third parties are as follows:

	Balance June 30, 2013
General Fund:	
Advances on state grants for Probation Services	\$ 27,876
Advances on state & federal grants for Mental Health Services	134,277
Advances on state grants & other 3rd party advances for Public Health Services	7,852
Advances on state funding for Social Services	61,598
Advances on state & federal grants for Sheriff Services	5,934
Advances on state grants & other 3rd party advances for Environmental Health Services	2,001
Advances on state grants for District Attorney Services	819
Advances from Flood Control and Water Conservation District for permits	1,460
Advances on state grants for Public Defenders Services	215
Other advances	239
Total General fund	<u>242,271</u>
Transportation Special Revenue Fund:	
Developer fees	15,895
Senate Bill (SB) 621 Indian gaming	2,541
Advances from developers for median projects	5,728
Survey fees	963
Utility relocation	252
Comprehensive Transportation Plan	20
Building permit fees	845
Plan check fees	304
Deposit based fees	308
Total Transportation special revenue fund	<u>26,856</u>
Other Governmental Funds:	
Camping and recreation fees	596
Advance from state for Community Service Block Grant	382
Advance from state for the Employment Training Panel Project	22
Lease revenue from Library Systems & Services Inc.	208
Advance from state for Bio-terrorism Programs	351
Advance from First 5 - Children & Families Commission	395
Advances for aviation projects	10
Advances for the National Date Festival	2
Total Other governmental funds	<u>1,966</u>
Grand total of advances from grantors and third parties	<u><u>\$ 271,093</u></u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 13 – SHORT-TERM DEBT

Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes (TRANs)

On July 1, 2012, the County issued \$250.0 million of tax exempt Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes (TRANs), which were repaid on June 28, 2013. The Notes were issued with a yield rate of 0.18% for Series Bond A and 0.20% for Series Bond B. This was to provide needed cash to cover the projected intra-period cash-flow deficits of the County's General Fund during the fiscal year July 1 through June 30.

Tax-Exempt Commercial Paper Notes (Teeter)

In December 1993, the County adopted the Teeter Plan, the alternative method for the distribution of secured property taxes and other assessments. In order to fulfill the requirements of the plan, the County obtained cash for the "buyout" of delinquent secured property taxes and the annual advance of current unpaid taxes to all entities that elected to participate in the Teeter Plan. The current financing takes place through the sale of Tax-Exempt Commercial Paper Notes (Teeter Notes). During fiscal year 2012-13, the County retired \$79.0 million of the \$171.3 million principal amount outstanding at June 30, 2012. The County then issued \$50.5 million of Series B notes, leaving an outstanding balance of \$142.8 million at June 30, 2013.

Short-term debt activity for the year ended June 30, 2013, was as follows (in thousands):

	Balance June 30, 2012	Additions	Reductions	Balance June 30, 2013
Fiscal year 2012-13				
TRANs	\$ -	\$ 250,000	\$ (250,000)	\$ -
Teeter Notes	171,324	50,516	(79,000)	142,840
Total	\$ 171,324	\$ 300,516	\$ (329,000)	\$ 142,840

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 14 – LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS

Long-term obligations of the County consist of capital lease obligations, bonds, notes, and other liabilities which are payable from the general, debt service, enterprise, and internal service funds. The calculated legal debt limit for the County is \$2.5 billion.

Capital Leases

Capital leases for governmental funds are recorded both as capital expenditures and as other financing sources at inception in the fund financial statements, with the liability and the asset recorded in the government-wide statement of net position. Capital leases are secured by a pledge of the leased equipment.

See Note 8 (Capital Assets) for assets under capital leases and related disclosure information by major asset class.

The following is a schedule by year of future minimum lease payments under capital leases, together with the present value of the net minimum lease payments as of June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

Year Ending June 30	Palm Desert Financing Authority	Other Governmental Activities	Total Governmental Activities	Business-type Activities
2014	\$ 6,228	\$ 12,584	\$ 18,812	\$ 4,130
2015	6,229	7,619	13,848	2,244
2016	6,221	4,516	10,737	723
2017	6,226	1,384	7,610	333
2018	6,223	636	6,860	69
2019-2023	24,883	904	25,787	-
2024-2028	-	617	617	-
2029-2033	-	-	-	-
2034-2038	-	-	-	-
Total minimum payments	56,010	28,260	84,271	7,499
Less amount representing interest	(13,309)	(3,214)	(16,524)	(275)
Present value of net minimum lease	<u>\$ 42,702</u>	<u>\$ 25,046</u>	<u>\$ 67,748</u>	<u>\$ 7,224</u>

The statement of net position includes the Palm Desert Financing Authority capital lease of \$42.7 million for the construction and acquisition of certain public facilities within the County, including the Palm Desert Sheriff's Station, community centers, the Blythe County Administrative Center, an animal shelter and a clinic facility.

The following schedules provide details of all certificates of participation, bonds payable, and notes payable for the County of Riverside that are outstanding as of June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

Governmental Activities

Type of indebtedness (purpose)	Maturity	Interest Rates	Annual Principal Installments	Original Issue Amount	Outstanding at June 30, 2013
<u>Certificates of Participation:</u>					
<u>CORAL</u>					
1985 Serial Certificates	12/09 – 12/15	Variable	\$5,400- \$15,000	\$ 169,400	\$ 39,800
				<u>169,400</u>	<u>39,800</u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 14 – LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (Continued)

Type of indebtedness (purpose)	Maturity	Interest Rates	Annual Principal Installments	Original Issue Amount	Outstanding at June 30, 2013
<u>Certificates of Participation (Continued):</u>					
<u>CORAL</u>					
1990 Monterey Avenue:					
Serial Certificate	11/09 – 11/20	Variable	\$300 - \$800	\$ 8,800	\$ 4,800
				<u>8,800</u>	<u>4,800</u>
<u>CORAL</u>					
2003 A - Historic Court Project:					
Serial Certificates	11/09 – 11/18	3.00% - 5.00%	\$280 - \$400	4,125	2,155
Term Certificate	11/19 – 11/23	5.00%	\$420 - \$510	2,320	2,320
Term Certificate	11/24 – 11/28	5.00%	\$535 - \$650	2,955	2,955
Term Certificate	11/29 – 11/33	5.13%	\$685 - \$835	3,790	3,790
				<u>13,190</u>	<u>11,220</u>
<u>CORAL</u>					
2003 B –Capital Facilities Refunding:					
Serial Certificates	12/03 – 11/18	2.00% - 4.20%	\$300 - \$900	8,685	2,155
				<u>8,685</u>	<u>2,155</u>
<u>CORAL</u>					
2005 A - Capital Improvement & Family Law Court Refunding:					
Serial Certificates	11/09 – 11/25	3.00% - 5.00%	\$1,090 - \$2,160	28,495	21,405
Term Certificate	11/26 – 11/33	5.00%	\$2,255 - \$2,145	9,905	9,905
Term Certificate	11/34 – 11/36	5.00%	\$2,040 - \$2,490	13,265	13,265
				<u>51,665</u>	<u>44,575</u>
<u>CORAL</u>					
2005 B - Historic Courthouse Refunding Project:					
Serial Certificates	11/09 – 11/25	3.00% - 5.00%	\$325 - \$1,740	18,835	14,945
Term Certificate	11/26 – 11/27	5.00%	\$1,860 - \$1,915	3,775	3,775
				<u>22,610</u>	<u>18,720</u>
<u>CORAL</u>					
2006 Series A - Cap Imp Project:					
Serial Certificates	11/09 – 11/26	3.75% - 5.13%	\$610 - \$1,235	16,425	13,245
Term Certificate	11/27 – 11/31	4.75%	\$1,295 - \$1,560	7,130	7,130
Term Certificate	11/32 – 11/35	5.00%	\$1,635 - \$1,895	7,050	7,050
Term Certificate	11/36 – 11/37	4.63%	\$1,990 - \$2,080	4,070	4,070
				<u>34,675</u>	<u>31,495</u>
<u>CORAL</u>					
2007 A & B Public Safety Communication and Refunding Projects:					
Series A & B	11/10 – 11/17	3.85% - 5.00%	\$1,525 - \$10,850	111,125	49,280
				<u>111,125</u>	<u>49,280</u>
<u>CORAL</u>					
2009 Public Safety Communication and Woodcrest Library Refunding Projects:					
Series A	12/10 – 11/39	Variable	\$70 - \$4,200	45,685	45,440
				<u>45,685</u>	<u>45,440</u>
<u>CORAL</u>					
2009 Larson Justice Center Refunding:					
Serial Certificates	12/10 – 12/21	Variable	\$1,050 - \$4,860	\$ 24,680	\$ 20,475
				<u>24,680</u>	<u>20,475</u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 14 – LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (Continued)

Type of indebtedness (purpose)	Maturity	Interest Rates	Annual Principal Installments	Original Issue Amount	Outstanding at June 30, 2013
<u>Certificates of Participation (Continued):</u>					
<u>Court Financing Corporation</u>					
Bankruptcy Courthouse:					
Acquisition Project Term Certificate	11/09 – 11/20	7.50%	\$835 - \$1,385	16,120	8,300
				<u>16,120</u>	<u>8,300</u>
<u>District Court Financing Corporation</u>					
U.S. District Court Project:					
Term/Series 1999	6/14/2017	7.59%	\$902 - \$1,263	2,165	2,165
Term/Series 1999	6/15/2015	1.93%	\$1,187 - \$1,658	17,635	3,210
Term/Series 2002	6/15/2020	3.00%	\$50 - \$75	925	460
				<u>20,725</u>	<u>5,835</u>
Total Certificates of Participation				<u>\$ 527,360</u>	<u>\$ 282,095</u>
Bonds Payable:					
<u>CORAL</u>					
2000 A Southwest Justice Center:					
Series Bonds	11/09 – 11/13	4.88% - 5.40%	\$1,830 - \$2,240	\$ 17,945	\$ 2,240
Series Bonds	11/14-11/32	5.20%	\$2,400-\$6,200	76,300	-
				<u>94,245</u>	<u>2,240</u>
<u>CORAL</u>					
2012 CAC Annex Refunding Project	11/12-11/31	2.00%-5.00%	\$1,120-\$2,435	33,360	31,800
<u>CORAL</u>					
2008 A Southwest Justice Center:					
Refunding Bonds	11/14-11/32	5.15%	\$2,480-\$6,410	78,895	78,895
				<u>78,895</u>	<u>78,895</u>
<u>CORAL</u>					
1997 B & C (Hospital):					
Term Bonds (Series C)	6/1/2019	5.81%	\$1,733	1,733	1,733
				<u>1,733</u>	<u>1,733</u>
<u>Taxable Pension Obligation Bond</u>					
Pension Obligation Bonds (Series 2005-A)	8/09 – 8/35	4.91% - 5.04%	\$3,425 - \$5,530	400,000	346,785
				<u>400,000</u>	<u>346,785</u>
<u>Inland Empire Tobacco Securitization Authority</u>					
Series 2007 A	06/17 – 06/21	4.63% - 5.10%		87,650	59,580
Series 2007 B	06/20 – 06/26	5.75%		53,758	53,756
Series 2007 C-1	06/26 – 06/36	6.63%		53,542	53,542
Series 2007 C-2	06/33 – 06/45	6.75%		29,653	29,653
Series 2007 D	06/32 – 06/57	7.00%		23,457	23,457
Series 2007 E	06/35 – 06/57	7.63%		18,948	18,948
Series 2007 F	06/42 – 06/57	8.00%		27,076	27,076
				<u>294,084</u>	<u>266,012</u>
<u>Riverside County Public Financing Authority</u>					
Series 2012	8/09 – 8/35	3.00% - 5.00%	\$280-\$9,535	17,640	16,995
				<u>17,640</u>	<u>16,995</u>
Total Bonds Payable				<u>\$ 919,957</u>	<u>\$ 744,460</u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 14 – LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (Continued)

Type of indebtedness (purpose)	Maturity	Interest Rates	Annual Principal Installments	Original Issue Amount	Outstanding at June 30, 2013
Loans Payable:					
<u>CORAL</u>					
2011 Monroe Park Building Refunding	2/11 - 12/20	3.54%	\$180 - \$330	\$ 5,535	\$ 4,420
				5,535	4,420
Total Loans Payable				\$ 5,535	\$ 4,420
Total Governmental Activities				\$ 1,452,852	\$ 1,030,975
Business-type Activities					
<i>Bonds Payable:</i>					
<u>Regional Medical Center</u>					
1993 A & B (Hospital):					
Term Bonds (Series A)	12/09– 06/12	5.41%	\$12,230 - \$13,870	\$ 81,135	\$ -
Term Bonds (Series B)	12/09 – 06/14	5.41%	\$7,050 - \$7,475	14,525	7,475
Loss on Defeasance (net)				-	(91)
				95,660	7,384
<u>Regional Medical Center</u>					
1997 A (Hospital): Serial Capital					
Cap Apprec. Bonds (net of future cap apprec \$122,895)	06/13 – 06/26	5.70% - 6.01%	\$1,080 - \$4,981	41,170	38,136
				41,170	38,136
<u>Regional Med Center 1997</u>					
Serial Bonds (Series B)	06/10 – 06/13	4.38% - 5.15%	\$395 - \$455	-	-
Term Bonds (Series B)	06/14 – 06/19	4.00% - 5.70%	\$475 - \$11,475	63,935	-
Term Bonds (Series C)	6/19	5.81%	\$3,265	3,265	1,532
Bond Discount				-	(2)
Loss on Defeasance (net)				-	(874)
				67,200	656
<u>Regional Medical Center</u>					
2012 A & B (Hospital)					
Term Bonds (Series A)	06/13-06/29	2.00% - 5.00%	\$220-\$12,970	87,510	87,230
Term Bonds (Series B)	06/19	3.25%	\$3,020	3,020	3,020
Bond Premium				-	6,254
				90,530	96,504
<u>Housing Authority</u>					
1998 Series A:					
Term Bonds	12/09 – 12/18	6.85%	\$110 - \$200	2,405	1,030
				2,405	1,030
Total Bonds Payable				\$ 296,965	\$ 143,710
Total Business-type Activities				\$ 296,965	\$ 143,710

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 14 – LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (Continued)

Type of indebtedness (purpose)	Maturity	Interest Rates	Annual Principal Installments	Original Issue Amount	Outstanding at June 30, 2013
Discretely Presented Component Unit					
<i>Bonds Payable:</i>					
<u><i>Palm Desert Financing Authority</i></u>					
2008 Lease Rev Bonds Series A:					
Serial Certificates	05/10 – 05/18	4.00% - 5.50%	\$1,935 - \$6,200	43,845	28,055
Term Certificate	05/19 – 05/22	6.00%	\$6,540 - \$7,790	28,600	28,600
Bond Discount				-	(410)
				<u>72,445</u>	<u>56,245</u>
Total Bonds Payable				<u>\$ 72,445</u>	<u>\$ 56,245</u>
Total Discretely Presented Component Unit				<u>\$ 72,445</u>	<u>\$ 56,245</u>

As of June 30, 2013, annual debt service requirements of governmental activities to maturity are as follows (in thousands):

Governmental Year ending June 30	Loans Payable		Certificates of Participation	
	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest
2014	530	152	28,771	15,144
2015	540	133	31,168	12,725
2016	560	114	33,133	10,747
2017	585	94	18,912	8,914
2018	605	73	18,875	7,907
2019-2023	1,600	86	78,440	25,141
2024-2027	-	-	29,075	14,338
2028-2033	-	-	21,640	8,082
2034-2038	-	-	14,705	2,233
2039-2043	-	-	7,376	286
Total	<u>\$ 4,420</u>	<u>\$ 652</u>	<u>\$ 282,095</u>	<u>\$ 105,517</u>

Governmental Year ending June 30	Bonds Payable	
	Principal	Interest
2014	31,019	58,187
2015	31,213	55,731
2016	20,639	48,138
2017	22,944	45,949
2018	230,445	204,635
2019-2023	139,494	108,332
2024-2028	76,674	35,348
2029-2033	27,965	2,732
2034-2038	53,542	3,147
2039-2043	-	-
2044-2048	29,653	1,945
2049-2053	70,027	4,671
2054-2058	10,845	-
Total	<u>\$ 744,460</u>	<u>\$ 568,815</u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 14 – LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (Continued)

As of June 30, 2013, annual debt service requirements of business-type activities to maturity are as follows (in thousands):

Business-type Year ending June 30	Bonds Payable		Other Long-term Liabilities	
	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest
2014	10,675	9,417	-	-
2015	12,850	6,876	-	-
2016	13,339	6,388	6,795	-
2017	13,813	5,922	-	-
2018	14,307	5,317	-	-
2019-2023	29,797	72,937	-	-
2024-2028	34,108	53,345	-	-
2029-2033	9,536	381	-	-
Total requirements	138,425	160,583	<u>\$ 6,795</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
Bond discount/premium, net	5,285	-		
Deferred charges (Housing)	-	-		
Loss on defeasance (net)	-	-		
Total	<u>\$ 143,710</u>	<u>\$ 160,583</u>		

Discretely Presented Component Unit Year ending June 30	Bonds Payable	
	Principal	Interest
2014	5,070	3,193
2015	5,325	2,940
2016	5,580	2,673
2017	5,880	2,380
2018	34,800	6,472
2019-2023	-	-
2024-2028	-	-
2029-2033	-	-
2034-2038	-	-
Total requirements	56,655	17,658
Bond discount/premium, net	(410)	-
Total	<u>\$ 56,245</u>	<u>\$ 17,658</u>

Accreted Interest Payable

The following is a summary of the changes in accreted interest payable for the year ended June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Balance June 30, 2012	Additions	Reductions	Balance June 30, 2013
<u>Governmental Activities:</u>				
<u>Certificates of Participation:</u>				
Court Financing (U.S. District Court Project)	\$ 3,592	\$ 445	\$ -	\$ 4,037
<u>Bonds:</u>				
Inland Empire Tobacco Securitization Authority	75,231	15,393	-	90,624
Total governmental-type activities	<u>\$ 78,823</u>	<u>\$ 15,838</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 94,661</u>
<u>Business-type Activities:</u>				
<u>Lease Revenue Bonds:</u>				
Regional Medical Center (1997A Hosp)	\$ 59,984	\$ 6,063	\$ (4,934)	\$ 61,113
Total business-type activities	<u>\$ 59,984</u>	<u>\$ 6,063</u>	<u>\$ (4,934)</u>	<u>\$ 61,113</u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 14 – LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (Continued)

Accreted Interest Payable (Continued)

The accreted interest payable balances at June 30, 2013 represent accreted interest on the U.S. District Court Project, the 2007 Inland Empire Tobacco Securitization Authority Bonds, and the 1997 A Hospital Serial Capital Appreciation Bonds. The original issues were \$2.2 million for the U.S. District Court Project, \$294.1 million for the 2007 Inland Empire Tobacco Securitization Authority Bonds, and \$41.2 million for the 1997 A Hospital Serial Capital Appreciation Bonds. The total accreted value on the bonds and certificates upon maturity will be \$7.2 million for the U.S. District Court Project, \$171.6 million for the 1997 A Hospital Serial Capital Appreciation Bonds and \$3.5 billion for the 2007 Inland Empire Tobacco Securitization Authority Bonds. The County is under no obligation to make payments of accreted value or redemption premiums, if any, or interest on the Series 2007 Bonds.

The increases of \$15.8 million and \$6.0 million represent current year's accretion for governmental activities and business-type activities respectively. The accumulated accretion for business-type activities is \$61.1 million at June 30, 2013. The accumulated accretion for U.S. District Court Financing and the Inland Empire Tobacco Securitization Authority in governmental activities is \$94.7 million. The un-accreted balances at June 30, 2013 are \$64.4 million for the 1997-A Hospital Regional Medical Center (RMC) project, \$3.2 million for the U.S. District Court, and \$3.4 billion for the Inland Empire Tobacco Securitization Authority Capital Appreciation Bonds.

Bonds, Certificates of Participation / Refunding

On July 1, 2012, Regional Medical Center (RMC) issued \$90.5 million in lease revenue bonds. The 2012A Bonds are being issued for the purpose of (a) refunding a portion of the 1997B Bonds maturing in the years 2013, 2016 and 2019 (the "Refunded 1997B Bonds"), in the aggregate principal of \$63.8 million; (b) providing funds for additional improvements to the medical center campus; (c) depositing funds into the debt service reserve fund established under the indenture; and (d) paying a portion of costs of issuance of the 2012 Bonds. The new bonds have an interest rate of 2.0% to 5.0%.

The County of Riverside Palm Desert Financing Authority is a joint power agreement between the County of Riverside and Palm Desert Redevelopment Agency established on January 1, 2002. The Palm Desert Redevelopment Agency was dissolved on January 31, 2012. The Palm Desert Successor Agency succeeded the former Palm Desert Redevelopment Agency. On October 1, 2012, The Riverside County Public Financing Authority issued \$17.6 million in lease revenue refunding bonds. The proceeds of the Series 2012 Bonds will be used to (i) refinance certain previously acquired, constructed and installed public improvements by refunding the outstanding Riverside County Palm Desert Financing Authority ("the Authority") Lease Revenue Bonds (County Facilities Projects), 2003 Series A. The new bonds have an interest rate of 3.0% to 5.0%.

Defeasance of Debt

In April 2005, CORAL issued \$22.6 million of Certificates of Participation, Series B (2005 Series B – Historic Courthouse Refunding). The proceeds from the sale of the certificates were used to advance refund \$21.1 million of the Historic Courthouse Certificates of Participation. Accordingly, the refunded certificates have been eliminated and the advance refunding certificates have been included in the financial statements. The amount of the defeased debt outstanding at June 30, 2013, was \$1.4 million.

On December 2009, CORAL issued \$24.7 million certificates of participation (2009 Larson Justice Center Project Refunding COP) to provide funds to refund and prepay the certificates of participation relating to 1998 Larson Justice Center Project with an outstanding principal amount of \$23.7 million; to fund the reserve fund; and to pay certain cost of issuance incurred in connection with this refunding. The requisition price exceeded the net carry amount of the old debt by \$1.0 million. This amount is being netted against the new debt and amortized over the new debt's life. The transaction resulted in an economic gain of \$3.7 million and a reduction of \$1.5 million in future debt service payments.

In December 2009, CORAL also issued \$45.7 million certificates of participation (2009 Public Safety Communication and Woodcrest Library Projects Refunding COP) to provide funds to refund and redeem the certificates of participation relating to 2007 Series B Public Safety Communication Project with an outstanding principal amount of \$37.4 million; to provide funds to refund; and retire the series 2006 Certificates of Participation

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 14 – LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (Continued)

Defeasance of Debt (Continued)

Anticipation Note relating to Woodcrest Library Project with an outstanding principal amount of \$6.0 million; to fund capitalized interest on a portion of the certificates of participation through July 1, 2012; to fund a security deposit with respect to Base Rental payable under the Sublease; and to pay certain cost of issuance incurred in connection with this refunding. The reacquisition price exceeded the net carry amount of the old debts by \$2.3 million. This amount is being netted against the new debt and amortized over the new debt's life. The transaction resulted in an economic gain of \$3.3 million and an addition of \$6.9 million in future debt service payments.

On February 28, 2011, CORAL issued \$5.5 million in private placement bonds (2011 Monroe Building) to provide funds to refund and redeem the notes payable relating to the 2007 Monroe Park Building loan with an outstanding principal amount of \$5.4 million and to pay certain cost of issuance incurred in connection with this refunding. The reacquisition price exceeded the net carrying amount of the old debt by \$140.0 thousand. This amount is being netted against the new debt and amortized over the new debt's life. The transaction resulted in an economic gain of \$527.0 thousand and a reduction of \$339.0 thousand in future debt service payments.

On February 2012, CORAL issued \$33.4 million in lease revenue bonds (2012 County Administrative Center Refunding Projects) to provide funds to refund and prepay the certificates of participation relating to 2001 County Administrative Center (CAC) Annex with an outstanding principal amount of \$31.4 million; to fund the reserve fund, and to pay certain costs of issuance incurred in connection with this refunding and to acquire two office buildings located in Indio, California. The requisition price exceeded the net carry amount of the old debt by \$2.0 million. This amount is being netted against the new debt and amortized over the new debt's life. The transaction resulted in an economic gain of \$5.0 million and a reduction of \$3.6 million in future debt service payments.

In July 2012, CORAL issued \$90.5 million in lease revenue bonds (2012 Series A and Taxable Series B County of Riverside Capital Projects) to provide funds to refund and prepay CORAL's Leasehold Revenue Bonds, 1997 Series B with an outstanding principal amount of \$64.4 million; to provide funds (\$30.0 million) for improvements to the Medical Center Campus; deposit funds into the Debt Service Reserve Fund; and pay certain cost of issuance incurred in connection with this refunding. The refunding resulted in a redemption premium of \$639.3 thousand for the 1997 Series B lease revenue bonds and a net premium of \$6.9 million for the 2012 Series A and Taxable Series B. The reacquisition price exceeded the net carry amount of the old debt by \$26.6 million. This amount is being netted against the new debt and amortized over the new debt's life. The transaction resulted in an economic gain of \$8.0 million and a reduction of \$7.1 million in future debt service payments.

Single Family and Multi-Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds

Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds have been issued to provide funds to purchase mortgage loans secured by first trust deeds on newly constructed single-family residences. The purpose of this program is to provide low interest rate home mortgage loans to persons who are unable to qualify for conventional mortgages at market rates. Multi-Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds are issued to provide permanent financing for apartment projects located in the County to be partially occupied by persons of low or moderate income.

A total of \$34.2 million of Mortgage Revenue Bonds have been issued and \$30.3 million is outstanding as of June 30, 2013. These bonds do not constitute an indebtedness of the County. The bonds are payable solely from payments made on and secured by a pledge of the acquired mortgage loans and certain funds and other monies held for the benefit of the bondholders pursuant to the bond indentures. In the opinion of the County officials, these bonds are not payable from any revenues or assets of the County, and neither the full faith and credit nor the taxing authority of the County, the State, or any political subdivision thereof is obligated to the payment of the principal or interest on the bonds. Accordingly, no liability has been recorded in the basic financial statements.

Special Assessment Bonds

Various special districts in the County reporting entity have issued special assessment bonds, totaling \$77.2 million at June 30, 2013, to provide financing or improvements benefiting certain property owners. Special assessment bonds consist of Community Facilities District Bonds and Assessment District Bonds.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 14 – LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (Continued)

Special Assessment Bonds (Continued)

The County, including its special districts, is not liable for the payment of principal or interest on the bonds, which are obligations solely of the benefited property owners. Certain debt service transactions relating to certain special assessment bonds are accounted for in the Agency Funds.

The County is not obligated and does not expect to advance any available funds from the County general fund to the Community Facilities Districts or the Assessment Districts for any current or future delinquent debt service obligations. The County Special Districts continue to use all means available to bring current any delinquent special assessment taxes, including workouts, settlement agreements, and foreclosure actions when necessary.

The Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (Flood Control) has issued special assessment bonds, totaling \$2.1 million as June 30, 2013, for the construction of flood control facilities. The bonds are to be repaid through special assessment revenue and are not considered obligations of Flood Control. In accordance with bond covenants, Flood Control has established a reserve for potential delinquencies. If a delinquency occurs in the payment of any assessment installment, Flood Control has the duty to transfer the amount of such delinquent installment from the Reserve Fund into the Redemption Fund assessment installment. Flood Control's liability to advance funds for bond redemption in the event of delinquent assessment installments is limited to the reserves established.

State Appellate Court Financing

In November 1997, the Public Finance Authority of the County of Riverside issued \$13.5 million of Lease Revenue Bonds for the State of California Court of Appeal Fourth Appellate District, Division Two Project. The State of California executed a lease coincident with the term of the financing and those lease payments are the sole security for the financing. The State is the ultimate obligor under the terms of the financing and neither the County nor the Authority will have any ongoing payment obligation. The State has committed to indemnify the County in the Lease.

Interest Rate Swap

Objective of the Interest Rate Swap: As a means to lower financing costs and to reduce the risks to CORAL associated with the fluctuation in market interest rates, CORAL entered into an amended and restated interest rate swap in connection with the Southwest Justice Center Series 2008A Leasehold Revenue Bonds in the notional amount of \$76.3 million. The intention of the swap was to effectively change the variable interest rate on the bonds to a synthetic fixed-rate of 5.2%.

Terms: The bonds and the related swap agreement mature on November 1, 2032, and the swap's notional amount of \$76.3 million approximately matches a portion of \$78.9 million variable-rate bonds. The swap was effective at the same time the bonds were issued on May 24, 2000 due to the consistent critical terms between the swap and the associated debt and was amended and restated as of December 10, 2008. None of the critical terms were changed pursuant to this agreement. The notional value of the swap and the principal amount of the associated debt, decline starting in fiscal year 2014-15. Under the amended and restated swap agreement, CORAL paid Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. a fixed payment rate of 5.2%. CORAL receives an interest rate equal to an amount not to exceed the maximum interest rate payable on the Bonds, expressed as a decimal, equal to 64.0% of the monthly London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) in the relevant calculation period. Conversely, the Bonds' variable-rate coupons have historically been similar to the Bond Market Association Municipal Swap Index (BMA). Under GASB Statement No. 53, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Derivative Instruments*, the interest rate swap contract qualifies as a derivative financial instrument and a cash flow hedging. CORAL's net cash outflow or payment under the interest rate swap contract was \$2.8 million for the year ended June 30, 2013. The swap is not subject to rollover risk because the maturity of the swap matches the maturity of the principal amount of the associated debt or market-access risk as no other embedded instrument is involved with the swap that would require accessing the credit markets.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 14 – LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (Continued)

Interest Rate Swap (Continued)

Fair Value: As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, the swap had a negative fair value of \$26.8 million and \$35.2 million, respectively, an increase in fair value of \$8.4 million occurred during the fiscal year 2012-13. The fair value was recorded in the County's Statement of Net Position as interest rate swap liability and deferred outflow in the assets section. Because the coupons on the Southwest Justice Center Series 2008A Leasehold Revenue Refunding Bonds adjust to changing interest rates, the Bonds do not have a corresponding fair value increase. The fair value was the quoted market price from Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. at June 30, 2013.

Credit Risks: The swap counterparty was rated Aa3 by Moody's and AA- by Standard & Poor's as of February 2013. The swap agreement specifies that if the long-term senior unsecured debt rating of Wells Fargo, N.A. is withdrawn, suspended or falls below BBB (Standard & Poor) or Baa2 (Moody's), a collateral agreement will be executed within 30 days or the fair value of the swaps will be fully collateralized by the counterparty.

Basis Risks: The swap exposes CORAL to basis risk should the relationship between LIBOR and BMA converge, changing the synthetic rate on the Bonds. If a change occurs that results in the rates moving to convergence, the expected cost savings may not be realized. As of June 30, 2013, CORAL's rate was 64.0% of LIBOR, or 0.1%, whereas Municipal Swap Index or the reset rate on bonds was 0.1%. The synthetic rate on the bonds at June 30, 2013 was 5.2%.

Termination Risks: CORAL always has the right to terminate the swaps. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. is limited in so far as both CORAL and the insurer are not performing. The swap may be terminated by CORAL if Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s credit quality rating falls below A- as issued by Standard & Poor's or A3 by Moody's. Additionally, the swaps may be terminated by Wells Fargo, N.A. if CORAL's credit quality rating falls below BBB+ as issued by Standard & Poor's or Baa1 as issued by Moody's or if the bonds credit quality ratings fall below BBB+ as issued by Standard & Poor's or Baa1 as issued by Moody's. If the swaps are terminated, the variable rate bonds would no longer carry synthetic interest rates. Also, if at the time of termination the swaps had negative fair values, CORAL, would be liable to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. for a payment equal to the swaps' fair values.

Swap Payment and Associated Debt: Using rates as of June 30, 2013, debt service requirements of the variable-rate debt and net swap payments, assuming current interest rates remain the same for their term, were as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2013	Variable Rate Bonds		Net Swap Payments	Total Interest
	Principal	Interest		
2014	\$ -	\$ 1,099	\$ 2,834	\$ 3,933
2015	-	1,099	2,834	3,933
2016	-	1,078	2,781	3,859
2017	5,160	1,040	2,685	3,725
2018	8,055	1,000	2,580	3,580
2019-2023	17,060	4,309	11,116	15,425
2024-2028	22,235	2,892	7,462	10,355
2029-2033	23,790	1,052	2,709	3,761
2034-2038				-
	<u>\$ 76,300</u>	<u>\$ 13,569</u>	<u>\$ 35,001</u>	<u>\$ 48,571</u>

As rates vary, variable-rate Bond interest payments and net swap payments will vary.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 14 – LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (Continued)

Changes in long-term liabilities

The following is a summary of governmental activities long-term liabilities transactions for the year ended June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Balance June 30, 2012	New Additions	Payments / Reclass	Balance June 30, 2013	Amounts Due Within One Year
<u>Governmental activities:</u>					
Debt long-term liabilities:					
Bonds payable	\$ 750,492	\$ 17,640	\$ (23,672)	\$ 744,460	\$ 31,019
Capital lease obligations	100,995	6,325	(39,572)	67,748	15,342
Certificates of participation	309,511	-	(27,416)	282,095	28,771
Loans payable	4,925	-	(505)	4,420	530
Total debt long-term liabilities	1,165,923	23,965	(91,165)	1,098,723	75,662
Other long-term liabilities:					
Accreted interest payable	78,823	15,838	-	94,661	-
Compensated absences (a)	166,330	814	(1,416)	165,728	79,682
Estimated claims liabilities (b)	130,438	42,565	(42,084)	130,919	22,993
Accrued remediation costs (c)	2,398	395	-	2,793	113
Total other long-term liabilities	377,989	59,612	(43,500)	394,101	102,788
Total governmental activities – long-term liabilities	\$ 1,543,912	\$ 83,577	\$ (134,665)	\$ 1,492,824	\$ 178,450

- (a) General fund, special revenue fund, and internal service fund are used to liquidate the compensated absences.
(b) Internal service funds are used to liquidate the estimated claims liabilities.
(c) General fund is used to liquidate the remediation costs

The following is a summary of business-type activities long-term liabilities transactions for the year ended June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Balance June 30, 2012	Additions	Payments / Reclass	Balance June 30, 2013	Amounts Due Within One Year
<u>Business-type activities:</u>					
Debt long-term liabilities:					
Bonds payable, net of un-amortized discount and losses (a)	\$ 121,061	\$ 96,917	\$ (74,268)	\$ 143,710	\$ 10,675
Capital lease (RMC)	12,055	611	(5,442)	7,224	3,946
Total debt long-term liabilities	133,116	97,528	(79,710)	150,934	14,621
Other long-term liabilities:					
Accreted interest payable	59,984	6,063	(4,934)	61,113	-
Accrued closure and post-closure costs	52,537	1,588	-	54,125	6,978
Compensated absences	21,984	2,535	(458)	24,061	14,514
Accrued remediation costs	37,429	-	(764)	36,665	834
OPEB obligation, net	-	113	-	113	-
Other long-term liabilities (b)	6,795	-	-	6,795	-
Total other long-term liabilities	178,729	10,299	(6,156)	182,872	22,326
Total business-type activities – long-term liabilities	\$ 311,845	\$ 107,827	\$ (85,866)	\$ 333,806	\$ 36,947

Discretely Presented Component Unit

Debt long-term liabilities:					
Bonds payable	\$ 78,799	\$ -	\$ (22,554)	\$ 56,245	\$ 5,070
Other long-term liabilities:					
Compensated absences	255	88	(64)	279	161
Total discretely presented component unit – long-term liabilities	\$ 79,054	\$ 88	\$ (22,618)	\$ 56,524	\$ 5,231

- (a) The reduction in bonds payable amount of \$71.2 million includes the refunding of serial bond 1997 B for \$64.3 million during fiscal year 2012-13.
(b) The Housing Authority (Business-type Activity) has two notes payable, totaling \$6.8 million, under “Other long-term liabilities.”

Disclosure of Pledged Revenues

Inland Empire Tobacco Securitization Authority, a blended component unit of the County, issued \$294.1 million of tobacco asset-backed bonds. The bonds are solely secured by pledging a portion of County Tobacco Assets*** made

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 14 – LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (Continued)

Disclosure of Pledged Revenues (Continued)

payable to the County pursuant to agreements with the State and other parties. The portion of revenues that will be used to pay the debt service are (i) the County Tobacco Assets to the extent consisting of or relating to amounts due to the County after the first \$10.0 million has been paid to the County in each year beginning on January 1, 2008 and ending on December 31, 2020, (ii) the County Tobacco Assets to the extent consisting of or relating to amounts due to the County after the first \$11.5 million has been paid to the County in each year beginning on January 1, 2021 and ending on December 31, 2026, (iii) the County Tobacco Assets to the extent consisting of or relating to amounts due to the County from and after January 1, 2027, and (iv) the County Tobacco Assets to the extent consisting of or relating to the applicable percentage of a lump sum payment of 17.3% to the County and 82.7% to the Inland Empire Tobacco Securitization Authority for Calendar year 2013. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, \$25.7 million was received by the Inland Empire Tobacco Securitization Authority; \$10.0 million, or 39.0%, was distributed to the County per the above agreement, leaving \$15.7 million, or 61.0%, of the specific tobacco settlement revenues available to be pledged (see page 143). The County is under no obligation to make payments of the principal or accreted value of or redemption premiums, if any, or interest on the Series 2007 bonds in the event that revenues are insufficient for the payment thereof.

*** Tobacco settlement revenue required to be paid to the State of California under the Master Settlement Agreement entered into by participating cigarette manufacturers, 46 states, California, and six other U.S. jurisdictions, in November 1998 in settlement of certain cigarette smoking-related litigation.

The Housing Authority 1998 bonds are secured by an agreement with the City of Corona, which has pledged to pay \$218.0 thousand to the Housing Authority each year until the bonds are redeemed in their entirety on December 1, 2018. The bond indenture requires the Housing Authority to remit the entire \$218.0 thousand received each year to the bond trustee to pay for the bond's annual debt service payments, which in fiscal year 2013 was \$135.0 thousand (principal) and \$83.0 thousand (interest).

The Housing Authority reports the \$218.0 thousand received each year as revenue. In fiscal year 2012-13, the \$218.0 thousand represented about 0.3% of the total revenues of the Housing Authority. Municipal Bond Insurance Association has issued a surety bond in lieu of a cash funded reserve. The outstanding balance as of June 30, 2013, before applying the deferred charge, was \$1.0 million.

Proposition 1A Borrowing by the State of California

Under the provisions of Proposition 1A and as part of the fiscal year 2009-10 budget package passed by the California state legislature on July 28, 2009, the State of California borrowed 8.0% of the amount of property tax revenue, including those property taxes associated with the in-lieu motor vehicle license fee, the triple flip in-lieu sales tax, and supplemental property tax, apportioned to cities, counties and special districts (excluding redevelopment agencies). The state is required to repay this borrowing plus interest by June 30, 2013. After repayment of this initial borrowing, the California legislature may consider only one additional borrowing within a ten-year period. The amount of this borrowing pertaining to the County, Flood Control and Park District was \$38.4 million, \$4.2 million and \$386.7 thousand, respectively.

Authorized with the fiscal year 2009-10 State budget package, the Proposition 1A Securitization Program was instituted by the California Statewide Communities Development Authority ("California Communities"), a joint powers authority sponsored by the California State Association of Counties and the League of California Cities, to enable local governments to sell their Proposition 1A receivables to California Communities. Under the Securitization Program, California Communities simultaneously purchased the Proposition 1A receivables and issued bonds ("Prop 1A Bonds") to provide local agencies with cash proceeds in two equal installments, on January 15, 2010 and May 3, 2010. The purchase price paid to the local agencies equaled 100.0% of the amount of the property tax reduction. All transaction costs of issuance and interest were paid by the State of California. Participating local agencies have no obligation on the bonds and no credit exposure to the State. The County participated in the securitization program and accordingly property taxes have been recorded in the same manner as if the State had not exercised its rights under Proposition 1A. The receivable sale proceeds were equal to the book value and, as a result, no gain or loss was recorded.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 15– DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES

Pursuant to GASB Statement No. 63, *Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position* and GASB Statement No. 65, *Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities*, the County recognized deferred inflows of resources in the governmental and government-wide financial statements. These items are an acquisition of net position by the County that is applicable to a future reporting period. Previous financial reporting standards do not include guidance for reporting those financial statement elements, which are distinct from assets and liabilities.

The largest portions of the County's deferred inflows of resources are SB90 and Teeter tax loss reserve. SB90 is California Senate Bill 90 of 1972 which established a requirement that the State reimburse local government agencies for the costs of new programs or increased levels of service on programs mandated by the State. Teeter tax loss reserve pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 4703 was established as a tax loss reserve fund for covering losses that may occur in the amount of tax liens as a result of special sales of tax defaulted property.

Deferred inflows of resources balances for the year ended June 30, 2013 were as follows:

	Balance June 30, 2013
Governmental type activities:	
General Fund:	
SB 90	\$ 41,356
Teeter tax loss reserve	17,703
Property tax	5,917
Sales tax	1,879
Total general fund	<u>66,855</u>
Flood Control Special Revenue Fund:	
Property tax	1,808
Total governmental type activities	<u>\$ 68,663</u>
Business type activities:	
Housing Authority Fund:	
Grants received in advance	\$ 722
Waste Management Fund:	
Service concession arrangement	8,396
Total business type activities	<u>\$ 9,118</u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 16 – FUND BALANCES

Fund balances that presented in the following categories: nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned. (See note 1 for a description of each categories. A detailed schedule of fund balances as of June 30, 2013 is as follows (in thousands):

	Major Funds			
	General Fund	Transportation Special Revenue Funds	Flood Control Special Revenue Funds	Public Facilities Improvements
<u>Nonspendable:</u>				
Imprest cash	\$ 370	\$ 13	\$ 1	\$ -
Inventories	2,059	1,031	-	-
Permanent	-	-	-	-
Prepays	818	-	-	-
Total nonspendable fund balances	3,247	1,044	1	-
<u>Restricted for:</u>				
Teeter tax losses	8,813	-	-	-
Recorder modernization	19,315	-	-	-
Public protection	42,860	-	-	1,153
Fire stations	-	-	-	18,769
Roads	-	78,334	-	45,853
Traffic signals	-	-	-	13,353
Capital projects improvement program	-	-	-	18,285
Public facilities	182	-	-	35,343
Public assistance programs	4,019	-	-	-
Health and sanitation services	17,317	-	-	-
Housing assistance programs	-	-	-	-
Parks and recreation	-	-	-	20,433
Education	-	-	-	-
Debt service	2,387	-	-	-
Other purposes	6,547	-	-	-
Encumbrances	-	793	-	215
Total restricted fund balances	101,440	79,127	-	153,404
<u>Committed to:</u>				
Property tax system	6,128	-	-	-
Disaster relief	15,000	-	-	-
Public assistance	4,462	-	-	-
Public protection	9,982	812	253,117	-
Health and sanitation services	1,849	133	-	-
Parks and recreation	-	-	-	-
Other capital projects	1,000	-	-	412
Other purposes	3,762	365	-	1,500
Total committed fund balances	42,183	1,310	253,117	1,912
<u>Assigned to:</u>				
Public protection	-	-	-	-
Roads	-	12,820	-	17,003
Emergency safety communication system	-	-	-	-
Capital projects improvement program	-	-	-	25,205
Public assistance	-	-	-	-
Other capital projects	-	-	-	-
Other purposes	-	-	1,807	-
Encumbrances	10,460	1	-	2,036
Total assigned fund balances	10,460	12,821	1,807	44,244
<u>Unassigned fund balances</u>	199,919	-	-	-
Total fund balances	\$ 357,249	\$ 94,302	\$ 254,925	\$ 199,560

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 16 – FUND BALANCES (Continued)

Nonmajor Funds						
Special Revenue Funds	Debt Service Funds	Capital Projects Funds	Permanent Fund	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds	
\$ 108	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 108	\$ 492	<u>Nonspendable:</u>
-	-	-	-	-	3,090	Imprest cash
-	-	-	473	473	473	Inventories
7	-	580	-	587	1,405	Permanent
115	-	580	473	1,168	5,460	Prepays
						Total nonspendable fund balances
-	-	-	-	-	8,813	<u>Restricted for:</u>
-	-	-	-	-	19,315	Teeter tax losses
8,169	-	-	-	8,169	52,182	Recorder modernization
3,979	-	-	-	3,979	22,748	Public protection
2,400	-	-	-	2,400	126,587	Fire stations
14,263	-	-	-	14,263	27,616	Roads
-	-	5,686	-	5,686	23,971	Traffic signals
5,590	-	-	-	5,590	41,115	Capital projects improvement program
5,215	-	-	-	5,215	9,234	Public facilities
7,058	-	-	-	7,058	24,375	Public assistance programs
3,165	-	-	-	3,165	3,165	Health and sanitation services
-	-	8,857	-	8,857	29,290	Housing assistance programs
24,638	-	-	-	24,638	24,638	Parks and recreation
-	79,951	-	-	79,951	82,338	Education
4,788	-	145	36	4,969	11,516	Debt service
612	-	-	-	612	1,620	Other purposes
79,877	79,951	14,688	36	174,552	508,523	Encumbrances
						Total restricted fund balances
-	-	-	-	-	6,128	<u>Committed to:</u>
-	-	-	-	-	15,000	Property tax system
9,104	-	-	-	9,104	13,566	Disaster relief
-	-	-	-	-	263,911	Public assistance
-	-	-	-	-	1,982	Public protection
6,659	-	-	-	6,659	6,659	Health and sanitation services
-	-	151	-	151	1,563	Parks and recreation
-	-	-	-	-	5,627	Other capital projects
15,763	-	151	-	15,914	314,436	Other purposes
						Total committed fund balances
35	-	-	-	35	35	<u>Assigned to:</u>
-	-	-	-	-	29,823	Public protection
-	-	177	-	177	177	Roads
-	-	4,085	-	4,085	29,290	Emergency safety communication system
405	-	-	-	405	405	Capital projects improvement program
-	-	9,132	-	9,132	9,132	Public assistance
3,186	-	-	-	3,186	4,993	Other capital projects
-	-	68	-	68	12,565	Other purposes
3,626	-	13,462	-	17,088	86,420	Encumbrances
						Total assigned fund balances
-	-	-	-	-	199,919	<u>Unassigned fund balances</u>
\$99,381	\$ 79,951	\$28,881	\$ 509	\$ 208,722	\$ 1,114,758	Total fund balances

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 17 – RISK MANAGEMENT

The County is self-insured for general liability, medical malpractice, and workers' compensation claims. The County records estimated liabilities for general liability, medical malpractice, and workers' compensation claims filed or estimated to be filed for incidents that have occurred. Estimated liability accruals include those incidents that are reported as well as an amount for those incidents that incurred but are not reported (IBNR) at fiscal year end. The funding of these estimates is based on actuarial experience and projections. The County fully self-insures short-term disability and unemployment insurance. Life insurance and long-term disability programs are fully insured. Depending on the plan, group health, dental, and vision may be either self-insured or fully insured.

The County supplements its self-insurance for general liability, medical malpractice, and workers' compensation with catastrophic excess insurance coverage. General liability utilizes a policy providing coverage on a per occurrence basis. Limits under the policy are \$10.0 million, subject to a self-insured retention (SIR) of \$1.0 million for each occurrence. A SIR is a form of a deductible. The County also purchases an additional \$15.0 million per occurrence in excess of the \$10.0 million for a total of \$25.0 million in limits. Medical malpractice utilizes an excess policy providing coverage on a per occurrence basis. Limits under the malpractice policy are \$20.0 million subject to a SIR of \$1.1 million. The maximum limit under the excess workers' compensation, Section A, is statutory (unlimited); Section B, employer liability is \$5.0 million per claim. Section A is subject to a \$2.0 million SIR for each accident, employee injury, or disease. Settlements have not exceeded coverage for each of the past three fiscal years.

The County's property insurance program provides insurance coverage for all risks subject to a \$50.0 thousand per occurrence deductible; flood coverage is subject to a 2.0% deductible per unit within a 100-year flood zone and \$25.0 thousand per unit deductible outside a 100-year flood zone. (A 'unit' is defined as; a separate building, contents in a separate building, property in the open (yard) or, time element coverage in a separate building.) The County's property is categorized into four Towers and each Tower provides \$600.0 million in limits. Earthquake (covering scheduled locations equal to or greater than \$1.0 million in value and lesser valued locations where such coverage is required by contract) has a sub-limit in each Tower of \$82.5 million with an additional \$275.0 million excess rooftop limit available to any one Tower. The excess rooftop limit may be triggered during the policy year if a covered earthquake event somewhere in the state has depleted the initial underlying limits. Earthquake coverage is subject to a deductible equal to 5.0% of replacement cost value per unit subject to a \$100.0 thousand minimum per unit. Boiler and Machinery provides up to \$100.0 million in limits, with various deductibles. The limits in each Tower are shared with other counties on a per event basis. Should a catastrophic event occur and losses exceed the limits, the County would be responsible.

The activities related to such programs are accounted for in Internal Service Funds (ISF). Accordingly, estimated liabilities for claims, including loss adjustment expenses, filed or to be filed, for incidents that have occurred through June 30, 2013 are reported in these funds. Where certain ISF funds have an accumulated deficit or insufficient reserves, the County provides funding to reduce the deficit and increase the reserves. If the funding is above the Board of Supervisors approved 70.0% confidence level, an appropriate reduction in funding including a one-time holiday on department charges may be granted. For fiscal year 2013-14 the Board of Supervisors approved continued reduced funding at slightly below the 55.0% confidence level for the General Liability ISF and at 55.0% for the Workers' Compensation ISF. Funding for the Medical Malpractice ISF was approved to return to the 70.0% confidence level. Revenues for these Internal Service Funds are primarily provided by other County departments and are intended to cover the self-insured claim payments, insurance premiums, and operating expenses. The revenue is not used to cover catastrophic events and other uninsured liabilities. Cash available in the Risk Management and Workers' Compensation Internal Service Funds at June 30, 2013 plus revenues to be collected during fiscal year 2013-2014 are expected to be sufficient to cover all fiscal year 2013-2014 payments. The carrying amount of unpaid claim liabilities is \$130.9 million. The liabilities are discounted at 2.5% for general liability and medical malpractice and 3.0% for workers' compensation.

	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2013
Unpaid claims, beginning of year	\$ 124,717	\$ 130,438
Increase (decrease) in provision for insured events of prior years	7,199	(2,148)
Incurred claims for current year	33,584	44,713
Claim payments	(35,062)	(42,084)
Unpaid claims, end of year	<u>\$ 130,438</u>	<u>\$ 130,919</u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 18 – MEDI-CAL AND MEDICARE PROGRAMS

The Regional Medical Center provides services to patients covered by various reimbursement programs. The principal programs are Medicare, the State of California Medi-Cal, and the County Medically Indigent Services Program (MISP) and Low Income Health Plan (LIHP). Net patient service revenue is recorded at the estimated net realizable amounts from patients, third-party payers, and others for services rendered. In addition, net patient service revenue includes a provision for doubtful accounts and estimated retroactive adjustments under reimbursement agreements with federal and state government programs and other third-party payers. Retroactive adjustments are accrued on an estimated basis in the period the related services are rendered and adjusted in future periods as final settlements are determined.

Inpatient services rendered to Medi-Cal program beneficiaries are reimbursed at a per diem rate based upon estimated certified public expenditures (CPEs) and outpatient services are reimbursed under a schedule of maximum allowable reimbursement provided by the California Department of Health Care Services. Inpatient acute care services rendered to Medicare program beneficiaries are reimbursed based upon pre-established rates for diagnostic-related groups. Inpatient non-acute services, certain outpatient services, and defined capital and medical education costs related to Medicare beneficiaries are paid based on a cost-reimbursement methodology subject to payment caps and indexing formulas. The Regional Medical Center is reimbursed for cost reimbursable items at a tentative rate with final settlement determined after submission of annual cost reports by the Regional Medical Center and audit thereof by the Medicare fiscal intermediary. Normal estimation differences between final settlements and amounts accrued in previous years are reflected in net patient services revenue. The fiscal intermediary has audited the Regional Medical Center's Medicare cost reports through 2010 and Medi-Cal cost reports through June 30, 2011. The Regional Medical Center has received notices of program reimbursement (NPR), a written notice reflecting the intermediary's final determination of the total amount of reimbursement due the medical center for Medicare through June 30, 2008 and for Medi-Cal, the Regional Medical Center has received NPR through June 30, 2010.

In September 2005, the State of California significantly modified its Medi-Cal program under a new waiver with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). In connection with the new waiver, the State legislature passed the Medi-Cal Hospital Uninsured Demonstration Project Act, or SB 1100, which replaced the SB 855 and SB 1255 programs. For the SB 1100 program, the State continues to provide supplemental payments to the hospital for uncompensated care. However, the use of intergovernmental transfers (IGTs) by the State, as the non-federal match, was modified to a methodology consisting of CPEs up to 50 percent of costs or Federal Medical Assistance Program (FMAP) rate. The Regional Medical Center has recorded net patient revenue of \$107.2 million for SB 1100 for the year ended June 30, 2013, of which \$41.5 million is from the Delivery System Reform Incentive Program (DSRIP), a waiver incentive based payment component of the Section 1115 Medicaid Waiver.

All CPEs reported by the hospital will be subject to State and Federal audit and final reconciliation process. If at the end of the final reconciliation process it is determined that the hospital's claimed CPEs resulted in an overpayment to the State, the hospital may be required to return the overpayment whether or not they received the federal matching funds.

NOTE 19 – JOINTLY GOVERNED ORGANIZATIONS

Under Title I (Section 6500 et seq.) of the Government Code, the County has participated in jointly governed organizations with various entities for a variety of purposes. The board of directors for each of these organizations is composed of one representative of each member organization. The County maintains no majority influence or budgetary control over the following entities and County transactions with these jointly governed organizations are not material to the financial statements. The following jointly governed organizations were not included as either blended or discretely presented component units in these financial statements.

A representation of the jointly governed organizations on which the County served at June 30, 2013 follows:

The California State Association of Counties (CSAC) Excess Insurance Authority was formed in October 1979 and has a current membership of 52 California counties. The CSAC operates programs for excess workers' compensation, two excess liability programs, two property programs, and medical malpractice. It also provides support services for selected programs such as claims administration, risk management, loss prevention and training, and subsidies for actuarial studies and claims audits.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 19 – JOINTLY GOVERNED ORGANIZATIONS (Continued)

Coachella Valley Association of Governments was formed in November 1973. Currently, the association includes the following members: the cities of Blythe, Cathedral City, Coachella, Desert Hot Springs, Indian Wells, Indio, La Quinta, Palm Desert, Palm Springs, and Rancho Mirage; the local tribes of Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians and the Cabazon Band of Mission Indians; and Riverside County. The purpose of the Association is to conduct studies and projects designed to improve and coordinate the common governmental responsibilities and services on an area-wide and regional basis.

Western Riverside Council of Governments was formed in November 1989 with the cities of Banning, Beaumont, Calimesa, Canyon Lake, Corona, Hemet, Lake Elsinore, Moreno Valley, Murrieta, Norco, Perris, Riverside, San Jacinto, and Temecula for the purpose of serving as a forum for consideration, study, and recommendation on area-wide and regional problems.

Riverside County Habitat Conservation Agency (RCHCA) was formed in July 1990. The RCHCA is a Joint Powers Agreement Agency comprised of the cities of Corona, Hemet, Lake Elsinore, Moreno Valley, Murrieta, Perris, Riverside, Temecula, and the County of Riverside for the purpose of planning, acquiring, administering, operating, and maintaining land and facilities for ecosystem conservation and habitat reserves for the Stephen's Kangaroo Rat and other endangered species under Article 1, Chapter 5, Division 7, Title 1 of the Government Code.

Van Horn Regional Treatment Facility was organized in January 1991 with Los Angeles, San Diego, San Bernardino, Orange, and Riverside Counties for the purpose of constructing and operating a treatment center for emotionally disturbed minors. The Facility's Board of Directors consists of the Chief Probation Officer and the Director of Mental Health for each county.

Riverside County Abandoned Vehicle Abatement Authority was formed in June 1993 with those cities within the County that have elected to create and participate in the Authority, pursuant to Vehicle Code Section 22710. The purpose of the Authority is to implement a program and plan for the abatement of abandoned vehicles.

The March Joint Powers Commission was formed in August 1993 with the cities of Moreno Valley, Perris, and Riverside to formulate and implement plans for the use and reuse of March Air Force Base.

The Salton Sea Authority was formed in August 1993 with Imperial County, Imperial Irrigation District, and Coachella Valley Water District to direct and coordinate actions relating to improvement of water quality, stabilization of water elevation, and to enhance recreational and economic development potential of the Salton Sea and other beneficial uses.

Coachella Valley Regional Airport Authority was formed in April 1994 with the cities of Coachella, Indian Wells, Indio, La Quinta, and Palm Desert for the purpose of acting as a planning commission for the continued growth and development of Thermal Airport and the surrounding area.

Inland Empire Health Plan was formed with the County of San Bernardino in June 1994 to be the administrative body and governing board to form and develop a managed health care system for Medi-Cal recipients in the two counties through the Local Initiative.

Palm Springs Visitors and Convention Bureau were formed in December 1995 with those member cities located in the Coachella Valley area of the County. The purpose of the Authority is to encourage and promote all aspects of the hospitality, convention, and tourism industry in the Coachella Valley.

Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority / Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan were formed in January 2004 with the responsibility of issuing the permits required to implement the Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan, which will ultimately create a 500,000-acre reserve system in the County. The conservation plan's proposed reserve system protects habitat for 146 varieties of species.

Coachella Valley Conservation Commission (CVCC) was formed in October 2005. The CVCC is a Joint Powers Agreement Agency comprised of the cities of Coachella, Cathedral City, Desert Hot Springs, Indian Wells, Indio, La

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 19 – JOINTLY GOVERNED ORGANIZATIONS (Continued)

Quinta, Palm Desert, Palm Springs, Rancho Mirage, Riverside, and the Coachella Valley Water District as well as the Imperial Irrigation District. The purpose of the CVCC was to implement the Coachella Valley Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (CVMSHCP). The CVMSHCP goal is to enhance and maintain biological diversity and ecosystem processes while allowing future economic growth.

Southern California Regional Airport Authority (SCRAA) was originally founded in 1985 by the joint powers authority to begin the process of regionalizing aviation. It has been reactivated in an attempt to reduce projected future passenger loads at Los Angeles International Airport (LAX), by spreading the growth in commercial air traffic to other regional airports. The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) has also coordinated dispersal planning of the significant new MAP (million air passengers) that would have to be absorbed at other airports if LAX's future MAP is reduced.

Coachella Valley Enterprise Zone Authority (CVEZA) was formed in September 2010 by the Joint Powers Agreement comprised of the County of Riverside, the City of Indio, and the City of Coachella. The purpose of the authority is to manage, coordinate, market, and administer economic development programs and projects in the enterprise zone areas.

On January 10, 2011, as part of the statewide budget process, Governor Brown proposed the elimination of Redevelopment Agencies (RDA) throughout California starting Fiscal Year 2011-2012. On December 29, 2011, after a period of litigation, the State of California Assembly Bill ABX1 26 was upheld by the California Supreme Court, and RDAs were officially dissolved as of February 01, 2012. The Riverside County Board of Supervisors accepted the designation as Successor Agency for the Redevelopment Agency for the County of Riverside pursuant to CA Health and Safety Code section 34171(j), and transferred the responsibility of all housing functions previously performed by the Redevelopment Agency for the County of Riverside to the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside.

NOTE 20 – RETIREMENT PLAN

Plan Descriptions

The County of Riverside (County), Flood Control and Water Conservation District (Flood Control), Regional Park and Open-Space District (Park District) and Waste Management Department (Waste Management) contract with the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) to provide retirement benefits to its employees. CalPERS provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments and death benefits to plan members and plan beneficiaries. CalPERS is a common investment and administrative agent for participating public entities within the State of California. State statutes governed by the Public Employees' Retirement Law (PERL), have established benefit provisions as well as other requirements. The County may select from a variety of optional benefit provisions offered by CalPERS. Upon selecting the benefit provisions and entering into a contractual agreement with CalPERS, the benefit provisions may be adopted through local ordinance.

CalPERS issues a comprehensive annual financial report (CAFR) which details its plan assets, liabilities, and plan activity. The County receives an annual valuation report which summarizes plan assets, liabilities and employer rates for its plans. Under GASB Statement No. 27, both the County (Miscellaneous and Safety) and Flood Control are considered single-employer defined benefit pension plans, while the Park District and Waste Management are considered cost-sharing multi-employer defined benefit pension plans due to their pooling composite. Copies of the CalPERS Annual Financial Report may be obtained from: CalPERS Executive Office, 400 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

Funding Policy

Active plan members in CalPERS may be required to contribute up to 8.0% (Miscellaneous employees) and up to 9.0% (Safety employees) of their annual covered salary as specified in the governing Memorandum of Understanding or as provided by state statute.

The employer contribution rate is established and may be amended by CalPERS. The actuarial methods and assumptions used to establish the employer contribution rate are adopted by the CalPERS Board of Administration. The County, Flood Control, Park District and Waste Management are required to contribute the actuarially determined annual required contributions necessary to fund the plans.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 20 – RETIREMENT PLAN (Continued)

Public Employees' Pension Reform Act (PEPRA)

For some time, pension reform has been a topic of debate at local and national levels. Riverside County took the lead in initiating pension reform with its bargaining units. As a result of bargaining, County employees were required to pay their Employee Paid Member Contribution (EPMC), and a new retirement Tier (Tier II) was added to both the Miscellaneous and Safety units of the County. At the same time, Governor Brown initiated proposals that resulted in changes to the pension benefits. Due to the recent passage of Assembly Bill (AB) 340, which created the Public Employees' Pension Reform Act (PEPRA), new lower retirement benefit formulas, final compensation periods, and new contribution requirements were implemented for new employees hired on or after January 1, 2013. The lower retirement benefit formula as a result of PEPRA (Tier) III is 2% at 62 for Miscellaneous and 2.7% at 57 for Safety. Employee contribution rates for Tier III vary based on PEPRA rules. Listed below is a table with the new retirement options and provision changes.

	Plan	EPMC	Earliest Retirement Age	PEPRA Compensation Limits	Final Compensation	Effective Date
County Plan Tier I						
Misc.	3.0% at 60	Yes	50	N/A	12 months	N/A
Safety	3.0% at 60	Yes	50	N/A	12 months	N/A
County Plan Tier II						
Misc.	2.0% at 60	No	50	N/A	36 months	8/23/2012
Safety	2.0% at 60	No	50	N/A	36 months	8/23/2012
County Plan Tier III(PEPRA)						
Misc.	2.0% at 62	No	52	\$ 113,700	36 months	1/1/2013
Safety	2.7% at 57	No	50	136,440	36 months	1/1/2013

Early Retirement Incentive

In fiscal year 2009-10, the County's Board of Supervisors authorized three early retirement incentives for all Miscellaneous and Safety members, excluding Elected Officials covered by the CalPERS Local Miscellaneous and Local Safety contracts (see table below for participation detail). The Early Retirement Incentives offered eligible employees who elected to retire within a designated time period specified by the County, two additional years of service. Eligibility provisions for the Early Retirement Incentive required employees to be in specified job classifications, attainment of at least age 50, and completion of five (5) or more years of service credit with the County.

The County has the option to pay for the cost of each early retirement incentive in a single payment or spread the cost over a 20-year period. The County has elected to pay the cost over a 20-year period. The additional cost will result in increased employer contribution rates and will be payable two years after the end of the fiscal year in which the early retirement incentive window closes.

Early Retirement Incentive Table

Early Retirement Incentive	Window Periods	Total Eligible Employees	Employees Electing Early Retirement Incentive	Estimated Increase in Employer Contribution Rate	FY in Which Employer Contribution Rate will Increase
Local Miscellaneous	01/01 - 03/31/2009	3,400	678	0.4%	2011/2012
Local Safety	07/11 - 10/08/2009 ⁽¹⁾ 07/15 - 10/13/2009 ⁽²⁾	653	151	0.4%	2012/2013
Local Miscellaneous	02/11 - 08/09/2010	3,597	578	0.3%	2013/2014

(1) =District Attorney (2)=Sheriff

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 20 – RETIREMENT PLAN (Continued)

For fiscal year 2012/13, the employer and employee contribution rates were:

	County Miscellaneous	County Safety	Flood Control	Park District	Waste Management
County contribution rates:					
County Tier I	13.3%	22.4%	15.5%	17.1%	19.1%
County Tier II	13.3%	22.4%	15.5%	8.6%	N/A
County Tier III	13.3%	22.4%	15.5%	6.7%	N/A
Plan Members contribution rates					
County Tier I	8.0%	9.0%	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%
County Tier II	7.0%	9.0%	7.0%	7.0%	N/A
County Tier III	6.5%	10.8%	6.5%	6.5%	N/A

Annual Pension Cost

For fiscal year 2012-13, the annual pension costs for CalPERS is equal to the employer's required and actual contributions as noted below (dollar amounts in thousands):

	County Miscellaneous	County Safety	Flood Control	Park District	Waste Management
Annual required contribution	\$ 112,615	\$ 62,059	\$ 2,767	\$ 851	\$ 478
Interest on net pension obligation (asset)	(26,492)	(7,297)	(140)	-	-
Adjustment to annual required contribution	19,775	5,447	364	-	434
Annual pension cost	105,898	60,209	2,991	851	912
Contributions made	(112,615)	(62,059)	(2,852)	(851)	(478)
Increase (decrease) in net pension obligation (asset)	(6,717)	(1,850)	139	-	434
Net pension obligation (asset) beginning of year	(341,828)	(94,160)	(1,806)	-	(1,082)
Net pension obligation (asset) end of year	<u>\$ (348,545)</u>	<u>\$ (96,010)</u>	<u>\$ (1,667)</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ (648)</u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 20 – RETIREMENT PLAN (Continued)

Three-Year Trend Information
(dollar amounts in thousands)

	Fiscal Year Ended	Annual Pension Cost (APC)	Percentage of APC Contributed	Net Pension Obligation (Asset)
County - Miscellaneous	June 30, 2011	\$ 94,039	106.9 %	\$ (335,240)
	June 30, 2012	101,805	106.5	(341,828)
	June 30, 2013	105,898	106.3	(348,545)
County - Safety	June 30, 2011	49,297	103.6	(92,346)
	June 30, 2012	56,859	103.2	(94,160)
	June 30, 2013	60,209	103.1	(96,010)
Flood Control	June 30, 2011	2,255	93.8	(1,945)
	June 30, 2012	2,710	94.9	(1,806)
	June 30, 2013	2,991	95.4	(1,667)
Park District	June 30, 2011	585	100.0	-
	June 30, 2012	793	100.0	-
	June 30, 2013	851	100.0	-
Waste Management	June 30, 2011	865	49.8	(1,516)
	June 30, 2012	937	53.7	(1,082)
	June 30, 2013	912	52.4	(648)

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

The following information is reflective as of the most recent actuarial valuation:

	County Miscellaneous	County Safety	Flood Control	Park District	Waste Management
Actuarial valuation	6/30/2012	6/30/2012	6/30/2012	6/30/2012	6/30/2012
Actuarial cost method	Entry Age	Entry Age	Entry Age	Entry Age	Entry Age
Amortization method	Level Percent of Payroll, Open	Level Percent of Payroll, Open	Level Percent of Payroll, Open	Level Percent of Payroll, Open	Level Percent of Payroll, Open
Remaining amortization period	24 years as of the Valuation Date	25 years as of the Valuation Date	25 years as of the Valuation Date	18 years as of the Valuation Date	18 years as of the Valuation Date
Asset valuation method	15 Years Smoothed Market	15 Years Smoothed Market	15 Years Smoothed Market	15 Years Smoothed Market	15 Years Smoothed Market
Actuarial assumptions:					
Investment rate of return	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%
Projected salary increases*	3.3%-14.2%	3.3%-14.2%	3.3%-14.2%	3.3%-14.2%	3.3%-14.2%
Inflation	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%
Payroll growth	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 20 – RETIREMENT PLAN (Continued)

Funded Status and the Funding Progress

The following is funded status information for each plan as of June 30, 2013, which is the most recent actuarial valuation date (dollar amounts in thousands):

	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)- Entry Age (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (Excess of assets over AAL) (b - a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL (Excess of Assets over AAL) as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)
County - Miscellaneous	\$ 4,172,401	\$ 4,708,882	\$ 536,481	88.61 %	\$ 836,418	64.14 %
County - Safety	1,860,614	2,086,406	225,792	89.18	261,704	86.28
Flood Control	110,089	131,966	21,877	83.42	15,151	144.39
Park District**	903,411	1,081,962	178,551	83.50	153,162	116.58
Waste Management**	903,411	1,081,962	178,551	83.50	153,162	116.58

** The amounts disclosed reflect the entire Risk Pool fund in which Park District and Waste Management are included and does not represent their specific assets and liabilities. CalPERS Risk Pool valuation does not report specific assets and liabilities separately.

The Schedule of Funding progress presented as required supplementary information (RSI), following the notes to the financial statements, presents multi-year trend information on whether the actuarial value of plan assets are increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liability (AAL) for benefits.

NOTE 21 – DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN

Plan Descriptions and Contribution Information

Plan Description. The County provides an IRS Section 401(a) single-employer defined benefit employee pension plan for Part-Time and Temporary employees (the Plan) who are not eligible for Social Security or CalPERS retirement benefits through the County. This Plan is self-funded and self-administered. Effective July 20, 2010, the County Board of Supervisors appointed U.S. Bank as the Plan's Investment Consultant, Investment Manager and Trustee. Contributions made to the Plan are deposited with U.S. Bank, who maintains the responsibility of investing contributions in a diversified portfolio and reported at fair value. Participants are immediately 100% vested in the Plan upon enrollment. No financial report has been issued separately for public view under Defined Benefit Pension Plan.

Contributions. Participants in the Plan are required to contribute 3.75% of their compensation to the Plan. According to the July 01, 2012 valuation, the County's current required contribution rate is 1.00%, however, the County elected to contribute 1.79 % of payroll in order to obtain a 90% target funded ratio within 5 years. The Plan's current funded ratio is 97.3%. The Plan actuary periodically calculates the minimum recommended employer contribution rate through preparation of an actuarial valuation report and the County determines the contribution rates. Administrative costs of the Plan are paid by the Trustee from Plan assets.

Membership for the plan consisted of the following at July 1, 2012, the date of the latest actuarial valuation:

Number of Participants:	
Active plan members	1,956
Terminated and inactive members	6,273
Retirees	156
Total	<u>8,385</u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 21 – DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (Continued)

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Accounting. The pension plan's financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting. Plan member contributions are recognized in the period in which the contributions are due. Employer contributions to the Plan are recognized when due and the employer has made a formal commitment to provide the contributions. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the Plan.

Method Used to Value Investments. Prior to the transition to U.S. Bank, investments of the pension trust were fully invested in the County pool and reported at fair value. On September 28, 2010 Plan Investments were transferred to the new Trustee and Investment Manager, U.S. Bank. U.S. Bank invests Plan funds according to the Plan's Investment Policy. As of June 30, 2013, assets were invested in cash equivalents (1.0%), equities (68.3%), fixed income (30.6%), and accrued income (0.1%).

Schedule of Annual Pension Cost and the Net Pension Obligation (NPO) for 2013 and the two preceding years were as follows (dollar amounts in thousands):

Fiscal Year Ending	Annual Required Contribution (ARC)	Interest on NPO	Adjustment to the ARC	Annual Pension Cost	Actual Contribution	NPO End of Year	Percentage Contributed
2011	\$ 156	\$ (176)	\$ 275	\$ 255	\$ 425	\$ (3,685)	166.67 %
2012	160	(240)	(747)	(827)	559	(5,071)	(68.00)
2013	622	(330)	446	738	946	(5,279)	128.00

Annual Pension Cost and Net Pension Obligation (dollar amounts in thousands):

Annual required contribution	\$ 622
Interest on net pension obligation (asset)	(330)
Adjustment to annual required contribution	446
Annual pension cost	738
Contributions made	(946)
Increase (decrease) in net pension obligation (asset)	(208)
Net pension obligation (asset) beginning of year	(5,071)
Net pension obligation (asset) end of year	<u><u>\$ (5,279)</u></u>

Schedule of Funding Progress

The funded status of the Plan as of July 1, 2012, the most recent actuarial valuation date and the two preceding years were as follows (dollar amounts in thousands):

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b - a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)
July 1, 2010	\$ 19,992	\$ 23,633	\$ 3,641	84.59 %	\$ 41,284	8.82 %
July 1, 2011	23,063	27,079	4,016	85.17	33,657	11.93
July 1, 2012	23,654	24,307	653	97.31	32,424	2.01

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 21 – DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (Continued)

The schedules of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information (RSI), following the notes to the financial statement, present multi-year trend information about whether the actuarial values of plan assets are increasing or decreasing over time relative to the AALs for benefits.

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

The following information is as of the date of the most recent actuarial valuation:

Valuation date	7/1/2012
Actuarial cost method	Projected Unit Credit
Amortization method	Level-Dollar Projected Payroll
Remaining amortization period	20 years - Open
Asset valuation method	Market Value plus Receivables
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	5.0%
Projected salary increases	3.0%
Inflation rate	3.0%

NOTE 22 – POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS OTHER THAN PENSIONS

Plan Descriptions

The County of Riverside (County) and its Special Districts: Flood Control and Water Conservation District (Flood Control); Regional Parks and Open-Space District (Park District); and Waste Management offer post employment benefits to eligible County retirees. Benefit provisions are established and amended through negotiations between the County and the various bargaining units.

The post employment benefits provide:

- The County provides retiree medical benefits for eligible retirees enrolled in County sponsored plans. The benefits are provided in the form of:
 - Monthly County contributions toward the retiree's medical premium, and
 - Monthly contributions of \$25 per month to the Riverside Sheriffs' Association (RSA) Benefit Trust for RSA law enforcement retirees.
- Previously, the County allowed certain retirees to receive coverage prior to age 65 by paying premiums that were developed by blending active and retiree costs, which resulted in an implicit subsidy to retirees. The implicit subsidy has been discontinued since January 1, 2011.

A qualified Internal Revenue Code Section 115 Trust has been established for the County and Special Districts, with the exception of Waste Management, with the California Employers' Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT) for the purpose of receiving employer contributions that will prefund health and other post employment costs for retirees and their beneficiaries. The CERBT administers each plan's assets and issues a financial report available for public review, which includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the trust fund. The CERBT report may be obtained from CalPERS Affiliate Programs Services Division, CERBT (OPEB), P.O. Box 1494 Sacramento, CA 95812-1494.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 22 – POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS OTHER THAN PENSIONS (Continued)

Funding Policy and Annual OPEB Cost

It is the policy of the County of Riverside, along with the special districts (Park District and Flood Control) to fully contribute an amount at least equal to the Annual Required Contribution (ARC), as determined by the Post Retirement Benefits Actuarial Valuation Study for each trust. To facilitate funding for the ARC, the County has developed a rate structure. It is the policy of the Waste Management to fund the ARC on a pay-as-you-go basis.

Contribution requirements of the plan members and the County are established and may be amended through negotiations between the County and the respective bargaining units. The liabilities and annual cost due to the County's contractual agreements to assist with retiree health care cost are calculated in accordance with Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 45. GASB requires an Annual Required Contribution (ARC) to be developed each year based on the Plan's assets and liabilities. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year and to amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) over 30 years (12 years for Waste Management).

The County's annual OPEB cost for the current year and the related information for each plan are as follows (dollar amounts in thousands, except for contribution rates):

	<u>County</u>	<u>Flood Control</u>	<u>Park District</u>	<u>Waste Management</u>
Contribution rates:				
County	Bargaining Unit Determined \$25-\$256	Bargaining Unit Determined \$25-\$256	Bargaining Unit Determined \$25-\$256	Bargaining Unit Determined \$25-\$256
Plan members	\$403-\$964	\$403-\$964	\$403-\$964	\$403-\$964
Annual required contribution	\$ 2,615	\$ 21	\$ 1	\$ 113
Interest on net OPEB obligation	(1,920)	(37)	(21)	(4)
Adjustment to annual required contribution	1,577	29	16	8
Annual OPEB cost	2,272	13	(4)	117
Contributions made	(5,011)	(69)	(4)	(4)
Increase in net OPEB obligation (asset)	(2,739)	(56)	(8)	113
Net OPEB obligation (asset) beginning of year	(22,836)	(483)	(277)	-
Net OPEB obligation (asset) end of year	<u>\$ (25,575)</u>	<u>\$ (539)</u>	<u>\$ (285)</u>	<u>\$ 113</u>

The County's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB obligation for 2013 and the two preceding years for each of the plans were as follows (dollar amounts in thousands):

	<u>Year Ended</u>	<u>Annual OPEB Cost</u>	<u>Percentage of OPEB Cost Contributed</u>	<u>Net OPEB Obligation (Asset)</u>
County	06/30/11	\$ 3,012	203.0 %	\$ (21,118)
	06/30/12	2,119	181.1	(22,836)
	06/30/13	2,272	220.6	(25,575)
Flood Control	06/30/11	38	505.2	(429)
	06/30/12	16	437.5	(483)
	06/30/13	13	530.8	(539)
Park District	06/30/11	4	1,050.0	(271)
	06/30/12	(2)	200.0	(277)
	06/30/13	(4)	100.0	(285)
Waste Management	06/30/11	135	17.8	49
	06/30/12	(26)	88.4	-
	06/30/13	117	3.4	113

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 22 – POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS OTHER THAN PENSIONS (Continued)

Funded Status and Funding Progress

The following is funded status information for each plan as of June 30, 2013, which is the most recent actuarial valuation date (dollar amounts in thousands):

	<u>County</u>	<u>Flood Control</u>	<u>Park District</u>	<u>Waste Management</u>
Actuarial accrued liability (a)	\$ 42,850	\$ 494	\$ 139	\$ 982
Actuarial value of plan assets (b)	22,572	321	232	-
Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (funding excess) (a) - (b)	<u>\$ 20,278</u>	<u>\$ 173</u>	<u>\$ (93)</u>	<u>\$ 982</u>
Funded ratio (b) / (a)	52.68%	64.98%	166.91%	0.00%
Covered payroll (c)	\$ 1,026,755	\$ 15,339	\$ 4,871	\$ 2,495
Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (funding excess) as a percentage of covered payroll ([(a) - (b)] / (c))	1.97%	1.13%	-1.91%	39.36%

Actuarial valuations are estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of events in the future. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the Annual Required Contributions (ARC) of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared to past expectations and new estimates are projected about the future. The required schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information, provides multi-year trend information reflecting whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liability for benefits.

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

Projections of benefits are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and plan members) and include the types of benefits in force at the valuation date and the pattern of sharing benefit costs between the County and the plan members. Actuarial calculations reflect a long-term perspective and employ methods and assumptions that are designed to reduce short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets. The significant costing methods and projected assumptions were as follows:

	<u>County</u>	<u>Flood Control</u>	<u>Park District</u>	<u>Waste Management</u>
Actuarial valuation date	7/1/2012	7/1/2012	7/1/2011	7/1/2012
Actuarial cost method	Entry age	Entry age	Entry age	Entry age
Amortization method	Level percentage of Payroll, open	Level percentage of Payroll, open	Level percentage of Payroll, open	Level percentage of Payroll, close
Remaining amortization period	30 years	30 years	30 years	10 years
Actuarial assumptions:				
Investment rate of return	7.61%	7.61%	7.61%	4.5%
Projected salary increases	3.00%	3.00%	3.25%	3.00%
Healthcare inflation rate (initial)	5.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%
Healthcare inflation rate (ultimate)	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
Inflation rate	3.00%	3.00%	3.25%	3.00%

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 23 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Lawsuits and Other Claims

The County has been named as a defendant in various lawsuits and claims arising in the normal course of operations. In the aggregate, these claims seek monetary damages in significant amounts. To the extent the outcome of such litigation has been determined to result in probable financial loss to the County, such loss has been accrued in the accompanying basic financial statements. In the opinion of management, the ultimate outcome of these claims will not materially affect the operations of the County.

Property Tax Administration Fee

On July 7, 2010, the Court of Appeal of the State of California issued a decision in favor of the cities and against the County of Los Angeles in a case brought by 47 cities regarding the calculation of Property Tax Administration Fees (PTAF). The legal issue in dispute is whether counties can include “flip” and “swap” revenues in the calculation of administrative costs that counties recover from cities. At the trial court level, the court-appointed Referee had concluded that the County of Los Angeles’ calculation of the PTAF starting in fiscal year 2006-07 comported with Section 97.75 of California’s Revenue and Taxation Code. The Court of Appeal reversed the judgment and remanded for further proceedings, holding that the County of Los Angeles’ method of calculating its fee under Section 97.75 was unlawful. It is expected that the County of Los Angeles will petition the California Supreme Court for review. In the opinion of management, the decision to the case is significant for the County of Riverside because of similar claims against this County. The potential financial impact to the County related to the outcome of this case averages approximately \$7.2 million in tax administration fees for fiscal year 2006-07 through fiscal year 2011-12. The outstanding balance as of June 30, 2013 was \$4.8 million.

County of Riverside Redevelopment Successor Agency

It is reasonably possible that the State Department of Finance could invalidate some but not all of the obligations reported on the Successor Agency’s Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS). Sec. 34171 (d) (1) of the Health and Safety Code recognizes bonds as enforceable obligations, as defined by Section 33602 and bonds issued pursuant to Section 58383 of the Government Code, including the required debt service. The majority of the total outstanding obligations reported on the ROPS of the Successor Agency to the RDA (92.0%) consist of bond debt service payments. The range of potential loss of revenue is only between \$0 to \$126.6 million spread over the remaining life of the Successor Agency through 2045.

Federal Grant Revenue

Compliance examinations for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, identified certain items of noncompliance with Federal grants and regulations. The total amount of expenditures that could be disallowed by the granting agencies cannot be determined at this time; however, County management does not expect such amounts, if any, to be material to the basic financial statements. The fiscal year 2012-13 Single Audit of federal awards report is expected to be submitted to the Federal Audit Clearinghouse on or before March 31, 2014.

Commitments

At June 30, 2013 the County had various non-cancelable contracts and construction-in-progress with outside contractors. These contracts were financed through either the general fund or capital projects funds. \$77.8 million will be payable upon future performance under the contracts.

Landfill Construction and Consulting Contracts

The Waste Management Department entered into various construction and consulting contracts to facilitate its landfill operations and is in the process of installing landfill liners at Lamb Canyon in accordance with State and Federal laws and regulations. Waste Management expects to complete the installation of several landfill liners over the next five years and estimates additional future costs to be \$17.9 million. These additional costs will be capitalized as the costs are incurred.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 23 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

Remediation Contingencies

Governmental Funds

Release of gasoline and diesel fuel has been reported at seven underground storage tanks. Orders have been issued by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (CRWQCB) to assess and cleanup these sites by specific dates. It has determined the remediation plan and monitoring action are required. In addition to groundwater contamination, asbestos has been found in six facilities. As of June 30, 2013 the Governmental Activities reflect a \$2.8 million accrued remediation liability (Note 14). The liability has been calculated using the expected cash flow technique. The liability is subject to change over time. Cost may vary due to price fluctuations, changes in technology, results of environmental studies, changes to statute or regulations and other factors that could result in revisions to these estimates.

Enterprise Funds

Waste Management is presently aware of groundwater contamination at nine of its landfills, six of which are closed. Waste Management is also aware of air/gas contamination at 17 landfills, 11 of which are closed. Based on engineering studies, Waste Management estimates the present value of the total costs of corrective action for foreseeable contaminate releases at \$31.1 million. At June 30, 2013, Waste Management has accrued \$36.7 million for the estimated costs related to the remediation of these landfills. Remediation expense for fiscal year 2013 results from current estimates and current actual expenses.

Waste Management has established a remediation restricted cash fund and 17 remediation restricted cash escrow funds to set aside funds for future remediation costs as they are required to be performed. Investments of \$23.1 million are held for these purposes at June 30, 2013 and are classified as Accrued Remediation in the statements of net position.

NOTE 24 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes (TRANs) and CalPERS Pre-payment Note

On July 1, 2013, the County issued \$250.0 million in Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes in the form of Series A due March 31, 2014 and series B due June 30, 2014. The stated interest rate for the A bonds is set at 2.0% per annum with a yield of 0.16%. The interest rate for the B Bond is set at 2.0% per annum with a yield of 0.18%. Portions of the note proceeds were used to prepay CalPERS contributions for fiscal year 2013-14 in the amount of \$86.6 million. Between the prepayment discount of 3.6%, and earnings on cash flow the County expects to net \$3.2 million in cost savings. In accordance with California law, the TRANs bonds are general obligations of the County and are payable only out of the taxes, income, revenues, cash receipts, and other monies of the County attributable to fiscal year 2014 and legally available for payment thereof. Proceeds for the bonds will be used for fiscal year 2014 general fund expenditures, including current expenditures, capital expenditures, and the discharge of other obligations or indebtedness of the County.

Riverside County Bonds and Certificates of Participation

On October 2013, Fitch, one of the three major credit ratings, has assigned the County's bonds and certificates of participation ratings as follows:

- Riverside County implied general obligation (GO) bond rating at 'AA-',
- Riverside County pension obligation bonds (POB-series 2005A) at 'A+'.
- Riverside County certificates of participation (COPs- series 2003, 2003A, 2003B, 2005A, 2005B, 2007A, 2007B, 2009) at 'A+'.
- Riverside County Asset Leasing Corporation certification of participation (CORAL- COPS/series 2006A and lease revenue bonds (LRBs), series 1993B, 1997A, 1997B, 1997C, 2000A) at 'A+'.
- Riverside County Palm Desert Financing Authority lease revenue bonds (LRBs) (series 2003A) at 'A+'.
- Southwest Communities Financing Authority lease revenue bonds (LRBs) (series 2008A) at 'A+'.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 24 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS (Continued)

Riverside County Bonds and Certificates of Participation (Continued)

Fitch's reasoning is summarized in the following paragraphs:

Riverside County's economy is large, diversified and well-situated for long-term growth. However, the County continues to report below-average income levels, a distressed housing market that has only recently shown signs of recovery, leaving a tax that has contracted significantly since its peak.

The County's housing market was one of the worst affected in the nation, with average home values falling 55.0% to a trough value of \$196.6 thousand in fiscal year 2012 from their \$433.0 thousand peak in fiscal year 2006. These severe price declines caused a cumulative multi-year property tax base contraction of 15.7% from fiscal years 2009-2013. Recently, the housing market has posted solid price gains, and fiscal year 2014 assessed value (AV) increased 3.9%.

The County's hospital enterprise is facing a significant structural deficit that may drain the enterprise's limited cash resources and necessitate internal cash flow borrowing. However, management is working to reduce the deficit, and a recent consultant report suggests the enterprise may turn cash flow positive in 12-18 months if recommended reforms are implemented.

Sales tax revenue derive from a large and diverse base and are in the third year of improvement. The recession caused severe cumulative declines of roughly 25.0% from fiscal years 2006-2009, but revenue have increased in each year since hitting bottom. The recovering economy and recently positive trends in the housing market, if sustained, could bode well for sales tax revenues moving forward.

Teeter Obligation Notes, Series D and E

On October 2, 2013, the County issued \$118.1 million in 2013 Teeter Obligation Notes, series D (Tax-Exempt) and \$1.6 million in 2013 Teeter Obligation Notes, Series E (Taxable) to refund a portion of the outstanding 2012 Teeter Obligation Notes, series D, and fund in advance of unpaid property taxes for agencies participating in the County's Teeter Plan. The 2013 Notes bear an interest rate of 2.0% for 2013 Teeter Obligation Note, series D and an interest rate of .4% for 2013 Teeter Obligation Note, Series E and a maturity date of October 15, 2014 when the existing Letter of Credit will expire.

The Effects of the Economy on CalPERS

Based on past performance of the CalPERS fund, CalPERS has estimated the County's miscellaneous and safety contribution rates for fiscal year 2013-14 will be 15.0% and 23.4%, respectively. Fiscal year 2014-15 contribution rates for miscellaneous and safety are estimated at 14.5% and 21.9%, respectively. They will be accounted for in fiscal year 2012-13 and future budget years.

County of Riverside Asset Leasing Corp (CORAL)

On July 17, 2013, CORAL issued \$66.0 million in lease revenue bonds (2013 series A Public Defender/Probation Building and Riverside County Technology Solutions Center Projects) to provide money to acquire, construct, improve, furnish, and equip buildings that will house the offices for the County of Riverside's Public Defender, Probation Department, and Information and Technology Department; (ii) fund a reserve funds for the 2013 bonds; and (iii) pay cost of issuance in connection with the issuance of the 2013 bonds. The issuance resulted in a net premium of \$581.8 thousand.

Riverside County Regional Medical Center

For fiscal year 2012-13, RMC had a going concern regarding their fiscal wellbeing according to their notes on their financial statements. The County's Regional Medical Center system has been a growing source of budgetary stress in the past two years with operating deficits budgeted and forecasted over the next several years. Despite some near term fiscal improvements in net revenues related to the implementation of Healthcare Reform starting in January 14,

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2013

NOTE 24 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS (Continued)

Riverside County Regional Medical Center (Continued)

noticeable increases in the cost of labor, increases in the cost of pharmacy amid a lack of growth in patient census has impacted the Regional Medical Center's operating results. It is our belief that in order to maintain solid operating results going forward that market-driven alignments will be necessary in order for the Medical Center to set the stage for another period of growth.

On May 7, 2013, the County Board of Supervisors approved an agreement with Huron Consulting Services LLC (Huron) to develop a strategic and financial performance improvement plan for the future direction of the Riverside County Health and Mental Health delivery system. On November 5, 2013, Riverside County Board of Supervisors approved a longer term agreement with Huron Consulting Services LLC to implement the Strategic Plan they presented to the County Board of Supervisors which include considerations for strategic partnerships, joint ventures, and alignments with other healthcare providers and targets to improve the bottom line of the Regional Medical Center with the goal of eliminating the Regional Medical Center's need for additional General Fund Support.

County and Regional Medical Center Management is addressing the structural financial deficits with Huron and have targeted aggressive revenue improvement and savings goals.

Finally, the Regional Medical Center has also recruited and hired a new Chief Executive Officer, Lowell Johnson, who specializes in hospital turnarounds. He was hired in November 2013 as the first step in the process to execute on the financial turnaround thru improvements in services, revenues and costs.

There is no assurance that the planned turnaround strategy will be successful. Without significant revenue improvements and cost reductions going forward, there is significant doubt that the Regional Medical Center will be able to continue as a going concern without additional County contributions.



(This Page Intentionally Left Blank)

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Required Supplementary Information
June 30, 2013

RETIREMENT PLANS - SCHEDULES OF FUNDING PROGRESS

The tables below show a three year analysis of the Actuarial Value of Assets as a ratio of the Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) and the Asset Value in Excess (Deficit) of AAL as a percentage of Annual Covered Payroll (dollars in thousands):

Riverside County – Miscellaneous

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b - a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)
June 30, 2010	\$ 3,652,861	\$ 4,097,192	\$ 444,331	89.16 %	\$854,932	51.97 %
June 30, 2011	3,923,499	4,461,554	538,055	87.94	812,363	66.23
June 30, 2012	4,172,401	4,708,882	536,481	88.61	836,418	64.14

Riverside County - Safety

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b - a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered ((b-a)/c)
June 30, 2010	\$ 1,624,730	\$ 1,809,468	\$ 184,738	89.79 %	\$265,165	69.67 %
June 30, 2011	1,745,937	2,032,001	286,064	85.92	273,170	104.72
June 30, 2012	1,860,614	2,086,406	225,792	89.18	261,704	86.28

Flood Control and Water Conservation District

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b - a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered ((b-a)/c)
June 30, 2010	\$ 98,710	\$ 118,367	\$ 19,657	83.39 %	\$ 15,423	127.45 %
June 30, 2011	104,545	125,474	20,929	83.32	15,585	134.29
June 30, 2012	110,089	131,966	21,877	83.42	15,151	144.39

Regional Park and Open-Space District*

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b - a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)
June 30, 2010	\$ 754,859	\$ 945,221	\$ 190,362	79.86 %	\$159,157	119.61 %
June 30, 2011	825,991	1,023,127	197,136	80.73	160,900	122.52
June 30, 2012	903,411	1,081,962	178,551	83.50	153,162	116.58

*The amounts disclosed are for the entire Risk Pool fund in which Regional Park and Open-Space District participates and not solely of their specific assets and liabilities. CalPERS Risk Pool valuation does not break out specific assets and liabilities.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Required Supplementary Information
June 30, 2013

RETIREMENT PLANS - SCHEDULES OF FUNDING PROGRESS (Continued)

(Dollars in thousands)

Waste Management Department*

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b - a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)
June 30, 2010	\$ 754,859	\$ 945,221	\$ 190,362	79.86 %	\$159,157	119.61 %
June 30, 2011	825,991	1,023,127	197,136	80.73	160,900	122.52
June 30, 2012	903,411	1,081,962	178,551	83.50	153,162	116.58

*The amounts disclosed are for the entire Risk Pool fund in which Waste Management Department participates and not solely of their specific assets and liabilities. CalPERS Risk Pool valuation does not break out specific assets and liabilities.

Riverside County - Part-time and Temporary Help Retirement

Six - Year Trend Information

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)**	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b - a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)
June 30, 2007	\$ 13,778	\$ 20,468	\$ 6,690	67.31 %	\$ 41,333	16.19 %
June 30, 2008	16,989	19,471	2,482	87.25	27,928	8.89
June 30, 2009	19,384	21,402	2,018	90.57	26,550	7.60
June 30, 2010	19,992	23,633	3,641	84.59	41,284	8.82
June 30, 2011	23,063	27,079	4,016	85.17	33,657	11.93
June 30, 2012	23,654	24,307	653	97.31	32,424	2.01

**All amounts provided prior to June 30, 2007 were based on information from reports from the prior actuary. The prior actuary's reports are based on the Entry Age Normal cost method. The Projected Unit Credit cost method is used as of June 30, 2007.

RETIREMENT PLANS – SCHEDULE OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS

Riverside County - Part-time and Temporary Help Retirement

Fiscal Year	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed	Net Pension Obligation (Asset)
2008	\$ 745	267 %	\$ (1,248)
2009	227	828	(2,901)
2010	226	372	(3,515)
2011	156	167	(3,685)
2012	160	568	(5,071)
2013	622	128	(5,279)

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Required Supplementary Information
June 30, 2013

OPEB - SCHEDULES OF FUNDING PROGRESS
(Dollars in thousands)

Riverside County

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b - a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)
July 1, 2010	\$ 14,272	\$ 43,158	\$ 28,886	33.07 %	\$ 1,030,030	2.80 %
July 1, 2011	19,460	40,166	20,706	48.45	1,012,698	2.04
July 1, 2012	22,572	42,850	20,278	52.68	1,026,755	1.97

Flood Control and Water Conservation District

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b - a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)
January 1, 2010	\$ 113	\$ 588	\$ 475	19.22 %	\$ 15,086	3.15 %
July 1, 2011	269	482	213	55.81	15,600	1.37
July 1, 2012	321	494	173	64.98	15,339	1.13

Regional Park and Open-Space District

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b - a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)
January 1, 2008 *	\$ 190	\$ 193	\$ 3	98.45 %	N/A	N/A
January 1, 2009	147	144	(3)	102.08	\$ 4,429	-0.07 %
July 1, 2011 **	232	139	(93)	166.91	4,871	-1.91

*Estimate only.

** The most recent actuarial valuation.

Waste Management Department

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b - a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)
January 1, 2008 *	\$ -	\$ 658	\$ 658	0.00 %	N/A	N/A
January 1, 2009	-	1,089	1,089	0.00	\$ 3,302	32.98 %
July 1, 2012	-	982	982	0.00	2,495	39.36

*Estimate only.



(This Page Intentionally Left Blank)

**COMBINING AND INDIVIDUAL FUND
STATEMENTS AND BUDGETARY
SCHEDULES**

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Budgetary Comparison Schedule
Teeter Debt Service Fund
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variance with
	Original	Final	Amounts	Final Budget Over (Under)
REVENUES:				
Investment earnings (loss)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (155)	\$ (155)
Other revenue	3,936	3,233	4	(3,229)
Total revenues	3,936	3,233	(151)	(3,384)
EXPENDITURES:				
Current:				
General government	3,936	3,853	469	(3,384)
Total expenditures	3,936	3,853	469	(3,384)
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	-	(620)	(620)	-
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers in	-	703	703	-
Transfers out	-	(83)	(83)	-
Total other financing sources (uses)	-	620	620	-
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	-	-	-	-
Fund balance, beginning of year	-	-	-	-
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Budgetary Comparison Schedule
Public Facilities Improvements Capital Projects Fund
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	<u>Budgeted Amounts</u>		<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance with</u>
	<u>Original</u>	<u>Final</u>	<u>Amounts</u>	<u>Final Budget</u>
				<u>Over (Under)</u>
REVENUES:				
Use of money and property:				
Investment earnings (loss)	\$ 1,150	\$ 1,150	\$ (209)	\$ (1,359)
Rents and concessions	350	350	344	(6)
Aid from other governmental agencies:				
Federal	-	-	28	28
Other	24,102	24,102	24,144	42
Charges for services	42,048	30,931	9,741	(21,190)
Other revenue	16,997	27,242	908	(26,334)
Total revenues	<u>84,647</u>	<u>83,775</u>	<u>34,956</u>	<u>(48,819)</u>
EXPENDITURES:				
Current:				
General government	115,039	116,615	35,406	(81,209)
Public ways and facilities	21,837	15,137	799	(14,338)
Total expenditures	<u>136,876</u>	<u>131,752</u>	<u>36,205</u>	<u>(95,547)</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	(52,229)	(47,977)	(1,249)	46,728
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers in	-	15,449	15,449	-
Transfers out	-	(57,148)	(57,148)	-
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>-</u>	<u>(41,699)</u>	<u>(41,699)</u>	<u>-</u>
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	(52,229)	(89,676)	(42,948)	46,728
Fund balance, beginning of year	242,508	242,508	242,508	-
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 190,279</u>	<u>\$ 152,832</u>	<u>\$ 199,560</u>	<u>\$ 46,728</u>

NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Combining Balance Sheet
Nonmajor Governmental Funds
June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Special Revenue Funds	Debt Service Funds	Capital Projects Funds	Permanent Fund	Total
ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES:					
Assets:					
Cash and investments	\$ 98,086	\$ 6,014	\$ 22,367	\$ 509	\$ 126,976
Accounts receivable	322	1,176	-	-	1,498
Interest receivable	30	86	8	-	124
Taxes receivable	1,511	-	-	-	1,511
Due from other governments	8,389	-	181	-	8,570
Due from other funds	-	-	33	-	33
Prepaid items	7	-	580	-	587
Restricted cash and investments	-	72,700	6,215	-	78,915
Advances to other funds	700	-	1,000	-	1,700
Total assets	109,045	79,976	30,384	509	219,914
Deferred outflows of resources	-	-	-	-	-
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	\$ 109,045	\$ 79,976	\$ 30,384	\$ 509	\$ 219,914
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES:					
Liabilities:					
Accounts payable	\$ 3,968	\$ 25	\$ 546	\$ -	\$ 4,539
Salaries and benefits payable	2,002	-	148	-	2,150
Due to other governments	313	-	-	-	313
Due to other funds	82	-	109	-	191
Deposits payable	333	-	-	-	333
Advances from grantors and third parties	1,966	-	-	-	1,966
Advance from other funds	1,000	-	700	-	1,700
Total liabilities	9,664	25	1,503	-	11,192
Deferred inflows of resources	-	-	-	-	-
Fund balances:					
Nonspendable	115	-	580	473	1,168
Restricted	79,877	79,951	14,688	36	174,552
Committed	15,763	-	151	-	15,914
Assigned	3,626	-	13,462	-	17,088
Total fund balances	99,381	79,951	28,881	509	208,722
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances	\$ 109,045	\$ 79,976	\$ 30,384	\$ 509	\$ 219,914

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances

Nonmajor Governmental Funds

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013

(Dollars in Thousands)

	Special Revenue Funds	Debt Service Funds	Capital Projects Funds	Permanent Fund	Total
REVENUES:					
Taxes	\$ 54,304	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 54,304
Licenses, permits and franchise fees	32	-	-	-	32
Fines, forfeitures and penalties	1,140	-	-	-	1,140
Use of money and property:					
Investment earnings (loss)	(70)	1,601	(13)	-	1,518
Rents and concessions	8,186	6,866	-	-	15,052
Aid from other governmental agencies:					
Federal	61,902	-	-	-	61,902
State	4,115	-	181	-	4,296
Other	22,692	-	-	-	22,692
Charges for services	27,965	2,408	2,270	19	32,662
Other revenue	10,916	17,171	74	-	28,161
Total revenues	191,182	28,046	2,512	19	221,759
EXPENDITURES:					
Current:					
General government	29,618	30,720	8,134	-	68,472
Public protection	6,010	-	-	-	6,010
Public ways and facilities	14,826	-	-	-	14,826
Health and sanitation	5,232	-	-	-	5,232
Public assistance	63,793	-	-	-	63,793
Education	18,255	-	-	-	18,255
Recreation and culture	15,038	-	1,206	-	16,244
Debt service:					
Principal	-	40,331	-	-	40,331
Interest	-	22,830	-	-	22,830
Cost of issuance	-	378	-	-	378
Capital outlay	-	1,372	22,334	-	23,706
Total expenditures	152,772	95,631	31,674	-	280,077
Excess (deficiency) of revenues					
Over (under) expenditures	38,410	(67,585)	(29,162)	19	(58,318)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):					
Transfers in	19,578	71,021	13,763	-	104,362
Transfers out	(69,652)	(1,965)	(2,126)	-	(73,743)
Issuance of refunding bonds	-	17,640	-	-	17,640
Premium on long-term debt	-	759	-	-	759
Redemption of refunded debt	-	(18,155)	-	-	(18,155)
Total other financing sources (uses)	(50,074)	69,300	11,637	-	30,863
Net change in fund balances before					
Extraordinary loss	(11,664)	1,715	(17,525)	19	(27,455)
EXTRAORDINARY ITEMS:					
Extraordinary loss	158,337	-	-	-	158,337
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	(170,001)	1,715	(17,525)	19	(185,792)
Fund balances, beginning of year	269,382	78,236	46,406	490	394,514
FUND BALANCES, END OF YEAR	\$ 99,381	\$ 79,951	\$ 28,881	\$ 509	\$ 208,722

SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS

These funds were established for the purpose of accounting for the proceeds of specific revenue sources (other than major capital projects) that are legally restricted in expenditures for the specified purposes.

COMMUNITY SERVICES

This fund provides financing for public services. Public services provided by this fund group are: Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Services Grant, EDA Administration, Community Action Partnership, Job Training Partnership, Office on Aging, USED Grant, County Free Library, Structural Fire Protection, Homeless Housing Relief, Home Program, EDA US Department of Agriculture Rural Development, Workforce Development, Healthy Kids, and Bio-terrorism Preparedness. The primary source of revenue for this fund is from State/Federal Grants.

REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR HOUSING AGENCY

This fund was established to account for administration and revenues/expenditures related to the low and moderate income housing set aside program. 20% of the tax increments allocated to the former Redevelopment Agency are required to be placed in this fund.

COUNTY SERVICE AREAS

This county service area fund was established to provide authorized services such as road, park, lighting maintenance, fire protection, or water to specified areas in the County. They are financed by ad valorem property taxes in the area benefited, or by special assessments levied on specific properties.

REGIONAL PARK AND OPEN-SPACE

The Regional Park and Open-Space District is a special district established to provide legal authority and expanded opportunity for open space acquisition and management and transferred regional park responsibility from the County to the Regional Park and Open-Space District.

AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT

This fund accounts for Riverside County's portion of State of California motor vehicle fees restricted for the use of reducing air pollution.

IN-HOME SUPPORT SERVICES

The goal of the IHSS program is to enable elderly and/or disabled persons to remain safely in independent living as long as possible. This in-home assistance is designed to allow persons to remain in their home rather than be placed in an institutional setting. IHSS receives revenue for the following services: meal preparation and clean-up, food shopping, bathing, dressing, personal care, domestic services (cleaning), and assistance with medications.

PERRIS VALLEY CEMETERY DISTRICT

The Perris Valley Cemetery District is a public cemetery district operating under the provisions of the Health and Safety Code of the State of California. The Perris Valley Cemetery District was created in July 1927 for the purpose operating a public cemetery for the residents of the Perris Valley.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS

OTHER SPECIAL REVENUE

This fund provides financing to make services available to the public and governmental agencies. At the current time, the other special revenue fund accounts for the following services: Rideshare, AD CFD Administration, Aviation, Ladera Irrigation, National Date Festival, Cal-ID, Special Aviation, Supervisorial Road Districts, Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Agency, Riverside U.S. Grazing Fees, Mitigation Project Operations, Airport Land Use Commission, Prop 10, and DNA Identification.



(This Page Intentionally Left Blank)

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

Combining Balance Sheet

Special Revenue Funds

June 30, 2013

(Dollars in Thousands)

	Community Services	RDA - Housing Successor Agency	County Service Areas	Regional Park and Open-Space
ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES:				
Assets:				
Cash and investments	\$ 40,557	\$ -	\$ 20,660	\$ 10,050
Accounts receivable	15	-	-	271
Interest receivable	5	-	10	4
Taxes receivable	1,207	-	171	112
Due from other governments	6,823	-	294	465
Prepaid items	7	-	-	-
Advances to other funds	-	-	-	700
Total assets	<u>48,614</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>21,135</u>	<u>11,602</u>
Deferred outflows of resources	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	<u>\$ 48,614</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 21,135</u>	<u>\$ 11,602</u>
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES:				
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$ 2,060	\$ -	\$ 296	\$ 435
Salaries and benefits payable	1,081	-	191	408
Due to other governments	300	-	2	7
Due to other funds	82	-	-	-
Deposits payable	3	-	51	-
Advances from grantors and third parties	963	-	-	596
Advances from other funds	-	-	-	1,000
Total liabilities	<u>4,489</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>540</u>	<u>2,446</u>
Deferred inflows of resources	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Fund balances (Note 16):				
Nonspendable	76	-	1	7
Restricted	34,541	-	20,588	2,490
Committed	9,104	-	-	6,659
Assigned	404	-	6	-
Total fund balances	<u>44,125</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>20,595</u>	<u>9,156</u>
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances	<u>\$ 48,614</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 21,135</u>	<u>\$ 11,602</u>

Air Quality Improvement	In-Home Support Services	Perris Valley Cemetery District	Other Special Revenue	Total	
					ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES:
					Assets:
\$ 345	\$ 1,411	\$ 611	\$ 24,452	\$ 98,086	Cash and investments
-	-	-	36	322	Accounts receivable
-	1	-	10	30	Interest receivable
-	-	6	15	1,511	Taxes receivable
121	477	-	209	8,389	Due from other governments
-	-	-	-	7	Prepaid items
-	-	-	-	700	Advances to other funds
466	1,889	617	24,722	109,045	Total assets
-	-	-	-	-	Deferred outflows of resources
\$ 466	\$ 1,889	\$ 617	\$ 24,722	\$ 109,045	Total assets and deferred outflows of resources
					LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES:
					Liabilities:
\$ 15	\$ 1	\$ 16	\$ 1,145	\$ 3,968	Accounts payable
-	66	-	256	2,002	Salaries and benefits payable
-	-	1	3	313	Due to other governments
-	-	-	-	82	Due to other funds
-	-	279	-	333	Deposits payable
-	-	-	407	1,966	Advances from grantors and third parties
-	-	-	-	1,000	Advances from other funds
15	67	296	1,811	9,664	Total liabilities
-	-	-	-	-	Deferred inflows of resources
					Fund balances (Note 16):
-	5	-	26	115	Nonspendable
451	1,817	321	19,669	79,877	Restricted
-	-	-	-	15,763	Committed
-	-	-	3,216	3,626	Assigned
451	1,822	321	22,911	99,381	Total fund balances
\$ 466	\$ 1,889	\$ 617	\$ 24,722	\$ 109,045	Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances
Special Revenue Funds
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Community Services	RDA - Housing Successor Agency	County Service Areas	Regional Park and Open-Space
REVENUES:				
Taxes	\$ 48,453	\$ -	\$ 641	\$ 4,267
Licenses, permits, and franchise fees	-	-	-	-
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties	343	-	-	-
Use of money and property:				
Investment earnings (loss)	(95)	75	(22)	(10)
Rents and concessions	1,075	41	14	984
Aid from other governmental agencies:				
Federal	59,206	-	-	1
State	2,331	-	107	164
Other	17,879	-	111	444
Charges for services	2,299	106	9,402	6,530
Other revenue	9,965	106	44	292
Total revenues	<u>141,456</u>	<u>328</u>	<u>10,297</u>	<u>12,672</u>
EXPENDITURES:				
Current:				
General government	14,112	6,233	-	-
Public protection	-	-	1	310
Public ways and facilities	-	-	8,042	-
Health and sanitation	2,439	-	777	-
Public assistance	63,792	-	-	-
Education	18,255	-	-	-
Recreation and culture	194	-	699	14,145
Total expenditures	<u>98,792</u>	<u>6,233</u>	<u>9,519</u>	<u>14,455</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	42,664	(5,905)	778	(1,783)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers in	11,672	-	1,881	1,480
Transfers out	(59,985)	-	(2,499)	(1,957)
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>(48,313)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(618)</u>	<u>(477)</u>
Net change in fund balances before extraordinary items	<u>(5,649)</u>	<u>(5,905)</u>	<u>160</u>	<u>(2,260)</u>
EXTRAORDINARY ITEMS:				
Extraordinary loss	-	158,337	-	-
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	<u>(5,649)</u>	<u>(164,242)</u>	<u>160</u>	<u>(2,260)</u>
Fund balances, beginning of year	49,774	164,242	20,435	11,416
FUND BALANCES, END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 44,125</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 20,595</u>	<u>\$ 9,156</u>

Air Quality Improvement	In-Home Support Services	Perris Valley Cemetery District	Other Special Revenue	Total	
\$ -	\$ -	\$ 194	\$ 749	\$ 54,304	REVENUES:
-	-	-	32	32	Taxes
-	-	-	797	1,140	Licenses, permits, and franchise fees
-	-	-	-	-	Fines, forfeitures, and penalties
-	(2)	-	(16)	(70)	Use of money and property:
-	-	-	6,072	8,186	Investment earnings (loss)
-	-	-	-	-	Rents and concessions
-	916	-	1,779	61,902	Aid from other governmental agencies:
511	803	25	174	4,115	Federal
-	-	24	4,234	22,692	State
-	-	192	9,436	27,965	Other
-	-	-	509	10,916	Charges for services
-	-	-	-	-	Other revenue
511	1,717	435	23,766	191,182	Total revenues
-	-	-	9,273	29,618	EXPENDITURES:
311	-	491	4,897	6,010	Current:
-	-	-	6,784	14,826	General government
-	2,016	-	-	5,232	Public protection
-	1	-	-	63,793	Public ways and facilities
-	-	-	-	18,255	Health and sanitation
-	-	-	-	15,038	Public assistance
-	-	-	-	-	Education
311	2,017	491	20,954	152,772	Recreation and culture
200	(300)	(56)	2,812	38,410	Total expenditures
-	408	-	4,137	19,578	Excess (deficiency) of revenues
(437)	(148)	(94)	(4,532)	(69,652)	over (under) expenditures
(437)	260	(94)	(395)	(50,074)	OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):
-	-	-	-	-	Transfers in
(437)	(148)	(94)	(4,532)	(69,652)	Transfers out
(437)	260	(94)	(395)	(50,074)	Total other financing sources (uses)
(237)	(40)	(150)	2,417	(11,664)	Net change in fund balances before
-	-	-	-	158,337	extraordinary items
(237)	(40)	(150)	2,417	(170,001)	EXTRAORDINARY ITEMS:
688	1,862	471	20,494	269,382	Extraordinary loss
\$ 451	\$ 1,822	\$ 321	\$ 22,911	\$ 99,381	NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES
-	-	-	-	-	Fund balances, beginning of year
-	-	-	-	-	FUND BALANCES, END OF YEAR

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Budgetary Comparison Schedule
Community Services Special Revenue Fund
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variance with
	Original	Final	Amounts	Final Budget
				Over (Under)
REVENUES:				
Taxes	\$ 44,331	\$ 44,624	\$ 48,453	\$ 3,829
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties	350	350	343	(7)
Use of money and property:				
Investment earnings (loss)	9	9	(95)	(104)
Rents and concessions	1,525	1,377	1,075	(302)
Aid from other governmental agencies:				
Federal	78,813	85,442	59,206	(26,236)
State	2,301	2,401	2,331	(70)
Other	12,207	12,207	17,879	5,672
Charges for services	14,749	6,755	2,299	(4,456)
Other revenue	35,156	31,856	9,965	(21,891)
Total revenues	189,441	185,021	141,456	(43,565)
EXPENDITURES:				
Current:				
General government	23,693	21,209	14,112	(7,097)
Public protection	44,317	-	-	-
Health and sanitation	2,998	3,395	2,439	(956)
Public assistance	100,331	99,527	63,792	(35,735)
Education	23,055	20,998	18,255	(2,743)
Recreation and culture	515	247	194	(53)
Total expenditures	194,909	145,376	98,792	(46,584)
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	(5,468)	39,645	42,664	3,019
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers in	-	11,672	11,672	-
Transfers out	-	(59,985)	(59,985)	-
Total other financing sources (uses)	-	(48,313)	(48,313)	-
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	(5,468)	(8,668)	(5,649)	3,019
Fund balance, beginning of year	49,774	49,774	49,774	-
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	\$ 44,306	\$ 41,106	\$ 44,125	\$ 3,019

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Budgetary Comparison Schedule
County Service Areas Special Revenue Fund
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variance with
	Original	Final	Amounts	Final Budget
				Over (Under)
REVENUES:				
Taxes	\$ 770	\$ 770	\$ 641	\$ (129)
Use of money and property:				
Investment earnings (loss)	63	63	(22)	(85)
Rents and concessions	1	1	14	13
Aid from other governmental agencies:				
State	9	9	107	98
Other	1	1	111	110
Charges for services	10,478	8,597	9,402	805
Other revenue	4,962	4,962	44	(4,918)
Total revenues	16,284	14,403	10,297	(4,106)
EXPENDITURES:				
Current:				
Public protection	820	469	1	(468)
Public ways and facilities	13,452	12,285	8,042	(4,243)
Health and sanitation	800	800	777	(23)
Recreation and culture	1,212	1,724	699	(1,025)
Total expenditures	16,284	15,278	9,519	(5,759)
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	-	(875)	778	1,653
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers in	-	1,881	1,881	-
Transfers out	-	(2,499)	(2,499)	-
Total other financing sources (uses)	-	(618)	(618)	-
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	-	(1,493)	160	1,653
Fund balance, beginning of year	20,435	20,435	20,435	-
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	\$ 20,435	\$ 18,942	\$ 20,595	\$ 1,653

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Budgetary Comparison Schedule
Regional Park and Open-Space Special Revenue Fund
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variance with
	Original	Final	Amounts	Final Budget
				Over (Under)
REVENUES:				
Taxes	\$ 3,520	\$ 3,520	\$ 4,267	\$ 747
Use of money and property:				
Investment earnings (loss)	38	38	(10)	(48)
Rents and concessions	979	979	984	5
Aid from other governmental agencies:				
Federal	-	-	1	1
State	325	325	164	(161)
Other	-	-	444	444
Charges for services	7,283	6,410	6,530	120
Other revenue	865	629	292	(337)
Total revenues	<u>13,010</u>	<u>11,901</u>	<u>12,672</u>	<u>771</u>
EXPENDITURES:				
Current:				
Public protection	462	462	310	(152)
Recreation and culture	15,906	15,112	14,145	(967)
Total expenditures	<u>16,368</u>	<u>15,574</u>	<u>14,455</u>	<u>(1,119)</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues				
over (under) expenditures	(3,358)	(3,673)	(1,783)	1,890
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers in	-	1,480	1,480	-
Transfers out	-	(1,957)	(1,957)	-
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>-</u>	<u>(477)</u>	<u>(477)</u>	<u>-</u>
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	(3,358)	(4,150)	(2,260)	1,890
Fund balance, beginning of year	<u>11,416</u>	<u>11,416</u>	<u>11,416</u>	<u>-</u>
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 8,058</u>	<u>\$ 7,266</u>	<u>\$ 9,156</u>	<u>\$ 1,890</u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Budgetary Comparison Schedule
Air Quality Improvement Special Revenue Fund
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variance with
	Original	Final	Amounts	Final Budget
				Over (Under)
REVENUES:				
Investment earnings (loss)	\$ 20	\$ 20	\$ -	\$ (20)
Aid from other governmental agencies:				
State	650	650	511	(139)
Total revenues	670	670	511	(159)
EXPENDITURES:				
Current:				
General government	1,225	-	-	-
Public protection	-	788	311	(477)
Total expenditures	1,225	788	311	(477)
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	(555)	(118)	200	318
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers out	-	(437)	(437)	-
Total other financing sources (uses)	-	(437)	(437)	-
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	(555)	(555)	(237)	318
Fund balance, beginning of year	688	688	688	-
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	\$ 133	\$ 133	\$ 451	\$ 318

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Budgetary Comparison Schedule
In-Home Support Services Special Revenue Fund
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variance with
	Original	Final	Amounts	Final Budget Over (Under)
REVENUES:				
Use of money and property:				
Investment earnings (loss)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2)	\$ (2)
Aid from other governmental agencies:				
Federal	1,203	1,203	916	(287)
State	510	510	803	293
Charges for services	162	-	-	-
Other revenue	369	123	-	(123)
Total revenues	<u>2,244</u>	<u>1,836</u>	<u>1,717</u>	<u>(119)</u>
EXPENDITURES:				
Current:				
Health and sanitation	2,618	2,473	2,016	(457)
Public assistance	-	-	1	1
Total expenditures	<u>2,618</u>	<u>2,473</u>	<u>2,017</u>	<u>(456)</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	(374)	(637)	(300)	337
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers in	-	408	408	-
Transfers out	-	(148)	(148)	-
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>-</u>	<u>260</u>	<u>260</u>	<u>-</u>
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	(374)	(377)	(40)	337
Fund balance, beginning of year	<u>1,488</u>	<u>1,862</u>	<u>1,862</u>	<u>-</u>
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 1,114</u>	<u>\$ 1,485</u>	<u>\$ 1,822</u>	<u>\$ 337</u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Budgetary Comparison Schedule
Perris Valley Cemetery District Special Revenue Fund
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variance with
	Original	Final	Amounts	Final Budget
				Over (Under)
REVENUES:				
Taxes	\$ 203	\$ 203	\$ 194	\$ (9)
Use of money and property:				
Investment earnings (loss)	3	3	-	(3)
Aid from other governmental agencies:				
State	3	3	25	22
Other	-	-	24	24
Charges for services	265	265	192	(73)
Other revenue	200	200	-	(200)
Total revenues	<u>674</u>	<u>674</u>	<u>435</u>	<u>(239)</u>
EXPENDITURES:				
Current:				
Public protection	<u>674</u>	<u>587</u>	<u>491</u>	<u>(96)</u>
Total expenditures	<u>674</u>	<u>587</u>	<u>491</u>	<u>(96)</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	-	87	(56)	(143)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers out	<u>-</u>	<u>(94)</u>	<u>(94)</u>	<u>-</u>
Total other financing sources / (uses)	<u>-</u>	<u>(94)</u>	<u>(94)</u>	<u>-</u>
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	-	(7)	(150)	(143)
Fund balance, beginning of year	<u>471</u>	<u>471</u>	<u>471</u>	<u>-</u>
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 471</u>	<u>\$ 464</u>	<u>\$ 321</u>	<u>\$ (143)</u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Budgetary Comparison Schedule
Other Special Revenue Fund
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variance with
	Original	Final	Amounts	Final Budget Over (Under)
REVENUES:				
Taxes	\$ 785	\$ 785	\$ 749	\$ (36)
License, permits, and franchise fees	32	32	32	-
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties	-	-	797	797
Use of money and property:				
Investment earnings (loss)	80	81	(16)	(97)
Rents and concessions	6,373	6,454	6,072	(382)
Aid from other governmental agencies:				
Federal	4,047	4,303	1,779	(2,524)
State	168	233	174	(59)
Other	6	4,253	4,234	(19)
Charges for services	11,554	9,381	9,436	55
Other revenue	1,065	500	509	9
Total revenues	<u>24,110</u>	<u>26,022</u>	<u>23,766</u>	<u>(2,256)</u>
EXPENDITURES:				
Current:				
General government	4,835	9,973	9,273	(700)
Public protection	7,072	6,703	4,897	(1,806)
Public ways and facilities	12,593	11,448	6,784	(4,664)
Total expenditures	<u>24,500</u>	<u>28,124</u>	<u>20,954</u>	<u>(7,170)</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	(390)	(2,102)	2,812	4,914
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers in	-	4,137	4,137	-
Transfers out	-	(4,532)	(4,532)	-
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>-</u>	<u>(395)</u>	<u>(395)</u>	<u>-</u>
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	(390)	(2,497)	2,417	4,914
Fund balance, beginning of year	20,494	20,494	20,494	-
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 20,104</u>	<u>\$ 17,997</u>	<u>\$ 22,911</u>	<u>\$ 4,914</u>

DEBT SERVICE FUNDS

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

DEBT SERVICE FUNDS

These funds are used to account for the accumulation of resources and payment of long-term debt principal and interest.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE ASSET LEASING CORPORATION (CORAL)

CORAL is a non-profit public benefit corporation established to assist the County of Riverside by acquiring equipment and facilities financed from the proceeds of borrowing and leasing such equipment and facilities to the County.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE DISTRICT COURT FINANCING CORPORATION (DISTRICT COURT FINANCING CORPORATION)

The District Court Financing Corporation is a non-profit public benefit corporation established to assist the County of Riverside in the acquisition, construction, and development of a United States District Courthouse, financed from the proceeds of the sale of certificates.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE BANKRUPTCY COURT CORPORATION (BANKRUPTCY COURT)

The Bankruptcy Court is a non-profit public benefit corporation established to assist the County of Riverside in the acquisition, construction, and development of a United States Bankruptcy Court financed from the proceeds of the sale of certificates.

TAXABLE PENSION OBLIGATION BONDS (PENSION OBLIGATION)

This fund is used to account for Series 2005 bonds that were issued to satisfy a portion of Riverside County's unfunded accrued actuarial liability for the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS).

INLAND EMPIRE TOBACCO SECURITIZATION AUTHORITY

The Inland Empire Tobacco Securitization Authority was established to assist the County of Riverside in the construction of certain capital projects, financed from the proceeds of the tobacco settlement revenues.

PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY

The Public Financing Authority was formed for the purpose of assisting in financing public improvements of the County, the Riverside County Redevelopment Successor Agency and other local agencies.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Combining Balance Sheet
Debt Service Funds
June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	CORAL	District Court Financing Corporation	Bankruptcy Court	Pension Obligation
ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES:				
Assets:				
Cash and investments	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,014
Accounts receivable	-	-	-	1,176
Interest receivable	85	-	-	1
Restricted cash and investments	43,714	1,026	6,958	-
Total assets	43,799	1,026	6,958	7,191
Deferred outflows of resources	-	-	-	-
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	\$ 43,799	\$ 1,026	\$ 6,958	\$ 7,191
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES:				
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$ 25	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total liabilities	25	-	-	-
Deferred inflows of resources	-	-	-	-
Fund balances (Note 16):				
Restricted	43,774	1,026	6,958	7,191
Total fund balances	43,774	1,026	6,958	7,191
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances	\$ 43,799	\$ 1,026	\$ 6,958	\$ 7,191

Inland Empire Tobacco Securitization Authority	Public Financing Authority	Total	
			ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES:
			Assets:
\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,014	Cash and investments
-	-	1,176	Accounts receivable
-	-	86	Interest receivable
19,611	1,391	72,700	Restricted cash and investments
19,611	1,391	79,976	Total assets
-	-	-	Deferred outflows of resources
			Total assets and deferred outflows of resources
<u>\$ 19,611</u>	<u>\$ 1,391</u>	<u>\$ 79,976</u>	
			LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES:
			Liabilities:
\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25	Accounts payable
-	-	25	Total liabilities
-	-	-	Deferred inflows of resources
19,611	1,391	79,951	Fund balances (Note 16):
19,611	1,391	79,951	Restricted
			Total fund balances
\$ 19,611	\$ 1,391	\$ 79,976	Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances

Debt Service Funds

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013

(Dollars in Thousands)

	CORAL	District Court Financing Corporation	Bankruptcy Court	Pension Obligation
REVENUES:				
Use of money and property:				
Investment earnings (loss)	\$ 734	\$ 119	\$ 195	\$ 537
Rents and concessions	1,315	2,423	2,137	-
Charges for services	-	-	-	2,408
Other revenue	-	-	-	-
Total revenues	<u>2,049</u>	<u>2,542</u>	<u>2,332</u>	<u>2,945</u>
EXPENDITURES:				
Current:				
General government	1,102	96	-	29,399
Debt service:				
Principal	28,575	1,501	960	-
Interest	14,518	291	482	-
Cost of issuance	25	-	-	-
Capital outlay	-	727	645	-
Total expenditures	<u>44,220</u>	<u>2,615</u>	<u>2,087</u>	<u>29,399</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues				
over (under) expenditures	(42,171)	(73)	245	(26,454)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers in	44,312	-	-	26,709
Transfers out	(1,965)	-	-	-
Issuance of refunding bonds	-	-	-	-
Premium on long-term debt	-	-	-	-
Redemption of refunded debt	-	-	-	-
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>42,347</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>26,709</u>
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	176	(73)	245	255
Fund balances, beginning of year	43,598	1,099	6,713	6,936
FUND BALANCES, END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 43,774</u>	<u>\$ 1,026</u>	<u>\$ 6,958</u>	<u>\$ 7,191</u>

Inland Empire Tobacco Securitization Authority	Public Financing Authority	Total	
			REVENUES:
\$ 16	\$ -	\$ 1,601	Use of money and property:
-	991	6,866	Investment earnings (loss)
-	-	2,408	Rents and concessions
15,671	1,500	17,171	Charges for services
15,687	2,491	28,046	Other revenue
			Total revenues
			EXPENDITURES:
			Current:
123	-	30,720	General government
8,650	645	40,331	Debt service:
7,193	346	22,830	Principal
-	353	378	Interest
-	-	1,372	Cost of issuance
15,966	1,344	95,631	Capital outlay
			Total expenditures
			Excess (deficiency) of revenues
(279)	1,147	(67,585)	over (under) expenditures
			OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):
-	-	71,021	Transfers in
-	-	(1,965)	Transfers out
-	17,640	17,640	Issuance of refunding bonds
-	759	759	Premium on long-term debt
-	(18,155)	(18,155)	Redemption of refunded debt
-	244	69,300	Total other financing sources (uses)
(279)	1,391	1,715	NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES
19,890	-	78,236	Fund balances, beginning of year
\$ 19,611	\$ 1,391	\$ 79,951	FUND BALANCES, END OF YEAR

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Budgetary Comparison Schedule
Pension Obligation Bond Debt Service Fund
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variance with
	Original	Final	Amounts	Final Budget
				Over (Under)
REVENUES:				
Use of money and property:				
Investment earnings (loss)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 537	\$ 537
Charges for services	34,114	7,404	2,408	(4,996)
Total revenues	34,114	7,404	2,945	(4,459)
EXPENDITURES:				
Current:				
General government	34,113	34,113	29,399	(4,714)
Total expenditures	34,113	34,113	29,399	(4,714)
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	1	(26,709)	(26,454)	255
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers in	-	26,709	26,709	-
Total other financing sources (uses)	-	26,709	26,709	-
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	1	-	255	255
Fund balance, beginning of year	6,936	6,936	6,936	-
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	\$ 6,937	\$ 6,936	\$ 7,191	\$ 255

CAPITAL PROJECTS FUNDS

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

CAPITAL PROJECTS FUNDS

These funds are used to account for financial resources to be used for the acquisition or construction of major capital facilities other than those financed by Proprietary Fund Types.

PUBLIC SAFETY ENTERPRISE COMMUNICATION (PSEC)

The Public Safety Enterprise Communication fund is a multi-agency undertaking to address the County of Riverside 800 MHz public safety radio coverage and operational problems. The multi-year project will result in either a massive upgrade or a complete replacement of the existing radio system.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE ASSET LEASING CORPORATION (CORAL)

CORAL is a non-profit public benefit corporation established to assist the County of Riverside by acquiring equipment and facilities financed from the proceeds of borrowing and leasing such equipment and facilities to the County.

FLOOD CONTROL

This fund is used to finance the construction of flood control channels and projects. Revenues are obtained from property taxes, special assessments, and proceeds of tax allocation bonds.

REGIONAL PARK AND OPEN-SPACE

The Regional Park and Open-Space District is a special district established to provide legal authority and expanded opportunity for open space acquisition and management. The Regional Park and Open-Space District's creation allowed for the transfer of regional park responsibility from the County to the District.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE ENTERPRISE SOLUTIONS FOR PROPERTY TAXATION (CREST)

The Assessor, Auditor-Controller, and Tax Collector teamed up to collectively develop a new integrated property tax management system. The project begins with a business process re-engineering phase that documents the integrated roles of the three departments. This phase identifies the current system's capabilities, strengths, and weaknesses. A second phase of the project builds on this re-engineering initiative to implement a replacement property tax system based on new technology.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Combining Balance Sheet
Capital Projects Funds
June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	PSEC	CORAL	Flood Control	Regional Park and Open-Space
ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES:				
Assets:				
Cash and investments	\$ 296	\$ -	\$ 151	\$ 8,398
Interest receivable	-	-	-	4
Due from other governments	-	-	-	181
Due from other funds	-	-	-	-
Prepaid items	580	-	-	-
Restricted cash and investments	-	6,215	-	-
Advances to other funds	-	-	-	1,000
Total assets	<u>876</u>	<u>6,215</u>	<u>151</u>	<u>9,583</u>
Deferred outflows of resources	-	-	-	-
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	<u>\$ 876</u>	<u>\$ 6,215</u>	<u>\$ 151</u>	<u>\$ 9,583</u>
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES:				
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$ -	\$ 370	\$ -	\$ 40
Salaries and benefits payable	55	-	-	-
Due to other funds	43	-	-	-
Advances from other funds	-	-	-	700
Total liabilities	<u>98</u>	<u>370</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>740</u>
Deferred inflows of resources	-	-	-	-
Fund balances (Note 16):				
Nonspendable	580	-	-	-
Restricted	-	5,845	-	8,843
Committed	-	-	151	-
Assigned	198	-	-	-
Total fund balances	<u>778</u>	<u>5,845</u>	<u>151</u>	<u>8,843</u>
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances	<u>\$ 876</u>	<u>\$ 6,215</u>	<u>\$ 151</u>	<u>\$ 9,583</u>

CREST	Total	
		ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES:
		Assets:
\$ 13,522	\$ 22,367	Cash and investments
4	8	Interest receivable
-	181	Due from other governments
33	33	Due from other funds
-	580	Prepaid items
-	6,215	Restricted cash and investments
-	1,000	Advances to other funds
13,559	30,384	Total assets
-	-	Deferred outflows of resources
\$ 13,559	\$ 30,384	Total assets and deferred outflows of resources
		LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES:
		Liabilities:
\$ 136	\$ 546	Accounts payable
93	148	Salaries and benefits payable
66	109	Due to other funds
-	700	Advances from other funds
295	1,503	Total liabilities
-	-	Deferred inflows of resources
		Fund balances (Note 16):
-	580	Nonspendable
-	14,688	Restricted
-	151	Committed
13,264	13,462	Assigned
13,264	28,881	Total fund balances
\$ 13,559	\$ 30,384	Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances

Capital Projects Funds

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013

(Dollars in Thousands)

	PSEC	CORAL	Flood Control	Regional Park and Open-Space
REVENUES:				
Use of money and property:				
Investment earnings (loss)	\$ (2)	\$ 20	\$ -	\$ (7)
Aid from other governmental agencies:				
State	-	-	-	181
Charges for services	-	-	-	-
Other revenue	74	-	-	-
Total revenues	72	20	-	174
EXPENDITURES:				
Current:				
General government	2,960	-	-	-
Recreation and culture	-	-	-	1,206
Capital outlay	-	22,241	93	-
Total expenditures	2,960	22,241	93	1,206
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	(2,888)	(22,221)	(93)	(1,032)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers in	2,823	814	200	2,821
Transfers out	(755)	-	-	(1,325)
Total other financing sources (uses)	2,068	814	200	1,496
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	(820)	(21,407)	107	464
Fund balances, beginning of year	1,598	27,252	44	8,379
FUND BALANCES, END OF YEAR	\$ 778	\$ 5,845	\$ 151	\$ 8,843

CREST	Total	
		REVENUES:
		Use of money and property:
\$ (24)	\$ (13)	Investment earnings (loss)
		Aid from other governmental agencies:
-	181	State
2,270	2,270	Charges for services
-	74	Other revenue
<u>2,246</u>	<u>2,512</u>	Total revenues
		EXPENDITURES:
		Current:
5,174	8,134	General government
-	1,206	Recreation and culture
-	22,334	Capital outlay
<u>5,174</u>	<u>31,674</u>	Total expenditures
		Excess (deficiency) of revenues
(2,928)	(29,162)	over (under) expenditures
		OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):
7,105	13,763	Transfers in
(46)	(2,126)	Transfers out
<u>7,059</u>	<u>11,637</u>	Total other financing sources (uses)
4,131	(17,525)	NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES
9,133	46,406	Fund balances, beginning of year
<u>\$ 13,264</u>	<u>\$ 28,881</u>	FUND BALANCES, END OF YEAR

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Budgetary Comparison Schedule
PSEC Capital Projects Fund
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	<u>Budgeted Amounts</u>		<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance with</u>
	<u>Original</u>	<u>Final</u>	<u>Amounts</u>	<u>Final Budget</u>
				<u>Over (Under)</u>
REVENUES:				
Use of money and property:				
Investment earnings (loss)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2)	\$ (2)
Aid from other governmental agencies:				
Other revenue	3,117	1,117	74	(1,043)
Total revenues	3,117	1,117	72	(1,045)
EXPENDITURES:				
Current:				
General government	3,117	3,351	2,960	(391)
Total expenditures	3,117	3,351	2,960	(391)
Excess (deficiency) of revenues				
over (under) expenditures	-	(2,234)	(2,888)	(654)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers in	-	2,823	2,823	-
Transfers out	-	(755)	(755)	-
Total other financing sources (uses)	-	2,068	2,068	-
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	-	(166)	(820)	(654)
Fund balance, beginning of year	1,598	1,598	1,598	-
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 1,598</u>	<u>\$ 1,432</u>	<u>\$ 778</u>	<u>\$ (654)</u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Budgetary Comparison Schedule
Flood Control Capital Projects Fund
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variance with
	Original	Final	Amounts	Final Budget
				Over (Under)
REVENUES:				
Use of money and property:				
Investment earnings (loss)	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ (1)
Charges for current services	1	1	-	(1)
Other revenue	3,475	3,275	-	(3,275)
Total revenues	3,477	3,277	-	(3,277)
EXPENDITURES:				
Capital outlay	3,475	3,475	93	(3,382)
Total expenditures	3,475	3,475	93	(3,382)
Excess (deficiency) of revenues				
over (under) expenditures	2	(198)	(93)	105
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers in	-	200	200	-
Total other financing sources (uses)	-	200	200	-
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	2	2	107	105
Fund balance, beginning of year	44	44	44	-
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	\$ 46	\$ 46	\$ 151	\$ 105

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Budgetary Comparison Schedule
Regional Park and Open-Space District Capital Projects Fund
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	<u>Budgeted Amounts</u>		<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance with</u>
	<u>Original</u>	<u>Final</u>	<u>Amounts</u>	<u>Final Budget</u>
				<u>Over (Under)</u>
REVENUES:				
Use of money and property:				
Investment earnings (loss)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (7)	\$ (7)
Aid from other governmental agencies:				
State	3,226	3,658	181	(3,477)
Other revenue	<u>4,940</u>	<u>4,741</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(4,741)</u>
Total revenues	<u>8,166</u>	<u>8,399</u>	<u>174</u>	<u>(8,225)</u>
EXPENDITURES:				
Current:				
Recreation and culture	<u>8,548</u>	<u>10,386</u>	<u>1,206</u>	<u>(9,180)</u>
Total expenditures	<u>8,548</u>	<u>10,386</u>	<u>1,206</u>	<u>(9,180)</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	(382)	(1,987)	(1,032)	955
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers in	-	2,821	2,821	-
Transfers out	<u>-</u>	<u>(1,325)</u>	<u>(1,325)</u>	<u>-</u>
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>-</u>	<u>1,496</u>	<u>1,496</u>	<u>-</u>
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	(382)	(491)	464	955
Fund balance, beginning of year	<u>8,379</u>	<u>8,379</u>	<u>8,379</u>	<u>-</u>
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 7,997</u>	<u>\$ 7,888</u>	<u>\$ 8,843</u>	<u>\$ 955</u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Budgetary Comparison Schedule
CREST Capital Projects Fund
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variance with
	Original	Final	Amounts	Final Budget Over (Under)
REVENUES:				
Use of money and property:				
Investment earnings (loss)	\$ 15	\$ 15	\$ (24)	\$ (39)
Charges for services	2,500	2,500	2,270	(230)
Other revenue	7,105	-	-	-
Total revenues	<u>9,620</u>	<u>2,515</u>	<u>2,246</u>	<u>(269)</u>
EXPENDITURES:				
Current:				
General government	<u>9,606</u>	<u>9,560</u>	<u>5,174</u>	<u>(4,386)</u>
Total expenditures	<u>9,606</u>	<u>9,560</u>	<u>5,174</u>	<u>(4,386)</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	14	(7,045)	(2,928)	4,117
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers in	-	7,105	7,105	-
Transfers out	<u>-</u>	<u>(46)</u>	<u>(46)</u>	<u>-</u>
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>-</u>	<u>7,059</u>	<u>7,059</u>	<u>-</u>
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	14	14	4,131	4,117
Fund balance, beginning of year	<u>9,133</u>	<u>9,133</u>	<u>9,133</u>	<u>-</u>
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 9,147</u>	<u>\$ 9,147</u>	<u>\$ 13,264</u>	<u>\$ 4,117</u>



(This Page Intentionally Left Blank)

PERMANENT FUNDS

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

PERMANENT FUNDS

PERRIS VALLEY CEMETERY ENDOWMENT FUND

This fund is used to account for financial resources to be used for future maintenance of the Perris Valley Cemetery. The resources are derived from an endowment care fee assessed on each sale of a burial right and earnings on these resources. Only income earned on these resources may be used for services, supplies or capital asset acquisition. The principal must be preserved intact.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

Balance Sheet

Permanent Fund

June 30, 2013

(Dollars in Thousands)

	Perris Valley Cemetery Endowment Fund
ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES:	
Assets:	
Cash and investments	\$ 509
Total assets	509
Deferred outflows of resources	-
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	\$ 509
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES:	
Liabilities:	\$ -
Total liabilities	-
Deferred inflows of resources	-
Fund balances (Note 16):	
Nonspendable	473
Restricted	36
Total fund balances	509
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances	\$ 509

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance
Permanent Fund
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Perris Valley Cemetery Endowment Fund
	<u> </u>
REVENUES:	
Charges for services	\$ 19
Total revenues	<u> 19</u>
EXPENDITURES:	
Current:	
Public protection	<u> -</u>
Total expenditures	<u> -</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	19
Fund balance, beginning of year	<u> 490</u>
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	<u><u> \$ 509</u></u>



(This Page Intentionally Left Blank)

NONMAJOR ENTERPRISE FUNDS

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

NONMAJOR ENTERPRISE FUNDS

These funds are used to account for operations providing goods or services to the general public. The accounting for these funds is similar to private enterprise accounting (accrual). The intent of the County's governing board is that all costs associated with providing these goods or services be financed or recovered primarily through user charges.

COUNTY SERVICE AREAS

These three funds were established to account for revenues, expenses, and the allocation of net income for County Service Areas 62 (sewer), 62 (water), and 122.

FLOOD CONTROL

These three funds were established to account for transactions resulting from topographical map sales, subdivision operations, and issuance of encroachment permits.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Combining Statement of Net Position
Nonmajor Enterprise Funds
June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	County Service Areas	Flood Control	Total
ASSETS:			
Current assets:			
Cash and investments	\$ 108	\$ 2,549	\$ 2,657
Accounts receivable-net	-	303	303
Interest receivable	-	4	4
Taxes receivable	14	-	14
Due from other governments	-	14	14
Restricted cash and investments	-	2,719	2,719
Total current assets	<u>122</u>	<u>5,589</u>	<u>5,711</u>
Noncurrent assets:			
Capital assets:			
Depreciable assets	<u>21</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>28</u>
Total noncurrent assets	<u>21</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>28</u>
Total assets	<u>143</u>	<u>5,596</u>	<u>5,739</u>
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OR RESOURCES:	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
LIABILITIES:			
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	18	2,822	2,840
Salaries and benefits payable	-	27	27
Due to other funds	-	12	12
Deposits payable	51	-	51
Other liabilities	-	174	174
Compensated absences	-	15	15
Total current liabilities	<u>69</u>	<u>3,050</u>	<u>3,119</u>
Noncurrent portion of long-term liabilities:			
Noncurrent liabilities:			
Compensated absences	<u>-</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>69</u>
Total noncurrent liabilities	<u>-</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>69</u>
Total liabilities	<u>69</u>	<u>3,119</u>	<u>3,188</u>
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES:	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Net position:			
Net investment in capital assets	21	7	28
Restricted	41	-	41
Unrestricted	12	2,470	2,482
Total net position	<u>\$ 74</u>	<u>\$ 2,477</u>	<u>\$ 2,551</u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Position

Nonmajor Enterprise Funds

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013

(Dollars in Thousands)

	County Service Areas	Flood Control	Total
OPERATING REVENUES:			
Charges for services	\$ 339	\$ 1,504	\$ 1,843
Other	16	231	247
Total operating revenues	355	1,735	2,090
OPERATING EXPENSES:			
Personnel services	215	816	1,031
Maintenance of building and equipment	99	-	99
Supplies	6	18	24
Purchased services	6	885	891
Depreciation and amortization	3	9	12
Rents and leases of equipment	-	8	8
Utilities	114	-	114
Other	16	18	34
Total operating expenses	459	1,754	2,213
Operating income (loss)	(104)	(19)	(123)
NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES):			
Investment income	-	5	5
Total nonoperating revenues (expenses)	-	5	5
CHANGE IN NET POSITION	(104)	(14)	(118)
Net position, beginning of year	178	2,491	2,669
NET POSITION, END OF YEAR	\$ 74	\$ 2,477	\$ 2,551

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Statement of Cash Flows
Combining Nonmajor Enterprise Funds
For the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	County Service Areas	Flood Control	Total
Cash flows from operating activities			
Cash receipts from customers / other funds	\$ 355	\$ 1,722	\$ 2,077
Cash paid to suppliers for goods and services	(225)	(922)	(1,147)
Cash paid to employees for services	(215)	(835)	(1,050)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(85)	(35)	(120)
Cash flows from investing activities			
Interest received on investments	-	5	5
Net cash provided by investing activities	-	5	5
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(85)	(30)	(115)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	193	5,298	5,491
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	<u>\$ 108</u>	<u>\$ 5,268</u>	<u>\$ 5,376</u>

Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities			
Operating income (loss)	\$ (104)	\$ (19)	\$ (123)
Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities			
Depreciation and amortization	3	9	12
Decrease (Increase) accounts receivable	-	(46)	(46)
Decrease (Increase) due from other governments	-	33	33
Increase (Decrease) accounts payable	14	49	63
Increase (Decrease) due to other funds	-	10	10
Increase (Decrease) due to other governments	-	(10)	(10)
Increase (Decrease) deposits payable	2	-	2
Increase (Decrease) other liabilities	-	(42)	(42)
Increase (Decrease) salaries and benefits payable	-	(11)	(11)
Increase (Decrease) compensated absences	-	(8)	(8)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	<u>\$ (85)</u>	<u>\$ (35)</u>	<u>\$ (120)</u>

There were no significant noncash investing, financing, or capital activities.

INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS

These funds were established to account for the goods and services provided by a County department to other County departments, or to other internal governments, on a cost-reimbursement basis.

RECORDS MANAGEMENT AND ARCHIVES

This fund was established to account for the operations of the Records Management and Archives Program, which is responsible for providing consistent standards and support services that promote responsible record keeping countywide. Sources of revenue include records storage, reformatting, preservation, and consulting services.

FLEET SERVICES

This fund finances the operation and maintenance of County vehicles, including the Sheriff's Department. Revenue is obtained on a cost-reimbursement basis.

INFORMATION SERVICES

These funds are supported by the revenues generated for services including software systems support, computer networks, data structure design, and organization of the County's computer systems.

PRINTING SERVICES

These funds account for the financing of printing and central mail services provided to County departments on a cost-reimbursement basis. This fund also provides services such as the paper reclamation program, which collects and sells County department waste paper for recycling.

SUPPLY SERVICES

This fund finances the operation that provides County departments with merchandise and services on a cost-reimbursement basis.

OASIS PROJECT

These funds were established to support the implementation, operation, and maintenance of the County's central administrative and financial information system. Revenue is obtained on a cost-reimbursement basis.

RISK MANAGEMENT

These funds account for the financing of employee insurance benefits and County self-insurance programs. These funds include medical, dental, disability, and unemployment insurance as well as general liability, medical malpractice, and worker's compensation.

TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE POOL (TAP)

The purpose of this fund is to provide a ready source of temporary workers to County departments, with lower overhead costs than are typically charged by outside temporary employment agencies.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AGENCY (Facilities Management)

The purpose of this fund was to account for custodial, maintenance, and real estate services provided to other County departments on a cost-reimbursement basis.

FLOOD CONTROL EQUIPMENT

These funds were established to account for the financing of flood control equipment provided to other departments on a cost-reimbursement basis.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Combining Statement of Net Position
Internal Service Funds
June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Records Management and Archives	Fleet Services	Information Services	Printing Services	Supply Services
ASSETS:					
Current assets:					
Cash and investments	\$ 1,330	\$ 11,016	\$ 6,116	\$ 2,879	\$ 3,840
Accounts receivable-net	-	17	38	17	7
Interest receivable	1	4	1	1	1
Due from other government	-	149	28	74	-
Due from other funds	17	56	-	-	-
Inventories	-	740	1,736	227	350
Prepaid items and deposits	-	-	-	-	-
Total current assets	1,348	11,982	7,919	3,198	4,198
Noncurrent assets:					
Capital assets:					
Nondepreciable assets	-	661	235	-	-
Depreciable assets	238	23,961	3,458	841	203
Total noncurrent assets	238	24,622	3,693	841	203
Total assets	1,586	36,604	11,612	4,039	4,401
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OR RESOURCES:	-	-	-	-	-
LIABILITIES:					
Current liabilities:					
Accounts payable	3	891	271	87	75
Salaries and benefits payable	47	158	1,265	79	32
Due to other governments	-	1	-	-	7
Due to other funds	22	-	1	-	-
Other liabilities	-	300	-	25	-
Compensated absences	47	238	1,619	100	42
Capital lease obligation	-	5,948	935	-	-
Estimated claims liability	-	-	-	-	-
Total current liabilities	119	7,536	4,091	291	156
Noncurrent liabilities:					
Compensated absences	48	303	1,125	57	36
Advance from other funds	-	-	-	-	-
Capital lease obligation	-	3,775	1,153	-	-
Estimated claims liabilities	-	-	-	-	-
Total noncurrent liabilities	48	4,078	2,278	57	36
Total liabilities	167	11,614	6,369	348	192
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES:	-	-	-	-	-
NET POSITION:					
Net investment in capital assets	238	14,899	1,605	841	203
Unrestricted	1,181	10,091	3,638	2,850	4,006
Total net position	\$ 1,419	\$ 24,990	\$ 5,243	\$ 3,691	\$ 4,209

OASIS Project	Risk Management	Temporary Assistance Pool	EDA Facilities Management	Flood Control Equipment	Total	
\$ 4,551	\$ 183,397	\$ 1,860	\$ 6,165	\$ 7,620	\$ 228,774	ASSETS:
-	3,106	-	-	7	3,192	Current assets:
2	88	-	2	3	103	Cash and investments
-	-	-	296	-	547	Accounts receivable-net
-	-	-	-	39	112	Interest receivable
-	-	-	190	236	3,479	Due from other government
-	305	-	63	-	368	Due from other funds
4,553	186,896	1,860	6,716	7,905	236,575	Inventories
						Prepaid items and deposits
						Total current assets
						Noncurrent assets:
-	-	-	-	-	896	Capital assets:
447	69	-	67	2,504	31,788	Nondepreciable assets
447	69	-	67	2,504	32,684	Depreciable assets
						Total noncurrent assets
5,000	186,965	1,860	6,783	10,409	269,259	Total assets
-	-	-	-	-	-	DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OR RESOURCES:
						LIABILITIES:
6	29,170	41	1,259	158	31,961	Current liabilities:
251	989	110	998	16	3,945	Accounts payable
-	-	-	-	-	8	Salaries and benefits payable
-	-	1	-	43	67	Due to other governments
-	-	-	266	-	591	Due to other funds
378	1,127	208	1,176	37	4,972	Other liabilities
69	-	-	-	-	6,952	Compensated absences
-	22,933	-	-	-	22,933	Capital lease obligation
704	54,219	360	3,699	254	71,429	Estimated claims liability
						Total current liabilities
335	1,040	11	1,002	171	4,128	Noncurrent liabilities:
-	-	-	3,342	-	3,342	Compensated absences
220	-	-	-	-	5,148	Advance from other funds
-	107,986	-	-	-	107,986	Capital lease obligation
555	109,026	11	4,344	171	120,604	Estimated claims liabilities
1,259	163,245	371	8,043	425	192,033	Total noncurrent liabilities
-	-	-	-	-	-	Total liabilities
						DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES:
158	69	-	67	2,504	20,584	NET POSITION:
3,583	23,651	1,489	(1,327)	7,480	56,642	Net investment in capital assets
\$ 3,741	\$ 23,720	\$ 1,489	\$ (1,260)	\$ 9,984	\$ 77,226	Unrestricted
						Total net position

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Position

Internal Service Funds

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013

(Dollars in Thousands)

	Records Management and Archives	Fleet Services	Information Services	Printing Services	Supply Services
OPERATING REVENUES:					
Charges for services	\$ 1,659	\$ 28,179	\$ 26,351	\$ 4,278	\$ 8,203
Other revenue	1	-	38	2,289	3,440
Total operating revenues	1,660	28,179	26,389	6,567	11,643
OPERATING EXPENSES:					
Cost of materials used	-	1,776	-	-	-
Personnel services	1,089	3,422	21,452	1,728	702
Communications	27	89	3,045	13	16
Insurance	24	75	124	13	19
Maintenance of building and equipment	60	2,398	3,349	434	87
Insurance claims	-	-	-	-	-
Supplies	36	9,944	675	2,849	9,967
Purchased services	17	797	1,109	903	292
Depreciation and amortization	26	7,064	1,227	223	20
Rents and leases of equipment	288	437	1,464	4	-
Utilities	33	90	366	57	32
Other	16	175	350	91	48
Total operating expenses	1,616	26,267	33,161	6,315	11,183
Operating income (loss)	44	1,912	(6,772)	252	460
NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES):					
Investment income (loss)	(1)	(21)	(13)	(4)	(8)
Interest expense	-	(1,053)	(429)	(1)	-
Gain (loss) on disposal of capital assets	1	(87)	-	-	-
Other nonoperating revenues / (expenses)	-	(8)	-	-	-
Total nonoperating revenues (expenses)	-	(1,169)	(442)	(5)	(8)
Income (loss) before capital contributions and transfers	44	743	(7,214)	247	452
Capital contributions	-	31	-	-	-
Transfers in	-	-	4,499	-	-
Transfers out	(19)	(63)	(2,057)	(33)	(13)
CHANGE IN NET POSITION	25	711	(4,772)	214	439
Net position, beginning of year	1,394	24,279	10,015	3,477	3,770
NET POSITION, END OF YEAR	\$ 1,419	\$ 24,990	\$ 5,243	\$ 3,691	\$ 4,209

OASIS Project	Risk Management	Temporary Assistance Pool	EDA Facilities Management	Flood Control Equipment	Total	
						OPERATING REVENUES:
\$ 9,555	\$ 46,385	\$ 4,153	\$ 76,420	\$ 1,181	\$ 206,364	Charges for services
-	13,505	2	9,544	5,472	34,291	Other revenue
9,555	59,890	4,155	85,964	6,653	240,655	Total operating revenues
						OPERATING EXPENSES:
-	-	-	-	75	1,851	Cost of materials used
6,214	14,153	2,900	20,657	2,057	74,374	Personnel services
141	250	54	260	100	3,995	Communications
18	8,241	10	212	-	8,736	Insurance
1,840	173	17	6,989	475	15,822	Maintenance of building and equipment
-	108,391	-	-	-	108,391	Insurance claims
73	3,956	159	1,224	1,141	30,024	Supplies
391	3,719	763	9,727	1,141	18,859	Purchased services
981	62	-	30	991	10,624	Depreciation and amortization
644	1,347	256	46,836	4	51,280	Rents and leases of equipment
58	81	17	950	-	1,684	Utilities
22	2,450	162	1,122	422	4,858	Other
10,382	142,823	4,338	88,007	6,406	330,498	Total operating expenses
(827)	(82,933)	(183)	(2,043)	247	(89,843)	Operating income (loss)
						NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES):
(4)	(181)	(9)	(10)	(8)	(259)	Investment income (loss)
(17)	-	-	-	-	(1,500)	Interest expense
-	-	-	-	104	18	Gain (loss) on disposal of capital assets
-	-	-	-	-	(8)	Other nonoperating revenues / (expenses)
(21)	(181)	(9)	(10)	96	(1,749)	Total nonoperating revenues (expenses)
						Income (loss) before capital contributions and transfers
(848)	(83,114)	(192)	(2,053)	343	(91,592)	Capital contributions
-	78,596	-	-	-	78,627	Transfers in
-	1,488	-	500	-	6,487	Transfers out
(120)	(1,732)	(884)	(380)	-	(5,301)	
(968)	(4,762)	(1,076)	(1,933)	343	(11,779)	CHANGE IN NET POSITION
4,709	28,482	2,565	673	9,641	89,005	Net position, beginning of year
\$ 3,741	\$ 23,720	\$ 1,489	\$ (1,260)	\$ 9,984	\$ 77,226	NET POSITION, END OF YEAR

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Combining Statement of Cash Flows
Internal Service Funds
For the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Records Management and Archives	Fleet Service	Information Services	Printing Services	Supply Services
Cash flows from operating activities					
Cash receipts from internal services provided	\$ 1,643	\$ 28,300	26,506	\$ 6,615	\$ 11,648
Cash paid to suppliers for goods and services	(556)	(15,881)	(10,804)	(4,391)	(10,886)
Cash paid to employees for services	(1,090)	(3,424)	(20,717)	(1,739)	(696)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	(3)	8,995	(5,015)	485	66
Cash flows from noncapital financing activities					
Other Non-Operating Expenses	-	(8)	-	-	-
Transfers received	-	-	4,499	-	-
Transfers paid	(19)	(63)	(2,057)	(33)	(13)
Net cash provided (used) by noncapital financing activities	(19)	(71)	2,442	(33)	(13)
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities					
Gain (Loss) on Disposal of Capital Assets	1	(87)	-	-	-
Acquisition and construction of capital assets	(13)	(5,405)	(967)	1	-
Principal paid on capital leases	-	(1,993)	(182)	(102)	-
Capital contributions	-	31	-	-	-
Interest paid on long-term debt	-	(1,053)	(429)	(1)	-
Net cash provided (used) by capital and related financing activities	(12)	(8,507)	(1,578)	(102)	-
Cash flows from investing activities					
Interest received on investments	(1)	(22)	(10)	(4)	(8)
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities	(1)	(22)	(10)	(4)	(8)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(35)	395	(4,161)	346	45
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	1,365	10,621	10,277	2,533	3,795
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	<u>\$ 1,330</u>	<u>\$ 11,016</u>	<u>\$ 6,116</u>	<u>\$ 2,879</u>	<u>\$ 3,840</u>

Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities					
Operating income (loss)	\$ 44	\$ 1,912	\$ (6,772)	\$ 252	\$ 460
Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities					
Depreciation and amortization	26	7,064	1,227	223	20
Decrease (Increase) accounts receivable	-	1	93	22	3
Decrease (Increase) due from other funds	(17)	98	3	-	2
Decrease (Increase) due from other governments	-	22	21	26	-
Decrease (Increase) inventories	-	(141)	308	(87)	89
Decrease (Increase) prepaid items and deposits	-	-	-	-	-
Increase (Decrease) accounts payable	1	97	(631)	35	(514)
Increase (Decrease) due to other funds	(56)	-	1	-	-
Increase (Decrease) due to other governments	-	1	-	-	-
Increase (Decrease) other liabilities	-	(57)	-	25	-
Increase (Decrease) estimated claims liability	-	-	-	-	-
Increase (Decrease) salaries and benefits payable	-	2	307	(7)	1
Increase (Decrease) compensated absences	(1)	(4)	428	(4)	5
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	<u>\$ (3)</u>	<u>\$ 8,995</u>	<u>\$ (5,015)</u>	<u>\$ 485</u>	<u>\$ 66</u>

Noncash investing, capital, and financing activities:
Capital lease obligations

\$ 3,624 \$ 831

OASIS Project	Risk Management	Temporary Assistance Pool	EDA Facilities Management	Flood Control Equipment	Total	
\$ 9,555	\$ 59,549	\$ 4,171	\$ 86,679	\$ 6,655	\$ 241,321	Cash flows from operating activities
(3,548)	(112,251)	(1,431)	(67,177)	(3,314)	(230,239)	Cash receipts from internal services provided
(6,214)	(14,104)	(2,892)	(20,869)	(2,150)	(73,895)	Cash paid to suppliers for goods and services
						Cash paid to employees for services
(207)	(66,806)	(152)	(1,367)	1,191	(62,813)	Net cash provided (used) by operating activities
						Cash flows from noncapital financing activities
-	-	-	-	-	(8)	Advances from other funds
-	1,488	-	500	-	6,487	Transfers received
(120)	(1,732)	(884)	(380)	-	(5,301)	Transfers paid
(120)	(244)	(884)	120	-	1,178	Net cash provided (used) by noncapital financing activities
						Cash flows from capital and related financing activities
-	-	-	-	104	18	Gain (Loss) on Disposal of Capital Assets
(212)	-	-	1	(1,053)	(7,648)	Acquisition and construction of capital assets
(723)	-	-	-	-	(3,000)	Principal paid on capital leases
-	78,596	-	-	-	78,627	Capital contributions
(17)	-	-	-	-	(1,500)	Interest paid on long-term debt
(952)	78,596	-	1	(949)	66,497	Net cash provided (used) by capital and related financing activities
(3)	(184)	(9)	(10)	(8)	(259)	Cash flows from investing activities
						Interest received on investments
(3)	(184)	(9)	(10)	(8)	(259)	Net cash provided (used) by investing activities
(1,282)	11,362	(1,045)	(1,256)	234	4,603	Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents
5,833	172,035	2,905	7,421	7,386	224,171	Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year
\$ 4,551	\$ 183,397	\$ 1,860	\$ 6,165	\$ 7,620	\$ 228,774	Cash and cash equivalents, end of year
						Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities
\$ (827)	\$ (82,933)	\$ (183)	\$ (2,043)	\$ 247	\$ (89,843)	Operating income (loss)
						Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities
981	62	-	30	991	10,624	Depreciation and amortization
-	(344)	-	-	1	(224)	Decrease (Increase) accounts receivable
-	-	-	5	(3)	88	Decrease (Increase) due from other funds
-	3	16	710	4	802	Decrease (Increase) due from other governments
-	-	-	(23)	(3)	143	Decrease (Increase) inventories
-	75	-	4	-	79	Decrease (Increase) prepaid items and deposits
(361)	15,801	6	(69)	63	14,428	Increase (Decrease) accounts payable
-	-	1	-	35	(19)	Increase (Decrease) due to other funds
-	-	-	-	(51)	(50)	Increase (Decrease) due to other governments
-	-	-	231	-	199	Increase (Decrease) other liabilities
-	481	-	-	-	481	Increase (Decrease) estimated claims liability
(34)	31	(21)	(118)	(74)	87	Increase (Decrease) salaries and benefits payable
34	18	29	(94)	(19)	392	Increase (Decrease) compensated absences
\$ (207)	\$ (66,806)	\$ (152)	\$ (1,367)	\$ 1,191	\$ (62,813)	Net cash provided (used) by operating activities
						Noncash investing, capital, and financing activities:
\$ 149				\$ 4,604		Capital lease obligations



(This Page Intentionally Left Blank)

FIDUCIARY FUNDS

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

FIDUCIARY FUNDS

These funds were established for the purpose of accounting for assets held in trustee or agency capacity for others and therefore cannot be used to support the government's own programs and are excluded from the government-wide financial statements.

OTHER

This fund was established to account for a wide array of fiduciary responsibilities. Some of these responsibilities include tax payments clearing, asset forfeiture, State Controller clearing, child support collections, undistributed bond proceeds, and family support clearing.

PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS

The purpose of this fund is to collect deductions from employee wages. The deductions are owed to a variety of third parties for health insurance, union dues, unemployment insurance, withholding tax, flexible spending accounts, and dental insurance.

PROPERTY TAX ASSESSMENTS

The Property Tax Assessment Agency Fund was set up to help Riverside County account for apportioned taxes clearing, delinquent mobile home fees, property tax refunds, special assessments, and Teeter Plan collections.

WARRANTS

This fund was established as a clearing fund for various categories of warrants issued by Riverside County.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Combining Statement of Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities
Agency Funds
June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Other	Payroll Deductions	Property Tax Assessments	Warrants	Total
ASSETS:					
Cash and investments	\$ 87,556	\$ 11,123	\$ 76,342	\$ 51,752	\$ 226,773
Accounts receivable	47	-	-	-	47
Interest receivable	25	-	13	-	38
Taxes receivable	68	-	36,065	-	36,133
Due from other governments	350	-	-	-	350
Total assets	<u>\$ 88,046</u>	<u>\$ 11,123</u>	<u>\$ 112,420</u>	<u>\$ 51,752</u>	<u>\$ 263,341</u>
LIABILITIES:					
Accounts payable	\$ 69,087	\$ 11,123	\$ 599	\$ 51,752	\$ 132,561
Salaries and benefits payable	6	-	-	-	6
Due to other governments	18,953	-	111,821	-	130,774
Total liabilities	<u>\$ 88,046</u>	<u>\$ 11,123</u>	<u>\$ 112,420</u>	<u>\$ 51,752</u>	<u>\$ 263,341</u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Combining Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities
Agency Funds
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

Other	Balance July 1, 2012	Additions	Deductions	Balance June 30, 2013
<u>Assets</u>				
Cash and investments	\$ 92,521	\$ 4,426,334	\$ 4,431,299	\$ 87,556
Accounts receivable	-	3,791	3,744	47
Interest receivable	4	39	18	25
Taxes receivable	70	68	70	68
Due from other governments	330	350	330	350
Total assets	<u>\$ 92,925</u>	<u>\$ 4,430,582</u>	<u>\$ 4,435,461</u>	<u>\$ 88,046</u>
<u>Liabilities</u>				
Accounts payable	\$ 73,816	\$ 610,393	\$ 615,122	\$ 69,087
Salaries and benefits payable	6	9	9	6
Due to other governments	19,103	3,819,304	3,819,454	18,953
Total liabilities	<u>\$ 92,925</u>	<u>\$ 4,429,706</u>	<u>\$ 4,434,585</u>	<u>\$ 88,046</u>
<u>Payroll Deductions</u>				
<u>Assets</u>				
Cash and investments	\$ 11,189	\$ 1,785,154	\$ 1,785,220	\$ 11,123
Due from other governments	4	-	4	-
Total assets	<u>\$ 11,193</u>	<u>\$ 1,785,154</u>	<u>\$ 1,785,224</u>	<u>\$ 11,123</u>
<u>Liabilities</u>				
Accounts payable	\$ 11,193	\$ 1,267,857	\$ 1,267,927	\$ 11,123
Total liabilities	<u>\$ 11,193</u>	<u>\$ 1,267,857</u>	<u>\$ 1,267,927</u>	<u>\$ 11,123</u>
<u>Property Tax Assessments</u>				
<u>Assets</u>				
Cash and investments	\$ 89,377	\$ 4,487,245	\$ 4,500,280	\$ 76,342
Interest receivable	39	13	39	13
Taxes receivable	45,132	45,133	54,200	36,065
Total assets	<u>\$ 134,548</u>	<u>\$ 4,532,391</u>	<u>\$ 4,554,519</u>	<u>\$ 112,420</u>
<u>Liabilities</u>				
Accounts payable	\$ 590	\$ 293,524	\$ 293,515	\$ 599
Due to other governments	133,958	4,391,438	4,413,575	111,821
Total liabilities	<u>\$ 134,548</u>	<u>\$ 4,684,962</u>	<u>\$ 4,707,090</u>	<u>\$ 112,420</u>

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Combining Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities
Agency Funds
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Balance July 1, 2012	Additions	Deductions	Balance June 30, 2013
<u>Warrants</u>				
<u>Assets</u>				
Cash and investments	\$ 49,881	\$ 10,471,093	\$ 10,469,222	\$ 51,752
Interest receivable	-	-	-	-
Total assets	<u>\$ 49,881</u>	<u>\$ 10,471,093</u>	<u>\$ 10,469,222</u>	<u>\$ 51,752</u>
<u>Liabilities</u>				
Accounts payable	\$ 49,881	\$ 5,771,375	\$ 5,769,504	\$ 51,752
Total liabilities	<u>\$ 49,881</u>	<u>\$ 5,771,375</u>	<u>\$ 5,769,504</u>	<u>\$ 51,752</u>
 <u>Total Agency Funds</u>				
<u>Assets</u>				
Cash and investments	\$ 242,968	\$ 21,169,826	\$ 21,186,021	\$ 226,773
Accounts receivable	-	3,791	3,744	47
Interest receivable	43	52	57	38
Taxes receivable	45,202	45,201	54,270	36,133
Due from other governments	334	350	334	350
Total assets	<u>\$ 288,547</u>	<u>\$ 21,219,220</u>	<u>\$ 21,244,426</u>	<u>\$ 263,341</u>
<u>Liabilities</u>				
Accounts payable	\$ 135,480	\$ 7,943,149	\$ 7,946,068	\$ 132,561
Salaries and benefits payable	6	9	9	6
Due to other governments	153,061	8,210,742	8,233,029	130,774
Total liabilities	<u>\$ 288,547</u>	<u>\$ 16,153,900</u>	<u>\$ 16,179,106</u>	<u>\$ 263,341</u>

STATISTICAL SECTION

Statistical Section

This section of the Riverside County Comprehensive Annual Financial Report presents additional detail, historical perspective, and context to assist annual financial report users in understanding the financial statements, note disclosures, required supplementary information, and assessing the County's financial condition.

Contents

Table(s)

Financial Trends Information

T1 – T5

These tables contain trend information to assist readers in understanding and assessing how the County's financial position has changed over time.

- Net Position by Component
- Changes in Net Position
- Governmental Activities Tax Revenues by Source
- Fund Balances of Governmental Funds
- Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds

Revenue Capacity Information

T6 – T10

These tables contain information to assist readers in understanding and assessing the factors affecting the County's local revenue sources, property tax, sales tax, and other taxes.

- General Government Tax Revenues by Source
- Assessed Value and Estimated Actual Value of Taxable Property
- Property Tax Rates, Direct and Overlapping Governments
- Principal Property Tax Payers
- Property Tax Levies and Collections

Debt Capacity Information

T11 – T15

These tables contain information to assist readers in understanding and assessing the County's current level of outstanding debt, and the County's ability to issue additional debt.

- Ratios of Outstanding Debt by Type
- Ratios of General Bonded Debt Outstanding
- Direct and Overlapping Governmental Activities Debt
- Legal Debt Margin Information
- Pledged-Revenue Coverage

Economic and Demographic Information

T16 – T17

These tables provide economic and demographic information to assist readers in understanding the socioeconomic environment within which the County operates, and to facilitate the comparisons of financial information over time.

- Demographic and Economic Statistics
- Principal Employers

Operating Information

T18 – T20

These tables provide contextual information about the County's operations and resources to assist readers in understanding and assessing the County's financial condition as it relates to the services that the County provides.


- Full-time Equivalent County Government Employees by Function/Program
- Operating Indicators by Function
- Capital Asset Statistics by Function

Source: Unless otherwise noted, the information in these tables is derived from Riverside County's Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports for the relevant years. The County implemented GASB Statement No. 34 in fiscal year 2001-02. Statistical Tables present information for the last eight years beginning with the first year after GASB Statement No. 34 implementation.

Table 1

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Net Position by Component
Last Ten Fiscal Years
(Accrual basis of accounting)
(Dollars in Thousands)
June 30, 2013

	Fiscal Year ending June 30th				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Governmental Activities					
Net investments in capital assets	\$ 2,998,987	\$ 2,740,429	\$ 1,687,128	\$ 1,594,275	\$ 1,204,971
Restricted	550,326	683,835	656,347	604,942	824,139
Unrestricted	771,883	851,269	1,295,657	1,395,141	1,402,813
Governmental activities, total net position	<u>\$ 4,321,196</u>	<u>\$ 4,275,533</u>	<u>\$ 3,639,132</u>	<u>\$ 3,594,358</u>	<u>\$ 3,431,923</u>
Business-type Activities					
Net investments in capital assets	\$ 118,594	\$ 130,510	\$ 113,489	\$ 96,901	\$ 81,512
Restricted	94,346	41,103	43,086	50,386	52,502
Unrestricted	88,852	(5,456)	59,550	72,397	80,238
Business-type activities, total net position	<u>\$ 301,792</u>	<u>\$ 166,157</u>	<u>\$ 216,125</u>	<u>\$ 219,684</u>	<u>\$ 214,252</u>
Primary Government					
Net investments in capital assets	\$ 3,117,581	\$ 2,870,939	\$ 1,800,617	\$ 1,691,176	\$ 1,286,483
Restricted	644,672	724,938	699,433	655,328	876,641
Unrestricted	860,735	845,813	1,355,207	1,467,538	1,483,051
Primary government, total net position	<u>\$ 4,622,988</u>	<u>\$ 4,441,690</u>	<u>\$ 3,855,257</u>	<u>\$ 3,814,042</u>	<u>\$ 3,646,175</u>



Source: Auditor-Controller, County of Riverside

Table 1

2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	
					Governmental Activities
\$ 802,981	\$ 903,076	\$ 930,800	\$ 407,762	\$ 524,624	Net investments in capital assets
769,368	569,477	582,037	584,441	521,143	Restricted
1,572,150	1,370,350	999,992	671,917	387,007	Unrestricted
<u>\$ 3,144,499</u>	<u>\$ 2,842,903</u>	<u>\$ 2,512,829</u>	<u>\$ 1,664,120</u>	<u>\$ 1,432,774</u>	Governmental activities, total net position
					Business-type Activities
\$ 69,441	\$ 53,321	\$ 40,986	\$ 29,583	\$ 25,102	Net investments in capital assets
36,074	50,629	41,287	45,362	43,232	Restricted
101,683	100,567	85,971	67,502	31,602	Unrestricted
<u>\$ 207,198</u>	<u>\$ 204,517</u>	<u>\$ 168,244</u>	<u>\$ 142,447</u>	<u>\$ 99,936</u>	Business-type activities, total net position
					Primary Government
\$ 872,422	\$ 956,397	\$ 971,786	\$ 437,345	\$ 549,726	Net investments in capital assets
805,442	620,106	623,324	629,803	564,375	Restricted
1,673,833	1,470,917	1,085,963	739,419	418,609	Unrestricted
<u>\$ 3,351,697</u>	<u>\$ 3,047,420</u>	<u>\$ 2,681,073</u>	<u>\$ 1,806,567</u>	<u>\$ 1,532,710</u>	Primary government, total net position

Table 2

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Changes in Net Position
Last Ten Fiscal Years
(Accrual basis of accounting)
(Dollars in Thousands)
as of June 30, 2013

	Fiscal Year Ending June 30th				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Program Revenues					
Governmental Activities:					
Charges for services:					
General government	\$ 138,851	\$ 147,510	\$ 159,570	\$ 140,723	\$ 143,644
Public protection	339,379	316,778	326,237	331,162	311,565
Other activities	110,231	116,509	105,931	95,438	100,819
Operating grants and contributions	1,503,390	1,447,694	1,393,016	1,384,791	1,344,611
Capital grants and contributions	27,695	27,909	32,114	31,112	29,771
Governmental activities program revenues	<u>2,119,546</u>	<u>2,056,400</u>	<u>2,016,868</u>	<u>1,983,226</u>	<u>1,930,410</u>
Business-type Activities:					
Charges for services:					
Regional Medical Center	450,340	371,827	386,533	367,273	360,584
Other activities	150,407	133,838	140,327	134,257	139,206
Capital grants and contributions	698	335	-	1,165	310
Business-type activities program revenues	<u>601,445</u>	<u>506,000</u>	<u>526,860</u>	<u>502,695</u>	<u>500,100</u>
Primary government program revenues	<u>2,720,991</u>	<u>2,562,400</u>	<u>2,543,728</u>	<u>2,485,921</u>	<u>2,430,510</u>
Expenses					
Governmental Activities:					
General government	194,641	270,474	298,032	323,949	285,393
Public protection	1,065,373	1,047,202	1,021,288	1,062,213	1,095,587
Public ways and facilities	89,469	84,797	87,424	31,024	31,283
Health and sanitation	422,982	374,950	369,984	347,634	392,945
Public assistance	807,611	827,092	907,202	820,637	770,484
Education	18,998	10,376	15,816	19,866	15,954
Recreation and cultural services	12,274	15,806	9,364	12,206	6,039
Interest on long-term debt	29,453	39,098	88,998	80,754	89,741
Governmental activities expenses	<u>2,640,801</u>	<u>2,669,795</u>	<u>2,798,108</u>	<u>2,698,283</u>	<u>2,687,426</u>
Business-type Activities:					
Regional Medical Center	473,916	417,074	401,120	389,991	379,278
Waste Management Department	53,069	57,272	56,688	49,956	61,116
Housing Authority	90,678	91,469	86,027	81,426	81,139
Flood Control	2,472	2,306	3,711	3,233	3,816
County service areas	459	456	383	454	457
Business-type activities expenses	<u>620,594</u>	<u>568,577</u>	<u>547,929</u>	<u>525,060</u>	<u>525,806</u>
Primary government expenses	<u>3,261,395</u>	<u>3,238,372</u>	<u>3,346,037</u>	<u>3,223,343</u>	<u>3,213,232</u>
Net (expense)/revenue					
Governmental activities	(521,255)	(613,395)	(781,240)	(715,057)	(757,016)
Business-type activities	(19,149)	(62,577)	(21,069)	(22,365)	(25,706)
Primary government, net (expense) / revenue	<u>\$ (540,404)</u>	<u>\$ (675,972)</u>	<u>\$ (802,309)</u>	<u>\$ (737,422)</u>	<u>\$ (782,722)</u>

Source: Auditor-Controller, County of Riverside

Table 2

2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	
					Program Revenues
					Governmental Activities:
					Charges for services:
\$ 171,403	\$ 171,070	\$ 174,781	\$ 125,937	\$ 105,248	General government
316,719	307,288	286,877	235,873	237,681	Public protection
123,483	130,837	113,413	97,182	93,100	Other activities
1,315,716	1,210,941	1,100,674	983,290	1,086,456	Operating grants and contributions
25,333	48,186	31,001	64,252	33,041	Capital grants and contributions
1,952,654	1,868,322	1,706,746	1,506,534	1,555,526	Governmental activities program revenues
					Business-type Activities:
					Charges for services:
333,414	337,905	330,125	354,510	266,484	Regional Medical Center
146,065	137,706	135,266	125,945	118,544	Other activities
306	261	227	-	125	Capital grants and contributions
479,785	475,872	465,618	480,455	385,153	Business-type activities program revenues
2,432,439	2,344,194	2,172,364	1,986,989	1,940,679	Primary government program revenues
					Expenses
					Governmental Activities:
331,741	296,917	259,993	187,911	232,322	General government
1,122,370	935,550	801,044	792,287	710,053	Public protection
20,558	57,578	61,443	79,649	93,529	Public ways and facilities
330,206	350,082	350,451	290,001	376,338	Health and sanitation
752,779	688,213	634,522	552,298	590,719	Public assistance
17,977	14,847	11,168	10,112	10,280	Education
12,457	11,941	7,188	8,617	9,666	Recreation and cultural services
96,173	81,197	75,721	48,717	29,890	Interest on long-term debt
2,684,261	2,436,325	2,201,530	1,969,592	2,052,797	Governmental activities expenses
					Business-type Activities:
353,481	329,128	290,962	356,255	296,227	Regional Medical Center
64,538	60,772	66,453	55,563	40,056	Waste Management Department
74,252	70,218	62,909	62,206	61,599	Housing Authority
5,201	6,242	5,705	4,928	4,318	Flood Control
343	329	285	320	329	County service areas
497,815	466,689	426,314	479,272	402,529	Business-type activities expenses
3,182,076	2,903,014	2,627,844	2,448,864	2,455,326	Primary government expenses
					Net (expense)/revenue
(731,607)	(568,003)	(494,784)	(463,058)	(497,271)	Governmental activities
(18,030)	9,183	39,304	1,183	(17,376)	Business-type activities
\$ (749,637)	\$ (558,820)	\$ (455,480)	\$ (461,875)	\$ (514,647)	Primary government, net (expense) / revenue

Continued

Table 2

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Changes in Net Position
Last Ten Fiscal Years
(Accrual basis of accounting)
(Dollars in Thousands)
June 30, 2013

	Fiscal Year Ending June 30th				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Continued:					
Primary government, net (expense) / revenue	\$ (540,404)	\$ (675,972)	\$ (802,309)	\$ (737,422)	\$ (782,722)
General Revenues and					
Other Changes in Net Position					
Governmental Activities:					
Taxes:					
Property taxes	277,417	322,337	367,867	440,282	506,222
Sales tax and use tax	29,751	26,744	45,489	36,289	47,683
Other taxes	37,883	6,715	9,004	8,610	13,771
Intergovernmental revenue - not restricted to programs:					
Unrestricted intergovernmental revenue	220,811	226,384	235,153	246,493	273,825
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties	-	-	-	-	-
Investment earnings	2,035	11,801	19,494	29,026	87,041
Proceeds on sale of capital assets	-	-	-	-	-
Other	168,454	169,398	142,966	91,044	121,880
Transfers	(1,049)	(11,702)	(10,355)	(17,436)	(25,713)
Governmental activities	735,302	751,677	809,618	834,308	1,024,709
Business-type Activities:					
Investment earnings	(33)	907	538	1,442	6,142
Gain on sale of capital assets	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	6,617	-	-
Transfers	1,049	11,702	10,355	17,436	25,713
Business-type activities	1,016	12,609	17,510	18,878	31,855
Total primary government	736,318	764,286	827,128	853,186	1,056,564
Change in net position					
Governmental activities	214,047	138,282	28,378	119,251	267,693
Business-type activities	(18,133)	(49,968)	(3,559)	(3,487)	6,149
Primary government change in net position	\$ 195,914	\$ 88,314	\$ 24,819	\$ 115,764	\$ 273,842


Table 2

2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	
\$ (749,637)	\$ (558,820)	\$ (455,480)	\$ (461,875)	\$ (514,647)	Continued:
					Primary government, net (expense) / revenue
					General Revenues and
					Other Changes in Net Position
					Governmental Activities:
					Taxes:
506,327	462,817	396,167	314,666	266,391	Property taxes
40,985	51,093	44,286	33,091	26,633	Sales tax and use tax
15,898	16,865	15,603	13,885	12,108	Other taxes
					Intergovernmental revenue -
					not restricted to programs:
274,282	245,723	220,190	172,265	87,435	Unrestricted intergovernmental revenue
-	-	-	70,578	43,344	Fines, forfeitures, and penalties
138,071	122,517	78,288	39,907	16,835	Investment earnings
-	-	-	-	1,491	Proceeds on sale of capital assets
85,924	13,191	96,265	99,330	146,392	Other
(10,322)	(16,892)	19,888	(31,000)	(16,791)	Transfers
1,051,165	895,314	870,687	712,722	583,838	Governmental activities
					Business-type Activities:
10,389	10,198	6,381	4,234	2,505	Investment earnings
-	-	-	346	4,208	Gain on sale of capital assets
-	-	-	-	-	Other
10,322	16,892	(19,888)	31,000	16,791	Transfers
20,711	27,090	(13,507)	35,580	23,504	Business-type activities
1,071,876	922,404	857,180	748,302	607,342	Total primary government
					Change in net position
319,558	327,311	375,903	249,664	86,567	Governmental activities
2,681	36,273	25,797	36,763	6,128	Business-type activities
\$ 322,239	\$ 363,584	\$ 401,700	\$ 286,427	\$ 92,695	Primary government change in net position

Table 3

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Governmental Activities Tax Revenues By Source
Last Ten Fiscal Years
(Accrual basis of accounting)
(Dollars in Thousands)
June 30, 2013

Fiscal Year Ending June 30th	Property Tax	Property Transfer Tax	Sales and Use Tax	Unrestricted Intergovernmental Revenue	Other Tax	Total
2013	\$ 266,294	\$ 11,123	\$ 29,751	\$ 220,811	\$ 37,883	\$ 565,862
2012	312,972	9,365	26,744	226,384	6,715	582,180
2011	357,908	9,959	45,489	235,153	9,004	657,513
2010	429,604	10,678	36,289	246,493	8,610	731,674
2009	495,598	10,624	47,683	273,825	13,771	841,501
2008	492,849	13,478	40,985	274,282	15,898	837,492
2007	439,981	22,836	51,093	245,723	16,865	776,498
2006	363,407	32,760	44,286	220,190	15,603	676,246
2005	283,660	31,006	33,091	172,265	13,885	533,907
2004	242,647	23,744	26,633	87,435	12,108	392,567



Source: Auditor-Controller, County of Riverside



(This Page Intentionally Left Blank)

Table 4

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Fund Balances of Governmental Funds
Last Ten Fiscal Years
(Modified accrual basis of accounting)
(Dollars in Thousands)
June 30, 2013

	Fiscal Year Ending June 30th			
	2013	2012	2011	2010
General Fund				
Nonspendable	\$ 3,247	\$ 1,834	\$ 2,214	\$ 3,201
Restricted	101,440	101,651	98,552	93,653
Committed	42,183	52,439	50,097	250,444
Assigned	10,460	8,764	3,463	2,998
Unassigned	199,919	171,910	189,236	36,190
Total General Fund	357,249	336,598	343,562	386,486
Transportation				
Nonspendable	1,044	1,014	-	-
Restricted	79,127	95,805	-	-
Committed	1,310	1,811	-	-
Assigned	12,821	4,935	-	-
Total Transportation	94,302	103,565	-	-
Flood Control				
Nonspendable	1	1	1	1
Committed	253,117	252,368	237,211	222,944
Assigned	1,807	3,890	13,741	18,979
Total Flood Control	254,925	256,259	250,953	241,924
Public Facilities Improvements				
Restricted	153,404	131,184	158,628	200,501
Committed	1,912	-	6,451	10,850
Assigned	44,244	111,324	128,023	127,302
Total Public Facilities Improvements	199,560	242,508	293,102	338,653
Redevelopment Capital Projects				
Nonspendable	-	-	72,055	79,257
Committed	-	-	115,617	93,028
Assigned	-	-	83,881	96,062
Total Redevelopment Capital Projects	-	-	271,553	268,347
Nonmajor Governmental Funds				
Nonspendable	1,168	1,241	84,769	84,744
Restricted	174,552	354,214	410,787	434,900
Committed reported in:				
Special revenue funds	15,763	12,973	21,381	6,196
Debt Service Funds	-	-	1,206	1,206
Capital projects funds	151	323	1,690	355
Assigned	17,088	25,763	86,572	30,314
Total Nonmajor Governmental Funds	208,722	394,514	606,405	557,715
Total All Governmental Funds	\$ 1,114,758	\$ 1,333,444	\$ 1,765,575	\$ 1,793,125

Note: In fiscal year 2010-11 the County implemented GASB Statement No. 54 under which governmental fund balances are reported as nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned. Fiscal year 2009-10 fund balances have been recharacterized to comply with GASB Statement No. 54 in order to facilitate year-to-year comparisons. In fiscal year 2011-12 Redevelopment Capital Projects are reported under the Successor Agency.

In fiscal year 2012-13 Transportation became a major fund, therefore only fiscal year 2011-12 and 2012-13 are presented for comparison purposes.

Source: Auditor-Controller, County of Riverside

Table 4

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Fund Balances of Governmental Funds
Last Ten Fiscal Years (Continued)
(Modified accrual basis of accounting)
(Dollars in Thousands)
June 30, 2013

	Fiscal Year Ending June 30th					
	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
General Fund						
Reserved	\$ 91,196	\$ 84,466	\$ 88,233	\$ 100,436	\$ 121,249	\$ 100,940
Unreserved, designated	203,821	335,630	339,773	277,833	185,014	70,361
Unreserved, undesignated	77,104	58,672	142,958	68,649	46,191	77,752
Total General Fund	372,121	478,768	570,964	446,918	352,454	249,053
Transportation						
Nonspendable	-	-	-	-	-	-
Restricted	-	-	-	-	-	-
Committed	-	-	-	-	-	-
Assigned	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Transportation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Flood Control						
Reserved	1,794	4,500	-	940	3,914	19,051
Unreserved, designated	30,149	1,755	134,396	133,906	-	-
Unreserved, undesignated	196,973	193,170	32,724	3,044	120,052	107,482
Total Flood Control	228,916	199,425	167,120	137,890	123,966	126,533
Public Facilities Improvements						
Reserved	538,431	590,915	256,338	222,983	175,699	152,842
Unreserved, undesignated	-	-	-	-	-	184
Total Public Facilities Improvements	538,431	590,915	256,338	222,983	175,699	153,026
Redevelopment Capital Projects						
Reserved	189,627	122,036	269,263	88,391	61,460	-
Unreserved, undesignated	116,076	234,582	118,186	120,313	75,702	-
Total Redevelopment Capital Projects	305,703	356,618	387,449	208,704	137,162	-
Nonmajor Governmental Funds						
Reserved	371,076	331,147	192,566	196,938	149,222	159,413
Unreserved, designated reported in:						
Special revenue funds	27,666	37,121	53,268	78,501	86,593	13,041
Capital projects funds	6,933	6,935	9,671	2,056	1,805	20,353
Unreserved, undesignated reported in:						
Special revenue funds	151,939	139,367	115,637	106,564	197,438	189,570
Capital projects funds	-	-	-	-	-	(8,241)
Total Nonmajor Governmental Funds	557,614	514,570	371,142	384,059	435,058	374,136
Total All Governmental Funds	\$ 2,002,785	\$ 2,140,296	\$ 1,753,013	\$ 1,400,554	\$ 1,224,339	\$ 902,748

Table 5

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds
Last Ten Fiscal Years
(Modified accrual basis of accounting)
(Dollars in Thousands)
June 30, 2013

	Fiscal Year Ending June 30th				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Revenues					
Taxes	\$ 347,166	\$ 355,796	\$ 427,892	\$ 439,435	\$ 525,238
Licenses, permits, and franchise fees	18,798	19,513	20,294	19,197	22,546
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties	86,381	90,163	95,290	114,320	108,572
Use of money and property:					
Interest	2,370	10,827	18,305	26,929	81,040
Rents and concessions	19,246	19,588	17,659	17,393	17,151
Aid from other governmental agencies:					
Federal	569,330	577,654	609,531	636,167	546,030
State	1,047,485	986,658	921,329	857,191	955,389
Other	132,120	156,678	130,362	172,598	140,757
Charges for services	464,274	449,888	458,744	469,340	460,439
Other revenue	91,329	95,119	95,279	65,711	84,348
Total revenues	<u>2,778,499</u>	<u>2,761,884</u>	<u>2,794,685</u>	<u>2,818,281</u>	<u>2,941,510</u>
Expenditures					
General government	208,242	291,227	311,025	554,315	430,712
Public protection	1,117,397	1,072,442	1,081,489	1,068,051	1,126,662
Public ways and facilities	177,467	168,015	176,184	130,310	148,544
Health and sanitation	393,557	375,668	353,904	341,244	390,668
Public assistance	798,850	802,104	824,471	812,848	766,407
Education	18,819	18,942	19,282	18,910	15,731
Recreation and culture	16,590	15,220	18,755	12,620	12,801
Debt service:					
Principal	55,363	65,002	80,928	73,378	54,587
Interest	27,988	49,041	83,902	78,689	86,768
Cost of issuance	378	15	5,212	1,819	2,436
Capital outlay	25,427	22,583	30,439	39,844	48,899
Total expenditures	<u>2,840,078</u>	<u>2,880,259</u>	<u>2,985,591</u>	<u>3,132,028</u>	<u>3,084,215</u>
Revenues over (under) expenditures	(61,579)	(118,375)	(190,906)	(313,747)	(142,705)
Other financing sources (uses)					
Transfers in	231,574	323,052	267,985	463,296	538,029
Transfers out	(233,809)	(332,724)	(277,943)	(479,143)	(562,345)
Issuance of debt	-	-	170,481	81,745	-
Issuance of refunding bonds	19,140	33,360	-	70,365	78,895
Discount on long-term debt	-	-	-	(626)	-
Premium on long-term debt	759	2,840	-	937	-
Redemption of refunded debt	(18,155)	(32,797)	-	-	-
Payment to escrow agent	-	-	-	(65,713)	(76,300)
Proceeds from the sale of capital assets	-	-	6	-	-
Capital leases	1,721	2,671	8,321	31,018	22,746
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>1,230</u>	<u>(3,598)</u>	<u>168,850</u>	<u>101,879</u>	<u>1,025</u>
Net change in fund balances	<u>\$ (60,349)</u>	<u>\$ (121,973)</u>	<u>\$ (22,056)</u>	<u>\$ (211,868)</u>	<u>\$ (141,680)</u>
Debt service as a % of non-capital expenditures	3.35%	4.50%	6.17%	5.85%	5.54%

Source: Auditor-Controller, County of Riverside


Table 5

2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	
\$ 553,158	\$ 523,028	\$ 457,117	\$ 346,248	\$ 305,132	Revenues
24,652	25,981	21,733	22,343	26,418	Taxes
92,029	82,946	62,984	70,578	43,297	Licenses, permits, and franchise fees
					Fines, forfeitures, and penalties
					Use of money and property:
128,307	113,789	73,838	37,624	16,145	Interest
15,486	43,171	41,798	39,831	31,952	Rents and concessions
					Aid from other governmental agencies:
544,587	496,685	451,036	446,628	430,970	Federal
971,299	937,630	830,634	705,289	713,146	State
103,858	89,111	69,042	55,661	46,750	Other
447,889	431,676	439,594	383,497	368,497	Charges for services
102,132	115,863	110,870	146,800	100,404	Other revenue
2,983,397	2,859,880	2,558,646	2,254,499	2,082,711	Total revenues
					Expenditures
409,336	320,254	270,340	250,568	217,416	General government
1,083,719	972,006	855,133	1,039,822	677,798	Public protection
152,603	157,055	141,017	111,088	133,973	Public ways and facilities
375,259	348,921	346,738	339,444	365,727	Health and sanitation
747,576	686,295	629,553	652,069	576,267	Public assistance
17,907	14,830	11,108	9,889	10,241	Education
11,647	11,707	12,727	20,058	9,242	Recreation and culture
					Debt service:
46,483	44,222	45,516	34,452	32,118	Principal
91,126	78,204	73,707	46,439	24,523	Interest
3,868	5,565	4,925	9,283	504	Cost of issuance
36,691	58,525	25,639	9,680	1,604	Capital outlay
2,976,215	2,697,584	2,416,403	2,522,792	2,049,413	Total expenditures
7,182	162,296	142,243	(268,293)	33,298	Revenues over (under) expenditures
					Other financing sources (uses)
805,400	313,044	294,835	203,411	163,383	Transfers in
(814,607)	(328,624)	(277,680)	(229,835)	(179,701)	Transfers out
294,084	34,173	178,750	596,330	21,645	Issuance of debt
111,125	259,600	-	74,200	-	Issuance of refunding bonds
(2,898)	-	-	-	-	Discount on long-term debt
3,272	2,876	857	4,827	-	Premium on long-term debt
-	-	-	-	-	Redemption of refunded debt
(24,290)	(103,396)	(35,684)	(53,338)	-	Payment to escrow agent
1,159	916	2,064	35	494	Proceeds from the sale of capital assets
8,670	8,811	7,929	6,616	1,008	Capital leases
381,915	187,400	171,071	602,246	6,829	Total other financing sources (uses)
\$ 389,097	\$ 349,696	\$ 313,314	\$ 333,953	\$ 40,127	Net change in fund balances
5.28%	5.07%	5.47%	3.35%	2.86%	Debt service as a % of non-capital expenditures

Table 6

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
General Government Tax Revenues By Source
Last Ten Fiscal Years
(Modified Accrual Basis of Accounting)
(Dollars in Thousands)
June 30, 2013

Fiscal Year Ending June 30th	Secured Tax	Unsecured Tax	Supplemental Tax	Sales & Use Tax	Other Taxes	Total
2013	\$ 251,236	\$ 12,459	\$ 4,714	\$ 29,751	\$ 49,006	\$ 347,166
2012	295,974	13,499	3,498	26,626	16,199	355,796
2011	346,356	13,404	3,681	28,393	36,058	427,892
2010	364,810	15,270	3,778	25,762	29,815	439,435
2009	422,329	15,071	12,981	47,683	27,174	525,238
2008	428,790	13,193	40,815	40,985	29,375	553,158
2007	375,924	12,301	65,537	40,607	28,659	523,028
2006	277,266	11,405	39,661	37,532	91,253	457,117
2005	235,636	9,501	23,129	33,091	44,891	346,248
2004	222,635	9,600	10,411	26,633	35,853	305,132



Source: Auditor-Controller, County of Riverside




(This Page Intentionally Left Blank)

Table 7

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Assessed Value and Estimated Actual Value of Taxable Property
Last Ten Fiscal Years
(Dollars in Thousands)
June 30, 2013

	Fiscal Year Ending June 30th				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Real Property					
Secured property	\$ 201,971,552	\$ 202,313,851	\$ 204,153,163	\$ 213,144,336	\$ 238,312,506
Unsecured property	8,123,443	8,057,242	8,121,065	8,227,172	8,685,393
Total Gross Assessed Value	210,094,995	210,371,093	212,274,228	221,371,508	246,997,899
Less:					
Tax-exempt real property	7,116,048	6,818,361	6,673,229	6,424,030	6,111,231
Total Taxable Assessed Value	\$ 202,978,947	\$ 203,552,732	\$ 205,600,999	\$ 214,947,478	\$ 240,886,668
Total Direct Tax Rate	1.1434	1.1254	1.1254	1.1222	1.1095
Estimated Actual Taxable Value	\$ 270,638,596	\$ 271,403,643	\$ 274,134,665	\$ 286,596,637	\$ 321,182,224
Assessed Value as a % of Actual Value	77.63%	77.51%	77.43%	77.24%	76.90%



Source: Auditor-Controller, County of Riverside

Table 7

2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	
\$ 235,351,116	\$ 202,009,520	\$ 164,618,837	\$ 137,784,611	\$ 119,840,527	Real Property
7,540,803	6,735,421	6,316,569	5,787,971	5,365,993	Secured property
242,891,919	208,744,941	170,935,406	143,572,582	125,206,520	Unsecured property
					Total Gross Assessed Value
5,574,813	5,125,567	5,014,256	4,730,573	4,301,937	Less:
					Tax-exempt real property
<u>\$ 237,317,106</u>	<u>\$ 203,619,374</u>	<u>\$ 165,921,150</u>	<u>\$ 138,842,009</u>	<u>\$ 120,904,583</u>	Total Taxable Assessed Value
1.0919	1.0772	1.0805	1.0866	1.0771	Total Direct Tax Rate
\$ 316,422,808	\$ 271,492,499	\$ 221,228,200	\$ 185,122,679	\$ 161,206,111	Estimated Actual Taxable Value
76.76%	76.89%	77.27%	77.56%	77.67%	Assessed Value as a % of Actual Value

Table 8

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Property Tax Rates
Direct and Overlapping Governments
Last Ten Fiscal Years
June 30, 2013

Fiscal Year Ending June 30th	County Direct Rates		Range of Overlapping Rates			Total Direct & Overlapping Rates
	Riverside County		Total City Rate	Total School Districts Rate	Total Special Districts Rate	
	General Purpose	Debt Service				
2013	1.00000%	0.14340%	0% to .00572%	.01702% to .17570%	0% to .58076%	1.14340% to 1.58076%
2012	1.00000%	0.12540%	0% to .00571%	.01700% to .14030%	0% to .53864%	1.12540% to 1.53864%
2011	1.00000%	0.12540%	0% to .00575%	.01499% to .13224%	0% to .50000%	1.12540% to 1.50000%
2010	1.00000%	0.12220%	.00064% to .00577%	.01242% to .12628%	0% to .50000%	1.12220% to 1.50000%
2009	1.00000%	0.10950%	.00119% to .00747%	.01254% to .10963%	0% to .50000%	1.10950% to 1.50000%
2008	1.00000%	0.09190%	.00178% to .00627%	.00549% to .08521%	0% to .50000%	1.09190% to 1.50000%
2007	1.00000%	0.07720%	.00249% to .00821%	.00578% to .10282%	0% to .54324%	1.07720% to 1.54324%
2006	1.00000%	0.08050%	.00426% to .00861%	.01435% to .10210%	0% to .50997%	1.08050% to 1.50997%
2005	1.00000%	0.08660%	.00529% to .01092%	.01192% to .09581%	0% to .50000%	1.08660% to 1.50000%
2004	1.00000%	0.07870%	0% to .00608%	0% to .09819%	0% to .72543%	1.07710% to 1.72543%

Note: Total direct tax rate encompasses general levy, special assessments, and fixed charges.

Overlapping governments in the context of the statistical section, all local governments located wholly or in part within the geographic boundaries of the reporting government.

Overlapping rate in the context of the statistical section, an amount or percentage applied to a unit of a specific revenue base by governments that overlap geographically, at least in part, with the government preparing the statistical section information.

Source: Auditor-Controller, County of Riverside

Table 9

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Principal Property Tax Payers
(Dollars in thousands)
Current Year and Nine Years Ago
June 30, 2013


Tax Payer	Fiscal Year			
	2013		2004	
	Taxable Assessed Value	Percentage of Total County Taxable Assessed Value	Taxable Assessed Value	Percentage of Total County Taxable Assessed Value
So. California Edison Co.	\$ 23,532	0.83%	\$ 6,911	0.45%
Verizon California Inc.	9,205	0.33%	6,631	0.43%
So. California Gas Co.	6,789	0.24%	3,461	0.23%
Inland Empire Energy Center, LCC	5,994	0.21%		
Federal National Mortgage Assn	3,416	0.12%		
Tyler Mall LTD Partnership	2,899	0.10%		
Abbott Vascular Inc.	2,898	0.10%		
Blythe Energy, LLC	2,739	0.10%	3,462	0.23%
Bank of New York Mellon	2,726	0.10%		
Chelsea GCA Realty Partnership	2,525	0.09%		
KB Home Coastal Inc.			3,506	0.23%
DS Hotel			2,741	0.18%
KSL Desert Resorts, Inc.			2,554	0.17%
Pulte Home Corp			2,548	0.17%
Norco Ridge Ranch			2,391	0.16%
Tyler Mall LTD, Partnership			2,185	0.14%
Total	\$ 62,723	2.22%	\$ 36,390	2.39%

Source: Treasurer-Tax Collector, County of Riverside

Table 10

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Property Tax Levies and Collections
Last Ten Fiscal Years
(Dollars in Thousands)
June 30, 2013

Fiscal Year Ending June 30th	Total Secured Tax Levy for Fiscal Year	Collected within the Fiscal Year of the Levy		Collections in Subsequent Years	Total Collections as of 6/30	
		Amount	Percentage of Levy		Amount	Percentage of Levy
2013	\$ 2,677,034	\$ 2,675,087	99.93%	\$ -	\$ 2,675,088	99.93%
2012	2,676,613	2,577,725	96.31%	90,100	2,667,825	99.67%
2011	2,698,916	2,547,446	94.39%	104,466	2,651,912	98.26%
2010	2,791,941	2,575,731	92.26%	134,100	2,709,831	97.06%
2009	3,029,936	2,654,211	87.60%	199,368	2,853,579	94.18%
2008	2,964,342	2,702,958	91.18%	225,248	2,928,206	98.78%
2007	2,596,969	2,432,641	93.67%	131,299	2,563,940	98.73%
2006	2,127,175	1,972,483	92.73%	71,896	2,044,379	96.11%
2005	1,777,035	1,673,434	94.17%	53,720	1,727,154	97.19%
2004	1,536,905	1,413,508	91.97%	46,789	1,460,297	95.02%



*Delinquent taxes reported by year of collection; data by levy year unavailable.

Source: Auditor-Controller, County of Riverside




(This Page Intentionally Left Blank)

Table 11

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Ratios of Outstanding Debt by Type
Last Ten Fiscal Years
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Capita Amount)
June 30, 2013

	Fiscal Year Ending June 30th				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
General Government					
Bonds	\$ 744,460	\$ 750,492	\$ 1,551,323	\$ 1,408,017	\$ 1,359,277
Certificates of participation	282,095	309,511	367,272	385,447	391,914
Note and loans	4,420	4,925	5,355	21,987	13,222
Capital leases	67,748	100,995	111,128	123,890	117,611
Business-Type Activities					
Bonds	143,710	121,061	134,983	147,924	159,959
Certificates of participation				-	-
Capital leases	7,224	12,055	15,830	20,842	14,028
Total Primary Government	\$ 1,249,657	\$ 1,299,039	\$ 2,185,891	\$ 2,108,107	\$ 2,056,011
Percentage of Personal Income	1.67%	1.79%	3.08%	3.37%	3.28%
Per Capita	\$ 554	\$ 583	\$ 986	\$ 985	\$ 975



Note: Per Capita is an estimate for fiscal years 2012 and 2013

Source: California State Department of Finance
Auditor-Controller, County of Riverside
Bureau of Economic Analysis

Table 11

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	
						General Government
\$	1,086,397	\$ 806,398	\$ 814,443	\$ 678,028	\$ 91,758	Bonds
	408,024	335,866	348,486	325,572	387,869	Certificates of participation
	310,809	310,139	113,383	150,344	67,010	Note and loans
	105,317	87,337	83,829	75,845	83,618	Capital leases
						Business-Type Activities
	170,814	181,263	191,142	200,555	210,558	Bonds
	-	-	-	1,040	2,040	Certificates of participation
	16,124	17,844	14,993	12,511	4,066	Capital leases
<u>\$</u>	<u>2,097,485</u>	<u>\$ 1,738,847</u>	<u>\$ 1,566,276</u>	<u>\$ 1,443,895</u>	<u>\$ 846,919</u>	Total Primary Government
	3.25%	2.90%	2.81%	2.92%	1.88%	Percentage of Personal Income
\$	1,004	\$ 856	\$ 807	\$ 769	\$ 477	Per Capita

Table 12

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Ratios of General Bonded Debt Outstanding
Last Ten Fiscal Years
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Capita Amount)
June 30, 2013

	Fiscal Year Ending June 30th				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Bonds	\$ 888,170	\$ 871,553	\$ 1,686,306	\$ 1,555,941	\$ 1,519,236
Less:					
Amounts available in debt service fund	79,951	78,236	151,405	127,206	147,568
Total Net Obligation Bonds Outstanding	\$ 808,219	\$ 793,317	\$ 1,534,901	\$ 1,428,735	\$ 1,371,668
Percentage of Estimated					
Actual Taxable Value of Property	0.30%	0.29%	0.56%	0.51%	0.43%
Per Capita	\$ 358	\$ 356	\$ 692	\$ 668	\$ 651

Note: Details regarding the County's outstanding debt can be found in the notes to the basic financial statements

Source: California State Department of Finance

Table 12

2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	
\$ 1,257,211	\$ 987,661	\$ 1,005,585	\$ 878,583	\$ 302,316	Bonds
119,597	73,308	79,935	61,941	72,798	Less:
					Amounts available in debt service fund
<u>\$ 1,137,614</u>	<u>\$ 914,353</u>	<u>\$ 925,650</u>	<u>\$ 816,642</u>	<u>\$ 229,518</u>	Total Net Obligation Bonds Outstanding
					Percentage of Estimated
0.36%	0.34%	0.43%	0.32%	0.46%	Actual Taxable Value of Property
\$ 545	\$ 450	\$ 477	\$ 435	\$ 129	Per Capita

Table 13

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Direct and Overlapping Governmental Activities Debt
as of June 30, 2013
(Dollars in Thousands)

<u>Governmental Unit</u>	<u>Debt Outstanding</u>	<u>Estimated Applicable Percentage</u>	<u>Estimated Share of Overlapping Debt</u>
Debt repaid with property taxes: County	\$ 11,070,494	90.08%	\$ 9,971,771
Subtotal, overlapping debt			9,971,771
County of Riverside direct debt			1,098,723
Total Direct and Overlapping Debt			\$ 11,070,494

Note: Overlapping governments are those that coincide, at least in part, with the geographic boundaries of the County. This schedule estimates the portion of the outstanding debt of those overlapping governments that is borne by the residents and businesses of the County of Riverside. This process recognizes that, when considering the government's ability to issue and repay long-term debt, the entire debt burden borne by the residents and businesses should be taken into account. However, this does not imply that every taxpayer is a resident, and therefore responsible for repaying the debt, of each overlapping government.

Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.



(This Page Intentionally Left Blank)

Table 14

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Legal Debt Margin Information
Last Ten Fiscal Years
(Dollars in Thousands)
June 30, 2013

	Fiscal Year Ending June 30th				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Debt limit	\$ 2,537,237	\$ 2,544,409	\$ 2,570,012	\$ 2,686,843	\$ 3,011,083
Total net debt applicable to limit	(808,219)	(793,317)	(1,534,901)	(1,428,735)	(1,211,709)
Legal debt margin	<u>\$ 1,729,018</u>	<u>\$ 1,751,092</u>	<u>\$ 1,035,111</u>	<u>\$ 1,258,108</u>	<u>\$ 1,799,374</u>
Total net debt applicable to the limit as a percentage of debt limit	31.8%	31.2%	59.7%	53.2%	40.2%

Legal Debt Margin Calculated for Fiscal Year 2013

Assessed value	\$ 205,136,768
Less: Homeowners exemptions	<u>2,157,821</u>
Total assessed value	<u>202,978,947</u>
Debt limit (1.25% of total assessed value)	<u>2,537,237</u>
Debt applicable to limit:	
General obligation bonds (Governmental & Business-type)	888,170
Less: Amount set aside for repayment of general obligation debt	<u>79,951</u>
Total net debt applicable to limit	<u>808,219</u>
Legal debt margin	<u><u>\$ 1,729,018</u></u>

Definitions: Debt limit - the maximum amount of outstanding gross or net debt legally permitted.
Debt margin - the difference between debt limit and existing debt.
Legal debt margin - the excess of the amount of debt legally authorized over the amount of debt outstanding.

Source: Auditor-Controller, County of Riverside

Table 14

2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	
\$ 2,966,464	\$ 2,598,369	\$ 2,125,832	\$ 1,735,525	\$ 1,511,307	Debt limit
(966,800)	(733,090)	(603,194)	(616,087)	(635,290)	Total net debt applicable to limit
<u>\$ 1,999,664</u>	<u>\$ 1,865,279</u>	<u>\$ 1,522,638</u>	<u>\$ 1,119,438</u>	<u>\$ 876,017</u>	Legal debt margin
32.6%	28.2%	28.4%	35.5%	42.0%	Total net debt applicable to the limit as a percentage of debt limit

Table 15

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Pledged-Revenue Coverage
Last Ten Fiscal Years
(Dollars in Thousands)
June 30, 2013**

Fiscal Year Ending June 30th	Lease Revenue Bonds						Coverage
	Revenue from Lease Payments	Less: Operating Expenses	Net Available Revenue	Debt Service			
				Principal	Interest		
2013	\$ 25,182	\$ 1,517	\$ 23,665	\$ 14,159	\$ 12,707	88.09%	
2012	22,779	2,805	19,974	16,325	15,583	62.60%	
2011	16,067	2,072	13,995	15,355	16,039	44.58%	
2010	30,318	3,336	26,982	14,455	16,642	86.77%	
2009	39,334	10,682	28,652	13,160	16,865	95.43%	
2008	60,656	43,790	16,866	12,545	17,116	56.86%	
2007	31,046	5,939	25,107	12,115	16,976	86.31%	
2006	25,371	785	24,586	11,600	17,355	84.91%	
2005	21,601	676	20,925	11,175	17,551	72.84%	
2004	20,715	5,586	15,129	9,490	9,418	80.01%	

Note: Details regarding the County's outstanding debt can be found in the notes to the basic financial statements.

Source: Auditor-Controller, County of Riverside


Table 15

Inland Empire Tobacco Securitization Bonds							
Revenue from Tobacco Settlement		Less: Operating Expenses	Net Available Revenue	Debt Service		Coverage	Fiscal Year
				Principal	Interest		
\$	15,687	\$ 123	\$ 15,564	\$ 8,650	\$ 7,193	98.24%	2013
	9,462	107	9,355	1,655	5,301	134.49%	2012
	9,290	123	9,167	6,135	3,615	94.02%	2011
	6,496	155	6,341	3,610	3,794	85.64%	2010
	9,500	134	9,366	4,235	3,995	113.80%	2009
	7,798	2,448	5,350	3,785	3,306	75.45%	2008
	-	-	-	-	-	0.00%	2007
	-	-	-	-	-	0.00%	2006
	-	-	-	-	-	0.00%	2005
	-	-	-	-	-	0.00%	2004

Table 16

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Demographic and Economic Statistics
Last Ten Fiscal Years
June 30, 2013

Fiscal Year Ending June 30th	Population	Personal Income (thousands of dollars)	Per Capita Personal Income	School Enrollment	Unemployment Rate
2013	2,255,059	\$ 74,288,000 ¹	\$ 33,163 ¹	425,968	10.20%
2012	2,227,577	71,755,000 ¹	32,600 ¹	425,707	12.60%
2011	2,217,778	69,438,900	29,927	424,086	14.40%
2010	2,139,535	64,376,498	29,222	423,986	14.50%
2009	2,107,653	63,228,086	29,748	419,643	14.00%
2008	2,088,322	64,504,000	30,894	420,450	8.40%
2007	2,031,625	61,024,000	29,560	404,331	5.70%
2006	1,939,814	53,246,505	28,730	394,687	5.10%
2005	1,877,000	49,443,185	26,342	380,267	5.20%
2004	1,776,700	45,016,790	25,337	364,857	5.80%



Notes 1: Projection based on 10 years' running average (2001 - 2010)

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis
 Riverside County Superintendent of Schools
 State of California, Employment Development Department
 California State Department of Finance

Table 17

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Principal Employers
Current Year and Nine Years Ago
June 30, 2013

Employer	Fiscal Year			
	2013		2004	
	Employees	Percentage of Total County Employment	Employees	Percentage of Total County Employment
County of Riverside	18,728	2.23%	16,337	2.13%
March Air Reserve Base	9,000	1.07%	-	-
Stater Brothers Market	6,900	0.82%	5,600	0.73%
Walmart	5,681	0.68%	-	-
University of California Riverside	5,497	0.65%	-	-
Riverside Unified School District	5,000	0.60%	-	-
Corona-Norco Unified School District	4,633	0.55%	2,893	0.38%
Kaiser Permanente Riverside Medical Center	4,500	0.54%	-	-
Moreno Valley Unified School District	3,355	0.40%	-	-
Hemet Unified School District	3,270	0.39%	-	-
Fleetwood Enterprises, Inc.		-	2,125	0.28%
Eisenhower Medical Center		-	1,972	0.26%
Valley Health System		-	1,756	0.23%
Riverside Community Hospital		-	1,641	0.21%
KSL Desert Resorts Inc.		-	1,600	0.21%
Desert Regional Medical Center		-	1,500	0.20%
Vons		-	1,500	0.20%
Total	66,564	8.65%	36,924	4.92%

Source: Economic Development Agency

Table 18

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Full-time Equivalent County Government Employees by Function/Program
Last Ten Fiscal Years
June 30, 2013

Function/Program	Full-time Equivalent Employees				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
General government					
Legislative and administrative	95	81	87	98	92
Finance	448	405	411	438	456
Counsel	67	65	64	70	69
Personnel	175	159	172	167	182
Elections	34	34	39	42	41
Communication	-	11	11	12	11
Property management	454	507	531	500	494
Promotion	90	117	139	180	186
Other general	39	31	32	36	36
Public protection					
Judicial	1,433	1,294	1,345	1,444	1,485
Police protection	2,847	2,304	2,408	2,449	2,586
Detention and correction	2,741	2,085	2,067	2,076	2,220
Fire protection	231	200	198	188	190
Protection/inspection	93	86	87	100	98
Other protection	712	600	615	669	737
Administration	106	75	62	65	58
Public ways and facilities					
Public ways	455	411	413	465	506
Parking Facilities	20	18	18	20	-
Health and sanitation					
Health	2,400	2,118	2,063	2,024	2,075
Hospital care	40	34	31	31	30
Public health ambulatory care	304				
California children's services	144	140	138	143	148
Public assistance					
Aid programs	3,843	3,334	3,089	3,132	3,159
Veterans' services	12	12	12	12	12
Other assistance	364	289	355	348	285
Education, recreation and culture					
Library services	12	10	1	-	1
Agricultural extension	5	5	5	5	5
Cultural services	-	3	3	3	3
County business-type functions					
Hospital care	2,955	2,351	2,295	2,246	2,186
Sanitation	194	160	174	198	211
Internal service	3,788	2,775	2,315	2,418	1,723
Special districts/Component units	840	660	591	547	533
Total	24,941	20,374	19,771	20,126	19,818

Note: Temporary employees, 2,089, filled as of 4/29/13, are included in the total number employees.

Source: County of Riverside, FY2013-14 Recommended Budget

Table 18

2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	Function/Program
					General government
96	92	93	87	92	Legislative and administrative
522	477	445	424	445	Finance
69	69	58	52	50	Counsel
216	191	179	160	153	Personnel
40	39	31	34	36	Elections
10	-	-	-	11	Communication
468	387	323	305	312	Property management
177	168	142	126	121	Promotion
39	-	-	1	1	Other general
					Public protection
1,506	1,371	1,204	1,150	1,213	Judicial
2,474	2,354	2,113	1,926	1,914	Police protection
2,174	1,972	1,811	1,748	1,803	Detention and correction
199	165	145	126	135	Fire protection
114	274	254	233	216	Protection/inspection
778	541	523	441	446	Other protection
60	50	39	36	37	Administration
					Public ways and facilities
532	517	497	488	491	Public ways
-	-	-	-	-	Parking Facilities
					Health and sanitation
2,214	2,023	1,939	1,862	1,901	Health
30	31	28	30	32	Hospital care
					Public health ambulatory care
168	159	152	143	127	California children's services
					Public assistance
3,297	2,948	2,841	2,796	2,744	Aid programs
13	12	11	10	10	Veterans' services
305	302	283	309	338	Other assistance
					Education, recreation and culture
1	1	1	1	1	Library services
6	5	5	4	4	Agricultural extension
2	2	2	2	2	Cultural services
					County business-type functions
2,097	1,889	1,680	1,589	1,526	Hospital care
206	170	158	149	130	Sanitation
2,202	2,934	2,538	2,147	2,305	
534	526	540	528	528	
					Internal service
					Special districts/Component units
					Total
20,549	19,669	18,035	16,907	17,124	

Table 19

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Operating Indicators by Function
Last Ten Fiscal Years
June 30, 2013

Function/Program	Fiscal Year Ending June 30th				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Agricultural Commissioner					
Export phytosanitary certificates	18,346	19,875	20,406	25,745	36,772
Pesticide use inspections	783	793	764	682	831
Weights and measures regulated	138,547	137,727	134,290	131,175	129,528
Agriculture quality inspections	456	553	693	643	668
Plant pest inspections	10,361	11,931	9,584	9,667	48,944
Nursery acreage inspected	6,156	6,920	6,338	6,923	7,627
Weights and measures inspected	63,653	51,074	56,751	77,278	80,862
Assessor-Clerk-Recorder					
Assessments	906,467	904,706	904,040	941,928	942,174
Official records recorded	648,812	592,531	612,804	673,674	682,708
Vital records copies issued	78,405	78,768	80,391	87,194	97,422
Official records copies issued	32,792	26,153	28,990	26,348	33,135
Auditor-Controller					
Invoices paid	426,660	389,798	412,374	488,192	522,097
Vendor warrants (checks) issued	259,458	255,463	265,979	300,428	320,613
Active vendors	80,011	78,887	65,090	64,761	59,685
Payroll warrants (checks) issued	509,376	509,468	506,870	532,904	532,202
Average payroll warrants (checks) per pay period	19,591	19,595	19,495	19,737	20,469
Audits per fiscal year	25	26	26	30	30
Tax Bills Levied	984,268	972,577	999,241	977,115	974,041
Tax Refunds/Roll Changes Processed	63,500	79,606	123,476	115,904	152,672
Community Action Partnership					
Utility assistance (households)	13,911	21,912	22,207	27,956	12,869
Weatherization (households)	179	842	1,375	2,083	1,033
Energy education attendees	6,368	14,950	13,807	11,725	10,775
Disaster relief (residents)	11,316	13,968	12,058	17,989	15,336
Income tax returns prepared	3,111	2,711	3,006	2,257	2,011
After school programs (students)	19,200	20,700	18,400	13,800	11,000
Homeless program (bed nights)	-	-	-	-	-
Homeless program (meals)	-	-	-	-	-
Leadership program enrollment	-	166	593	182	-
Mediation (cases)	1,905	2,181	2,178	2,237	1,821
Public Health					
Facilities inspections	32,045	36,201	31,801	31,213	34,273
Environmental Health					
Patient visits	135,795	109,870	106,532	142,617	125,767
Patient services	353,269	392,621	390,607	313,409	466,800
Animal Control Services					
Animal impounds	35,201	36,518	49,408	62,770	71,834
Spays and neuters	11,908	9,771	8,305	7,225	8,480

Note:

- a - Number of pamphlets mailed
- b - Program not yet started / not tracked
- c - Homeless program reporting responsibilities were transferred from Community Action Partnership (CAP) to Department of Social Services (DPSS) at the end of FY2008
- d - Phytosanitary = Plant pest cleanliness
- e - Pesticide Use Inspections = Environmental monitoring

Source: Various County Departments

Table 19

2008	2007	2006	2005	2004		Function/Program
Agricultural Commissioner						
29,288	22,266	21,746	20,037	14,692	d	Export phytosanitary certificates
903	840	1,199	1,105	1,366	e	Pesticide use inspections
129,726	121,986	120,211	114,529	102,780		Weights and measures regulated
643	1,061	541	1,067	1,251		Agriculture quality inspections
25,987	14,532	4,975	5,933	6,296		Plant pest inspections
7,851	9,226	7,382	7,431	5,355		Nursery acreage inspected
83,269	97,039	150,308	101,223	31,794		Weights and measures inspected
Assessor-Clerk-Recorder						
938,462	920,555	896,998	859,413	831,610		Assessments
773,308	957,123	1,082,688	1,039,166	1,019,271		Official records recorded
97,427	88,640	82,015	73,379	68,892		Vital records copies issued
34,711	35,319	35,691	36,480	36,231		Official records copies issued
Auditor-Controller						
504,866	449,367	457,439	472,942	492,675		Invoices paid
255,767	237,645	235,044	242,763	220,649		Vendor warrants (checks) issued
75,575	68,358	62,699	56,686	49,970		Active vendors
522,215	496,386	469,692	449,011	448,845		Payroll warrants (checks) issued
20,085	19,092	18,065	17,270	17,263		Average payroll warrants (checks) per pay period
31	34	37	20	13		Audits per fiscal year
1,004,076	1,069,352	1,039,358	988,487	896,814		Tax Bills Levied
89,527	98,769	124,973	93,718	155,115		Tax Refunds/Roll Changes Processed
Community Action Partnership						
9,902	13,337	10,944	11,783	12,846		Utility assistance (households)
853	465	801	795	711		Weatherization (households)
19,396	14,590	10,389	11,508	1,953	a	Energy education attendees
16,366	13,551	8,605	1,514	-	b	Disaster relief (residents)
1,828	1,384	2,651	-	-	b	Income tax returns prepared
10,905	10,905	537	51	271		After school programs (students)
12,822	13,198	31,328	40,245	30,316	c	Homeless program (bed nights)
25,644	26,396	142,578	372,048	170,937	c	Homeless program (meals)
209	-	113	11	-	b	Leadership program enrollment
2,144	2,133	2,099	2,002	2,042		Mediation (cases)
Public Health						
33,009	31,760	32,000	40,642	38,105		Facilities inspections
Environmental Health						
149,223	139,885	123,843	135,539	125,936		Patient visits
601,889	438,639	369,041	339,095	376,534		Patient services
Animal Control Services						
30,305	27,362	29,206	20,467	21,307		Animal impounds
7,208	5,645	5,806	2,401	3,080		Spays and neuters

Continued

Table 19

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Operating Indicators by Function
Last Ten Fiscal Years
June 30, 2013

<u>Function/Program</u>	Fiscal Year Ending June 30th				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
County Library					
Total circulation - books	3,059,094	3,387,218	3,724,657	3,718,343	3,464,547
Reference questions answered	434,057	441,269	404,913	370,619	382,795
Patron door count	4,148,012	4,080,738	731,699	3,599,064	3,170,424
Programs offered	6,521	8,382	7,624	7,214	5,618
Program attendance	143,053	163,692	163,416	148,612	127,717
County Regional Medical Center					
Emergency room treatments	119,606	101,952	99,706	96,993	88,459
Emergency room services - MH	14,275	16,750	15,376	14,288	9,702
Clinic visits	125,471	127,546	129,041	131,624	129,171
Admissions	24,260	23,949	23,638	23,536	23,253
Patient days	124,599	121,949	123,250	121,915	118,452
Discharges	24,279	23,694	23,668	23,559	23,238
Fire					
Medical assistance	97,054	96,843	97,066	94,193	91,707
Fires extinguished	13,517	12,990	4,271	4,449	4,406
Other services	20,049	11,856	16,522	17,076	18,486
Communities served	94	78	78	78	78
Mental Health					
Mental health clients (crisis/long-term care)	37,591	35,696	33,260	30,657	30,065
Substance abuse clients	15,755	17,849	16,987	16,736	18,712
Detention clients	11,899	10,544	8,874	10,831	12,781
Probate conservatorship clients	355	351	424	474	256
Mental health conservatorship clients	858	879	832	675	240
Probation					
Adults on probation	17,406	14,992	16,271	17,790	17,469
Juveniles in secure detention	194	193	225	248	241
Juveniles in treatment facilities	86	107	128	125	112
Juveniles in detention facilities	8,505	9,148	10,741	11,385	10,783
Public Social Services					
CalWORKs clients	33,341	33,682	33,412	31,022	26,905
Food stamp clients	116,333	107,076	91,606	74,484	52,877
Medi-Cal clients	135,570	130,562	124,061	116,758	107,904
In-home support services	20,641	19,070	18,201	16,852	16,307
Foster care placements	3,237	3,113	3,130	3,085	3,486
Child welfare services	9,178	9,664	9,916	9,591	10,217
Homeless program (bed nights)	8,296	8,331	10,746	12,900	10,854
Homeless program (meals)	16,592	16,660	21,494	25,800	21,707

Note: a - Average monthly
b - Average daily
c - Homeless program reporting responsibilities were transferred from Community Action Partnership (CAP) to Department of Social Services (DPSS) at the end of FY2008

Source: Various County Departments

Table 19

2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	Function/Program
County Library					
3,280,929	2,352,624	2,051,276	2,324,539	2,222,575	Total circulation - books
426,533	383,428	454,590	430,226	423,925	Reference questions answered
2,744,576	2,352,403	2,433,646	2,226,360	1,447,505	Patron door count
5,570	4,546	2,353	2,274	3,759	Programs offered
103,393	80,100	84,994	45,605	68,437	Program attendance
County Regional Medical Center					
82,584	76,666	73,448	68,105	66,411	Emergency room treatments
7,867	7,624	7,536	8,076	8,276	Emergency room services - MH
124,318	123,479	106,943	109,568	113,171	Clinic visits
23,433	24,393	22,262	21,723	20,587	Admissions
115,811	112,138	105,203	96,820	92,643	Patient days
23,440	24,430	22,244	21,741	20,554	Discharges
Fire					
89,404	89,329	86,129	80,484	76,601	Medical assistance
5,659	6,372	5,060	14,696	14,816	Fires extinguished
19,472	16,310	19,035	10,870	10,786	Other services
78	78	78	78	78	Communities served
Mental Health					
29,814	28,476	26,435	26,578	28,411	Mental health clients (crisis/long-term care)
17,746	18,597	18,120	18,188	18,432	Substance abuse clients
9,441	5,522	6,351	6,041	6,402	Detention clients
206	232	266	281	282	Probate conservatorship clients
279	279	294	275	239	Mental health conservatorship clients
Probation					
17,022	15,974	16,051	13,937	13,282	Adults on probation
293	343	322	310	367	Juveniles in secure detention
113	126	113	98	107	Juveniles in treatment facilities
12,463	14,283	13,218	12,405	14,435	Juveniles in detention facilities
Public Social Services					
22,310	20,336	19,880	20,846	20,296	CalWORKs clients
36,339	30,781	28,749	27,992	24,796	Food stamp clients
101,542	105,578	108,887	110,994	105,598	Medi-Cal clients
14,845	13,934	12,590	12,171	11,314	In-home support services
5,057	4,306	5,175	5,088	4,418	Foster care placements
11,912	12,333	11,639	11,153	9,411	Child welfare services
-	-	-	-	-	Homeless program (bed nights)
-	-	-	-	-	Homeless program (meals)

Continued

Table 19

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Operating Indicators by Function
Last Ten Fiscal Years
June 30, 2013

<u>Function/Program</u>		<u>Fiscal Year Ending June 30th</u>				
		<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Registrar of Voters						
	Voting precincts	1,218	853	1,649	2,370	2,387
	Polling places	642	522	746	1,158	1,205
	Voters	a 943,402	852,217	1,009,933	1,815,892	1,747,556
	Poll workers	2,960	2,300	3,281	4,186	6,287
Sheriff						
	Number of bookings	57,330	53,691	53,974	55,306	62,007
	Coroner case load	11,639	10,947	10,555	10,027	9,582
	Calls for services	b 172,664	176,062	232,821	255,601	302,400
TLMA - Building & Safety						
	Building permits issued	666	566	605	1,248	986
	Building plans checked	665	558	595	1,241	918
	Building structures inspected	514	447	890	1,321	1,780
Veterans' Services						
	Phone inquiries answered	36,107	36,707	43,617	41,569	39,393
	Client interviews	14,714	14,990	15,630	25,209	13,955
	Claims filed	5,735	6,030	5,485	5,581	5,812
Waste Management						
	Landfill tonnage	1,102,626	1,071,309	1,071,394	1,032,942	1,024,267
	Recycling tonnage	2,679	2,206	2,499	1,803	2,356

Notes: a - Number of voters that were mailed voting materials for all elections in the fiscal year
b - Unincorporated areas
c - Program not yet started / not tracked

Source: Various County Departments

Table 19

2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	
					<u>Function/Program</u>
					Registrar of Voters
3,474	1,472	1,872	1,160	2,389	Voting precincts
2,017	610	1,060	613	1,299	Polling places
1,705,406	931,821	1,658,509	870,300	1,919,561	Voters
8,355	2,622	3,992	2,692	4,911	Poll workers
					Sheriff
59,054	61,697	56,926	55,375	52,497	Number of bookings
9,394	9,212	8,943	8,558	7,826	Coroner case load
280,000	279,415	250,000	240,182	219,145	Calls for services
					TLMA - Building & Safety
1,800	5,786	10,232	9,980	10,452	Building permits issued
1,507	5,151	8,759	8,251	9,128	Building plans checked
3,158	8,580	9,593	8,182	8,887	Building structures inspected
					Veterans' Services
29,553	23,287	21,917	25,276	- c	Phone inquiries answered
10,571	8,199	7,467	7,559	- c	Client interviews
5,194	3,786	3,372	3,503	- c	Claims filed
					Waste Management
1,220,124	1,325,284	1,423,469	1,328,935	1,231,767	Landfill tonnage
3,385	3,048	3,758	2,619	2,850	Recycling tonnage

Table 20

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
Capital Asset Statistics by Function
Last Ten Fiscal Years
June 30, 2013

<u>Function/Program</u>	Fiscal Year Ending June 30th				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
County Libraries					
Branch libraries	35	33	33	33	33
Book mobiles	2	2	2	2	2
Books in collection	1,657,925	1,570,834	1,668,434	1,612,925	1,564,186
County Regional Medical Center					
Major clinics	4	4	4	4	4
Routine and specialty clinics	37	32	30	30	30
Beds licensed	439	439	439	439	439
Fire					
Stations	38	42	46	49	49
Trucks	142	145	156	154	149
Parks and Recreation					
Regional parks	11	11	12	12	13
Historic sites	5	5	4	4	6
Nature centers	4	4	4	4	5
Archaeological sites	6	6	6	6	7
Wildlife reserves	9	9	9	9	16
RV and Mobile Home Parks	3	3	3	3	-
Managed Areas	5	5	5	5	-
Recreational Facilities	2	2	2	-	-
Sheriff					
Patrol stations	10	10	10	10	10
Patrol vehicles	916	915	896	883	923
Waste Management					
Landfills	6	6	6	6	6
Capacity in tons	54,230,474	54,189,339	54,177,558	51,794,663	51,794,663

Source: Various County Departments

Table 20

2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	Function/Program
					County Libraries
33	29	29	29	28	Branch libraries
2	2	2	2	2	Book mobiles
1,552,108	1,784,149	1,221,744	1,477,670	1,098,082	Books in collection
					County Regional Medical Center
4	4	4	4	4	Major clinics
30	30	30	30	30	Routine and specialty clinics
439	439	439	439	439	Beds licensed
					Fire
49	49	48	48	48	Stations
143	141	135	125	126	Trucks
					Parks and Recreation
13	13	13	13	13	Regional parks
6	6	6	6	6	Historic sites
5	5	5	5	5	Nature centers
7	7	7	7	7	Archaeological sites
16	16	16	16	16	Wildlife reserves
-	-	-	-	-	RV and Mobile Home Parks
-	-	-	-	-	Managed Areas
-	-	-	-	-	Recreational Facilities
					Sheriff
10	10	10	10	10	Patrol stations
974	702	598	583	576	Patrol vehicles
					Waste Management
6	6	7	7	8	Landfills
51,609,663	51,609,663	52,392,284	50,948,302	50,872,281	Capacity in tons

APPENDIX C

FORM OF BOND COUNSEL OPINION

[Closing Date]

County of Riverside
Riverside, California

County of Riverside
Teeter Plan Obligation Notes, 2014 Series D (Tax-Exempt)
and
County of Riverside
Teeter Plan Obligation Notes, 2014 Series E (Taxable)
(Final Opinion)

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We have acted as bond counsel to the County of Riverside, California (the “Issuer”) in connection with the authorization of issuance of \$_____ aggregate principal amount of County of Riverside Teeter Plan Obligation Notes, 2014 Series D (Tax-Exempt) (the “2014 Series D Notes”) and \$_____ aggregate principal amount of County of Riverside Teeter Plan Obligation Notes, 2014 Series E (Taxable) (the “2014 Series E Notes” and together with the 2014 Series D Notes, the “Notes”) pursuant to and by authority of Resolution No. 97-203 of the Board of Supervisors of the Issuer adopted on July 29, 1997, as heretofore amended and supplemented and as further supplemented by Resolution No. 2014-182 of the Board of Supervisors of the Issuer adopted on September 9, 2014 (collectively, the “Resolution”). Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed thereto in the Resolution.

In such connection, we have reviewed the Resolution, the Tax Certificate of the Issuer, dated the date hereof (the “Tax Certificate”), an opinion of counsel to the Issuer, certificates of the Issuer, the Fiscal Agent and others and such other documents, opinions and matters to the extent we deemed necessary to render the opinions set forth herein.

The opinions expressed herein are based on an analysis of existing laws, regulations, rulings and court decisions, and cover certain matters not directly addressed by such authorities. Such opinions may be affected by actions taken or omitted or events occurring after the date hereof. We have not undertaken to determine, or to inform any person, whether any such actions are taken or omitted or events do occur or any other matters come to our attention after the date hereof. Accordingly, this letter speaks only as of its date and is not intended to, and may not, be relied upon or otherwise used in connection with any such actions, events or matters. Our engagement with respect to the Notes has concluded with their issuance, and we disclaim any obligation to update this letter. We have assumed the genuineness of all documents and signatures presented to us (whether as originals or as copies) and the due and legal execution and delivery thereof by, and validity against, any parties other than the Issuer. We have assumed, without undertaking to verify, the accuracy of the factual matters represented, warranted or certified in the documents, and of the legal conclusions contained

in the opinions, referred to in the second paragraph hereof. Furthermore, we have assumed compliance with all covenants and agreements contained in the Resolution and the Tax Certificate, including (without limitation) covenants and agreements compliance with which is necessary to assure that future actions, omissions or events will not cause interest on the 2014 Series D Notes to be included in gross income for federal income tax purposes. We call attention to the fact that the rights and obligations under the Notes, the Resolution and the Tax Certificate and their enforceability may be subject to bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership, reorganization, arrangement, fraudulent conveyance, moratorium and other laws relating to or affecting creditors' rights, to the application of equitable principles, to the exercise of judicial discretion in appropriate cases and to the limitations on legal remedies against counties in the State of California. We express no opinion with respect to any indemnification, contribution, liquidated damages, penalty (including any remedy deemed to constitute a penalty), right of set-off, arbitration, judicial reference, choice of law, choice of forum, choice of venue, non-exclusivity of remedies, waiver or severability provisions contained in the foregoing documents, nor do we express any opinion with respect to the state or quality of title to or interest in any of the assets described in or as subject to the lien of the Resolution or the accuracy or sufficiency of the description contained therein of, or the remedies available to enforce liens on, any such assets. Our services did not include financial or other non-legal advice. Finally, we undertake no responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or fairness of the Official Statement or other offering material relating to the Notes and express no opinion with respect thereto.

Based on and subject to the foregoing and the default judgment entered on September 12, 1997 by the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Riverside in the action entitled County of Riverside v. All Persons, No. 299847, filed July 31, 1997, and in reliance thereon, as of the date hereof, we are of the following opinions:

1. The Resolution has been duly adopted by the Issuer and constitutes a valid and binding obligation of the Issuer.
2. The Notes constitute the valid and binding obligations of the Issuer.
3. Interest on the 2014 Series D Notes is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and interest on the Notes is exempt from State of California personal income taxes. The amount treated as interest on the 2014 Series D Notes and excluded from gross income will depend upon the taxpayer's election under Internal Revenue Service Notice 94-84. Interest on the 2014 Series D Notes is not a specific preference item for purposes of the federal individual or corporate alternative minimum taxes, although we observe that it is included in adjusted current earnings when calculating corporate alternative minimum taxable income. We observe that interest on the 2014 Series E Notes is not excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes. We express no opinion regarding other tax consequences related to the ownership or disposition of, or the amount, accrual or receipt of interest on, the Notes.

Faithfully yours,

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

per

APPENDIX D

FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE

This Continuing Disclosure Certificate (the “Disclosure Certificate”) is executed and delivered by the County of Riverside, California (the “County”) in connection with the issuance by the County of its \$_____ 2014 Series D Teeter Obligation Notes (Tax-Exempt) (the “2014 Series D Notes”) and its \$_____ 2014 Series E Teeter Obligation Notes (Taxable) (the “2014 Series E Notes,” and, together with the 2014 Series D Notes, the “Notes”). The Notes are being issued pursuant to a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County on July 29, 1997 and ratified, confirmed and modified on November 4, 1997, as amended and supplemented on August 18, 1998, on September 7, 1999, on September 26, 2000, on September 11, 2001, on October 8, 2002, on October 21, 2003, on October 26, 2004, on December 6, 2005, on October 17, 2006, on October 30, 2007, on November 18, 2008, on November 24, 2009, on September 14, 2010, on September 27, 2011, on September 11, 2012, on September 10, 2013 and on September 9, 2014 (collectively, the “Resolution”). The County covenants and agrees as follows:

SECTION 1. Purpose of the Disclosure Certificate. This Disclosure Certificate is being executed and delivered by the County for the benefit of the Owners and Beneficial Owners of the Notes and to assist the Participating Underwriters in complying with the Rule.

SECTION 2. Definitions. In addition to the definitions set forth in the Resolution, which apply to any capitalized term used in this Disclosure Certificate unless otherwise defined in this Section, the following capitalized terms shall have the following meanings:

“Beneficial Owner” means any person which (a) has the power, directly or indirectly, to vote or consent with respect to, or to dispose of ownership of, any Note (including persons holding a Note through nominees, depositories or other intermediaries), or (b) is treated as the owner of any Note for federal income tax purposes.

“Dissemination Agent” shall mean the County, or any successor Dissemination Agent designated in writing by the County and which has filed with the County a written acceptance of such designation.

“Listed Events” shall mean any of the events listed in Section 4(a) of this Disclosure Certificate.

“MSRB” means the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board established pursuant to Section 15B(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

“Participating Underwriters” shall mean each of the original underwriters of the Notes required to comply with the Rule in connection with offering of the Notes.

“Quarterly Report” means any Quarterly Report of the County provided by the County pursuant to and as described in Section 3 of this Certificate.

“Repository” shall mean the MSRB or any other entity designated or authorized by the Securities and Exchange Commission to receive reports pursuant to the Rule. Unless otherwise designated by the MSRB or the Securities and Exchange Commission, filings with the MSRB are to

be made through the Electronic Municipal Market Access (“EMMA”) website of the MSRB, currently located at <http://emma.msrb.org>.

“Rule” shall mean Rule 15c2-12(b)(5) adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as the same may be amended from time to time.

SECTION 3. Provision of Reports.

(a) The County shall, or shall cause the Dissemination Agent to, not later than 40 0days after the end of the fiscal quarters ending September 30, 2014, December 31, 2014 and March 31, 2015, provide to the Repository, in such format accompanied by such identifying information as shall have been prescribed by the MSRB and which shall be in effect on the date of filing of such information, copies of the Quarterly Report of the County, which is consistent with the requirements of subsection (b) below. Each Quarterly Report may include by reference other information as required by this Certificate. The County shall provide a written certification with each Quarterly Report filed with the Dissemination Agent to the effect that such Quarterly Report constitutes the Quarterly Report required to be submitted by the County hereunder. The Dissemination Agent may conclusively rely upon such certification of the County.

(b) The County’s Quarterly Report shall contain or include by reference information regarding the County’s cash flow in the fiscal quarter most recently ended, including comparative information to the projected cash flow included in the Official Statement.

(c) The Dissemination Agent (if one has been appointed) shall:

(i) determine prior to the date for providing the Quarterly Report the name and address of the Repository; and

(ii) if the Quarterly Report has been furnished to the Dissemination Agent, file a report with the County certifying that the Quarterly Report has been provided pursuant to this Certificate, stating the date it was provided.

SECTION 4. Reporting of Significant Events.

(a) Pursuant to the provisions of this Section 4, the County shall give, or cause to be given, notice of the occurrence of any of the following events with respect to the Notes not less than 10 business days after the event:

- (i) principal and interest payment delinquencies;
- (ii) non-payment related defaults, if material;
- (iii) unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties;
- (iv) unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties;
- (v) substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform;

(vi) adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB) or other material notices or determinations with respect to the tax status of the Notes, or other material events affecting the tax status of the Notes;

(vii) modifications to the rights of Owners of the Notes, if material;

(viii) bond calls, if material, and tender offers;

(ix) defeasances;

(x) release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of the Note, if material;

(xi) rating changes;

(xii) bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event of the County;

(xiii) the consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving the County or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the County, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if material; and

(xiv) appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of name of a trustee, if material.

(b) Whenever the County obtains knowledge of the occurrence of a Listed Event, listed under Subsection (a)(ii), (vi) (except for adverse tax opinions or the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, for which no materiality determination is required), (vii), (viii) (except for tender offers, for which no materiality determination is required), (x), (xiii) or (xiv) the County shall as soon as possible determine if such event would be material under applicable federal securities laws.

(c) If the County determines that knowledge of the occurrence of a Listed Event listed under Subsection (a)(ii), (vi) (except for adverse tax opinions or the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, for which no materiality determination is required), (vii), (viii) (except for tender offers, for which no materiality determination is required), (x), (xiii) or (xiv) would be material under applicable federal securities laws, the County shall promptly file, or cause to be filed, a notice of such event with the MSRB and the Repository not more than 10 business days following the event. Notwithstanding the foregoing, notice of Listed Events described in Subsections (a)(viii) and (ix) above need not be given under this subsection any earlier than when the notice, if any, of the underlying event is given to Owners of affected Note pursuant to the Resolution.

SECTION 5. Termination of Reporting Obligation. The County's obligations under this Disclosure Certificate shall terminate upon the defeasance, prior redemption or payment in full of all of the Notes. If such termination occurs prior to the maturity of the Notes, the County shall give notice of such termination in the same manner as for a Listed Event under Section 4(c).

SECTION 6. Dissemination Agent. The County may, from time to time, appoint or engage a Dissemination Agent to assist it in carrying out its obligations under this Disclosure Certificate, and may discharge any such Agent, with or without appointing a successor Dissemination Agent. The Dissemination Agent shall not be responsible in any manner for the content of any notice or report prepared by the County pursuant to this Disclosure Certificate.

SECTION 7. Amendment: Waiver. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Disclosure Certificate, the County may amend this Disclosure Certificate, and any provision of this Disclosure Certificate may be waived, provided that the following conditions are satisfied:

(a) If the amendment or waiver relates to the provisions of Section 3 or subsection 4(a) it may only be made in connection with a change in circumstances that arises from a change in legal (including regulatory) requirements, change in law (including rules and regulations) or interpretations thereof, or change in the identity, nature or status of an obligated person with respect to the Notes, or the type of business conducted;

(b) The undertaking, as amended or taking into account such waiver, would, in the opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel, have complied with the requirements of the Rule at the time of the original issuance of the Notes, after taking into account any amendments or interpretations of the Rule, as well as any change in circumstances; and

(c) The amendment or waiver either (i) is approved by a majority of the Owners of the Notes, or (ii) does not, in the opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel, materially impair the interests of the Owners or Beneficial Owners of the Notes.

In the event of any amendment or waiver of a provision of this Disclosure Certificate, the County shall describe such amendment in its next Quarterly Report, and shall include, as applicable, a narrative explanation of the reason for the amendment or waiver and its impact on the type (or, in the case of a change of accounting principles, on the presentation) of financial information or operating data being presented by the County.

SECTION 8. Additional Information. Nothing in this Disclosure Certificate shall be deemed to prevent the County from disseminating any other information, including the information then contained in the County's official statements or other disclosure documents relating to debt issuances, using the means of dissemination set forth in this Disclosure Certificate or any other means of communication, or including any other information in any Quarterly Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event, in addition to that which is required by this Disclosure Certificate. If the County chooses to include any information in any Quarterly Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event, in addition to that which is specifically required by this Disclosure Certificate, the County shall have no obligation under this Disclosure Certificate to update such information or include it in any future Quarterly Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event.

SECTION 9. Default. In the event of a failure of the County to comply with any provision of this Disclosure Certificate, any Owner or Beneficial Owner of the Notes may take such actions as may be necessary and appropriate, including seeking mandamus or specific performance by court order, to cause the County to comply with its obligations under this Disclosure Certificate. A default under this Disclosure Certificate shall not be deemed an Event of Default under the Resolution with respect to the Notes, and the sole remedy under this Disclosure Certificate in the event of any failure of the County to comply with this Disclosure Certificate shall be an action to compel performance,

and no person or entity shall be entitled to recover monetary damages under this Disclosure Certificate.

SECTION 10. Duties, Immunities and Liabilities of Dissemination Agent. The Dissemination Agent shall have only such duties as are specifically set forth in this Disclosure Certificate, and the County agrees to indemnify and save the Dissemination Agent, its officers, directors, employees and agents, harmless against any loss, expense and liabilities which it may incur arising out of or in the exercise or performance of its powers and duties hereunder, including the costs and expenses (including attorneys fees) of defending against any claim of liability, but excluding liabilities due to the Dissemination Agent's negligence or willful misconduct. The obligations of the County under this Section shall survive resignation or removal of the Dissemination Agent and payment of the Notes.

SECTION 11. Beneficiaries. This Disclosure Certificate shall inure solely to the benefit of the County, the Dissemination Agent, the Participating Underwriter, the Owners and Beneficial Owners from time to time of the Notes, and shall create no rights in any other person or entity.

SECTION 12. Governing Law. This Disclosure Certificate shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and the federal securities laws.

Dated: _____, 2014

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

By: _____

Its: _____

EXHIBIT A

**FORM OF NOTICE TO REPOSITORIES
OF FAILURE TO FILE REPORT**

Name of Issuer: County of Riverside, California

Name of Bond Issue: \$_____ County of Riverside 2014 Series D/E Teeter Obligation
Notes

Issuance Date: _____, 2014

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE (the "County") has not provided the Quarterly Report with respect to the above-named Notes as required by Section 3 of the Continuing Disclosure Certificate, dated as of _____, 2014, executed and delivered by the County. [The County anticipates that such report will be filed by _____].

Dated: _____

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

By _____
Authorized Officer



FOR ADDITIONAL BOOKS: ELABRA.COM OR (888) 935-2272